

# A note on the random greedy triangle-packing algorithm

Tom Bohman, Alan Frieze and Eyal Lubetzky

(These notes were prepared by Lutz Warnke, [warnke@maths.ox.ac.uk](mailto:warnke@maths.ox.ac.uk))

**ABSTRACT.** The paper considers the random greedy algorithm for triangle-packing. This stochastic graph process begins with the complete graph on  $n$  vertices and repeatedly removes the edges of a triangle, one at a time, where each triangle removed is chosen uniformly at random from the collection of all remaining triangles. This stochastic process terminates once it arrives at a triangle-free graph. The authors show that with high probability the number of edges in the final graph is at most  $O(n^{7/4} \log^{5/4}(n))$ .

## 1 Overview

Several random variables are introduced and tracked through the evolution of the process. Roughly speaking, these variables are ‘close’ to martingales and can be ‘approximated’ by pairs of sub- and supermartingales. Then the concentration of the variables under consideration is essentially shown using variants of the Azuma-Hoeffding martingale inequality.

### 1.1 Random variables

Let  $G(i)$  denote the graph after  $i$  triangles have been removed and by  $E(i)$  we denote its edge set. An important variable is

$$Q(i) := \# \text{ of triangles in } G(i) .$$

Furthermore, as we shall see, it turns out to be useful to ‘understand’ the codegrees in  $G(i)$ . So, for all  $\{u, v\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}$  we set

$$Y_{u,v}(i) := |\{x \in [n] : xu, xv \in E(i)\}| .$$

### 1.2 Their values

What values should the above random variables roughly have? It is ‘widely believed’ that  $G(i)$  should behave similarly to the uniform random graph on  $n$  vertices and  $\binom{n}{2} - 3i$  edges, which in turn shares many properties with binomial random graph  $G_{n,p(i)}$  with edge-density

$$p(i) := 1 - \frac{6i}{n^2} .$$

Based on this random graph intuition we expect

$$Y_{u,v}(i) \approx p^2(i)n \quad \text{and} \quad Q(i) \approx \frac{p^3(i)n^3}{6} . \tag{1}$$

### 1.3 Expected one-step changes

Observe that with  $Y_{u,v}(i)$  we can express the one-step change of  $Q(i)$  as follows: if the  $(i+1)$ -th triangle taken is  $abc$  then

$$Q(i) - Q(i+1) = Y_{a,b}(i) + Y_{b,c}(i) + Y_{a,c}(i) - 2 .$$

With this in mind, after conditioning on the first  $i$  steps, the expected one-step change of  $Q(i)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Q(i+1) - Q(i) \mid G(i)] &= - \sum_{xyz \in Q(i)} \frac{Y_{xy}(i) + Y_{xz}(i) + Y_{yz}(i) - 2}{Q(i)} \\ &= 2 - \frac{1}{Q(i)} \sum_{xy \in E(i)} (Y_{xy}(i))^2 , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where we also have  $3Q(i) = \sum_{xy \in E(i)} Y_{xy}(i)$ . But why is this useful? Well,  $Q(i)$  can essentially be ‘transformed’ to sub- and supermartingales by adding or subtracting appropriate functions. Then (modulo some technical details) the concentration follows from Azuma-Hoeffding type martingale inequalities.

We remark that the same strategy also applies to  $Y_{u,v}(i)$ ; but here the details are more involved.

### 1.4 Main Result

Loosely speaking, the main technical result states that during the first

$$i^* := \frac{1}{6}n^2 - \frac{5}{3}n^{7/4} \log^{5/4}(n) \tag{3}$$

steps the approximations given by (1) hold whp. Note that  $Q(i^*) = \omega(1)$ , and thus the process has not terminated yet; so whp the final graph of the random process has

$$\binom{n}{2} - 3i^* = O(n^{7/4} \log^{5/4}(n))$$

edges, which implies their main result.

### 1.5 Remark

In the current proof the bound (3) is ‘limited’ by the way in which the concentration of  $Y_{u,v}(i)$  is shown. In fact, the authors claim that with a more sophisticated analysis (and introducing more random variables) they are able to reduce the final number of edges to roughly  $O(n^{1.65+o(1)})$ . Finally, it is widely believed that whp the final graph has  $n^{3/2+o(1)}$  edges (Joel Spencer has offered \$200 for a resolution of this question).