Higher Selenium Status is Associated with Adverse Blood Lipid Profile in British Adults
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ABSTRACT

Recent findings have raised concern about possible associations of high selenium exposure with diabetes and hyperlipidemia in the US, a population with high selenium status. In the UK, a population with lower selenium status, there is little data on the association of selenium status with cardio-metabolic risk factors in the general population. We examined the association of plasma selenium concentration with blood lipids in a nationally representative sample of British adults. A cross-sectional study was conducted among 1,042 white participants (aged 19-64 years) in the 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS). Plasma selenium was measured by inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Total and HDL cholesterol were measured in non-fasting plasma samples. Mean plasma selenium concentration was 1.10 ± 0.19 μmol/L. The multivariate adjusted differences between the highest (≥1.20 μmol/L) and lowest (<0.98 μmol/L) quartiles of plasma selenium were 0.39 (95% CI 0.18, 0.60) mmol/L for total cholesterol, 0.38 (0.17, 0.59) for non-HDL cholesterol, and 0.01 (-0.05, 0.07) for HDL cholesterol. Higher plasma selenium (i.e. ≥1.20 μmol/L) was associated with increased total and non-HDL cholesterol levels but not with HDL in the UK adult population. These findings raise additional concern about potential adverse cardio-metabolic effects of high selenium status. Randomized and mechanistic evidence is necessary to assess causality and to evaluate the impact of this association on cardiovascular risk.
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Introduction

The role of selenium in chronic disease prevention is the focus of a growing scientific debate and intense investigation (1-2). Selenium is a key component of glutathione peroxidase and of other selenoproteins involved in essential enzymatic functions, such as redox homeostasis, thyroid hormone metabolism and reproduction (3-4). While the primary emphasis of selenium research has been on evaluating the potential benefits of its antioxidant and anticancer effects (1-3), recent findings from observational studies and randomized clinical trials have suggested an association between moderate to high selenium exposure and adverse cardio-metabolic effects, at least in well-nourished populations (1, 5-10). It is therefore of concern that in the UK and other Western countries the use of selenium supplements has increased considerably in recent years as a result of aggressive marketing, despite lack of definitive evidence on their efficacy for cancer and other chronic disease prevention (11-13).

Three independent studies have shown an association between high selenium status or selenium supplementation and increased diabetes risk (5-8). Furthermore, the Supplementation with Antioxidant Vitamins and Minerals (SU.VI.MAX) trial showed that long-term supplementation with a daily antioxidant capsule containing 100 μg of selenium, 120 mg of vitamin C, 30 mg of vitamin E, 6 mg of β-carotene, and 20 mg of zinc, adversely affected the lipid profile in a French population with suboptimal dietary selenium intake (9). In addition, a cross-sectional analysis from the US Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) found that high selenium status was associated with elevated serum lipids (10). There is, however, little data on the association between selenium status and cardio-metabolic risk factors in the UK general population. The
objective of the present study, therefore, was to examine the association of plasma and red
blood cell (RBC) selenium concentrations and whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity
with blood lipids in a nationally representative sample of British adults, aged 19-64 years,

Methods

Study population

The rationale, design, and methods of the survey have previously been described in
detail (13-17). Briefly, the British NDNS is part of a series of cross-sectional surveys
conducted at about three-year intervals to provide a detailed quantitative assessment of
nutritional and lifestyle characteristics of the UK general population. Between July 2000
and June 2001, adults aged 19 – 64 years living in private households and, for women,
who were not pregnant or breast feeding at the time of the study were randomly selected
from 152 areas within mainland Great Britain. The 12-month fieldwork period was divided
into four three-month fieldwork waves to balance seasonal variations in eating behavior
and food nutrient content.

Out of 3,704 potentially eligible participants identified for the study, 37% refused to
participate and 2% could not be contacted. The general dietary interview, including socio-
demographics and lifestyles, was thus completed by 2,251 individuals (61% of the eligible
sample). While the aim was to achieve co-operation with all the components of the survey,
the design allowed for partial participation in some components. Of the 1,297 participants
who provided blood samples, we excluded 68 non-whites and the following numbers of
participants because of missing data: 47 missing biomarkers of selenium status or whole-
blood glutathione peroxidase activity; 17 missing a plasma lipid measurement; 20 missing
body mass index; 71 missing daily physical activity score; 12 missing alcohol intake; 18 missing income data, one missing data on educational level, and one outlier. The remaining 1,042 participants (472 men, 570 women) were included in this analysis.

The potential for selection bias due to non-response in the NDNS had previously been evaluated in an independent study carried out by looking at a number of demographic and nutritional variables and their relationship to non-participation in the survey (18). Non-contacts and refusals were considered separately. That study concluded that there was no evidence to suggest serious non-response bias in the NDNS data (18).

Ethical approval for the survey was obtained from both a Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee and from the National Health Service Local Research Ethics Committees covering each of the 152 sampled areas. All subjects gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

**Study Protocol**

All participants underwent an initial face-to-face interview by trained personnel to assess household characteristics, usual dietary behavior, smoking and drinking habits, general health status, dietary supplement use, socio-economic characteristics, menopausal status, oral contraceptive use and hormone replacement therapy in women. Diet was further assessed by a seven-day weighed intake diary of all foods and drinks consumed in and out of the home. Duration, intensity, and frequency of physical activity in occupation and outside of work were collected over the same period as the dietary record to calculate a daily activity score as an indicator for energy expenditure.

Standing height, body weight, waist and hip circumferences were measured according to a standardized protocol. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing
weight in kilograms by height in meters squared. Blood pressure was measured three times at one-minute intervals with a Dinamap 8100 oscillometric monitor.

Laboratory assays

Trained phlebotomists obtained blood samples by venepuncture. Participants were not asked to fast overnight. The analytical procedures to measure selenium status indices have been described in detail (19). Whole blood and plasma selenium concentrations were measured by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (20). RBC selenium was calculated from whole blood and plasma selenium concentrations, together with the haematocrit (21).

Internal quality control sera were prepared by adding selenium to pools of bovine sera at 0, 0.40 and 1.60 μmol/L. The mean coefficient of variation of five different internal quality controls (which were included with every 10 duplicate test samples) was 5.3%, and the assay drift over the 3 months required to analyze all the samples was less than 1.3%. Participation in quality assessment programs from the Centre du Toxicologie de Quebec and the Trace Element Quality Assurance Scheme (TEQAS), University of Surrey, provided external quality control. The performance in both external quality assurance schemes was excellent, with 85% and 100% of results within inner and outer target limits for median values for all participating laboratories.

Whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity was measured with the method of Paglia and Valentine (22). Enzyme activity was measured in diluted whole blood and expressed in nmol·mg Hb⁻¹·min⁻¹. Quality assurance was achieved with aliquots of heparinised whole blood from the Cambridge Blood Transfusion Service.
Total cholesterol was measured by the oxidation of cholesterol (liberated by cholesterol esterase) by cholesterol oxidase to 7-hydroxy-cholesterol. The cholesterol assay was calibrated by use of the Roche human calibrator. High density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol was measured after precipitation of low density lipoprotein (LDL) and very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol with magnesium chloride plus phosphotungstic acid. The HDL assay was calibrated by the use of Roche P human calibrator. This precipitation methodology yields results very similar to those of ultracentrifugal separation, the reference method (23). Quality control procedures for the cholesterol assay comprised an internal procedure using heparinised human plasma from the Cambridge Blood Transfusion Service and a double strength Roche N sample. External quality control comprised National External Quality Assessment Scheme (NEQAS) for cholesterol. For HDL cholesterol, an ABX control serum N was used at x 0.5, x 1.0 and x 2.0 concentrations.

Statistical analysis

Quartiles of plasma selenium were calculated according to the weighted population distribution. Multivariate linear regression was used to estimate the differences (95% confidence intervals) in levels of plasma total-cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and non-HDL cholesterol (total cholesterol – HDL cholesterol), comparing the three highest quartiles of plasma selenium to the lowest quartile. The multivariate analyses included the following covariates: age, sex, BMI, smoking status, daily cigarette consumption, daily alcoholic drinking units, daily physical activity score, household income group, educational level group, employment, dietary variables (daily food energy, total fat intake, total cholesterol intake, polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acids intake ratio), vitamin/mineral supplement
use, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy.

To further explore the shape of the relationship between plasma selenium and plasma lipids, we used restricted quadratic splines with knots at the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the distributions of plasma selenium (24). Tests for interaction between plasma selenium with age, sex, BMI, smoking and drinking status, and vitamin/mineral supplement use showed no statistically significant differences (data not shown). Statistical analyses were performed using the survey package (version 3.6.13) (25) in the statistical program R (version 2.6.1) (26) to account for the survey weights in NDNS.

Results

The mean age of study participants was 40.8 ± 12.8 years and 48.5% of them were men. The mean concentrations of plasma and RBC selenium, and whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity were 1.10 ± 0.19 μmol/L, 1.65 ± 0.40 μmol/L and 124.6 ± 29.9 nmol·mg Hb⁻¹·min⁻¹, respectively. Higher plasma selenium was associated with higher age, non-smoking status, higher income and educational level, use of vitamin/mineral supplements, total cholesterol intake, and polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acids intake ratio. Levels of RBC selenium and whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity increased linearly with higher plasma selenium concentrations (P-trend < 0.001, for both) (Table 1). Indeed, the correlation coefficient of plasma selenium with RBC selenium was 0.45, while the correlation coefficients between plasma and RBC selenium with whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity were 0.16 and 0.17, respectively (all P < 0.001).

The multivariate adjusted mean differences in total plasma cholesterol comparing quartiles 2 – 4 to quartile 1 of plasma selenium were 0.03 (95% CI -0.16 to 0.21), 0.10 (0.09 to 0.30) and 0.39 (0.18 to 0.60) mmol/L, respectively (P-trend = 0.001) (Table 2). The
corresponding multivariate adjusted mean differences for non-HDL cholesterol levels were 0.03 (-0.16 to 0.22), 0.07 (-0.13 to 0.26) and 0.38 (0.17 to 0.59), respectively (P-trend = 0.001), and for HDL cholesterol levels they were -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.05), 0.04 (-0.02 to 0.10) and 0.01 (-0.05 to 0.07), respectively (P-trend = 0.58).

In spline regression models, total and non-HDL cholesterol increased linearly between 0.9 and 1.4 μmol/L of plasma selenium, although there was a near-plateau at high plasma selenium (Figure 1).

With respect to RBC selenium and whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity, there were no consistent, significant associations with any of the lipid parameters evaluated (Supplemental Tables 1, 2, Supplemental Figure 1).

Discussion

The present study showed positive associations between plasma selenium concentrations and total and non-HDL plasma cholesterol levels in a nationwide representative sample of British adults. The association with selenium was linear throughout most of the range although there was a leveling off at plasma selenium concentrations above 1.40 μmol/L. The association between plasma levels of selenium and total cholesterol was strong, with a difference of 0.39 mmol/L of cholesterol between the highest and the lowest quartiles of selenium. There was no association of HDL cholesterol with biomarkers of selenium status. To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study examining the association of selenium status with lipid levels in the UK, where a significant proportion of the general population is generally believed to have a sub-optimal intake of dietary Se (11). As an indication of their status, 63.8 % of NDNS participants had
plasma selenium concentrations less than 1.14 μmol/L (90 μg/L), the level estimated to be required for full expression of glutathione peroxidase activity (27).

Strong, graded, positive associations between serum selenium and serum lipids were recently identified in a cross-sectional analysis from the US NHANES III, a selenium-replete population (10). Our findings, together with the NHANES III data, indicate that serum/plasma selenium is associated with total cholesterol across a very wide range of selenium concentrations. Higher selenium status and elevated total cholesterol levels have also been found in other populations with suboptimal selenium status (28-32), but those studies did not provide detailed dose-response analyses.

Selenium is a trace mineral with a narrow therapeutic window and large inter-individual variability in metabolic sensitivity (2, 33-34). As selenocysteine, selenium is incorporated into selenoproteins (e.g. glutathione peroxidases, iodothyronine deiodinases, and thioredoxin reductases) that are involved in essential enzymatic functions (4). Above the range of plasma selenium at which selenoprotein concentrations or activities are optimized (27, 35-36), selenium is non-specifically incorporated as selenomethionine into albumin and other plasma proteins replacing methionine, with no further increase in selenoprotein activities (3). The metabolic pathways involving this extra pool of selenium and its urine excretion pathways are incompletely understood, and may be responsible for some of the associations of high selenium exposure with glucose and lipid metabolism.

Potential mechanisms that may explain the association of plasma selenium with lipid levels are unclear. Selenoprotein P, the most abundant plasma selenoprotein, is taken up by the brain and the testes via the apolipoprotein E receptor-2 (37-39), whereas a further apolipoprotein receptor, megalin, mediates the uptake of selenoprotein P by the kidney (40). Additional evidence of a connection between selenoproteins and lipid metabolism
comes from experimental animal models. Mouse knock-out models with compromised selenoprotein synthesis showed altered liver Apo E protein concentration, plasma cholesterol and expression of genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis, metabolism and transport, suggesting a role for selenoproteins in the regulation of lipoprotein biosynthesis (41). Furthermore, the activity of the liver protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B), a key enzyme in the stimulation of fatty acid synthesis, was significantly higher in rats supplemented with selenium (75 or 150 μg/kg) than in the placebo group (42). In this study, selenium supplemented rats had higher liver triglyceride concentrations, which may provide a possible further explanation for the lipogenic effect of high selenium exposure. Moreover, selenoprotein and cholesterol synthesis are connected through the common use of isopentenyl pyrophosphate both for the synthesis of Sec-tRNA and for isoprenoid biosynthesis in the mevalonate pathway (43).

In our study, lipid levels were not associated with whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity. Moreover, the correlations of plasma selenium and RBC selenium with whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity were relatively weak, consistent with reports showing that above plasma selenium concentrations of 1.0 μmol/L the correlation with glutathione peroxidase activity becomes progressively weaker because no further enzyme is synthesized (44). In general, concentrations of selenium in plasma or serum are commonly used as biomarkers of selenium status. Whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity may be a useful index of functional selenium status, though it does not always reflect plasma or serum selenium concentrations (44). Furthermore, development of insulin resistance and obesity has been reported in transgenic mice over-expressing glutathione peroxidase (45-46). Likewise in humans, a strongly positive correlation between glutathione peroxidase activity and insulin resistance has been described in a group of
non-diabetic pregnant women (47). This evidence may help to explain the observed associations of high selenium exposure with diabetes risk (5-8). However, the lack of association between whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity and lipid parameters, as reported in the present study, suggests that other mechanisms may be involved in the adverse effects of high selenium exposure on lipid metabolism.

Few randomized controlled trials in humans have evaluated the effect of selenium on lipid profile. The SU.VI.MAX trial in a French population with sub-optimal dietary selenium intake showed that long-term daily supplementation with a combination of antioxidants including selenium (100 μg) increased serum triglyceride levels compared to supplementation with placebo (9). Furthermore, among those in the treatment group, women had higher total cholesterol levels while men were more likely to use lipid lowering medication compared to those on placebo. Likewise, in a randomized trial in a rural Chinese population with a low dietary intake of selenium, long-term combined supplementation with selenium (37.5 μg), vitamin C and vitamin E resulted in small but significant increases in total and LDL cholesterol levels, whereas HDL concentrations were not affected (48). Those trials, however, used selenium in combination with other vitamins or minerals. Only two small, short-term intervention studies have examined the effects of supplementation with selenium alone on the lipid profile, but they did not yield significant differences between treatment groups (49-50).

Our cross-sectional study is unable to determine whether lipid levels rise as a consequence of increased selenium intake or whether a common metabolic pathway, or common co-exposures, might explain the association between selenium status and lipid levels. In our study, the association of high plasma selenium with plasma lipids was actually amplified after multivariable adjustment for body mass index and several dietary
variables including cholesterol intake. Besides the cross-sectional design, other limitations of the current study deserve mention. Although the results were adjusted for a number of potential confounders, information on pre-existing co-morbidities or use of lipid lowering medications was not collected in the survey, and we cannot rule out the possibility that the association could change if we could adjust for those variables. For example, recent findings from the "Etude du Vieillissement Artériel" (EVA) study suggested that long-term use of fibrates (but not statins) increased plasma selenium concentrations in dyslipidemic aged patients (51). However, our findings are consistent with previous studies that were able to adjust for some of these potential confounders (10, 28-32). While the low participation rate could have restricted the generalizability of the findings, an independent study specifically conducted to evaluate selection bias in NDNS concluded that there was no evidence to suggest serious non-response bias in the NDNS data (18). Finally, plasma lipids were measured in the non-fasting state, though this is unlikely to alter the concentrations of total and HDL cholesterol (52).

In the present study, participants in the highest quartile of plasma selenium ($\geq 1.20 \mu\text{mol/L}$) were by far the most likely to use dietary supplements. It is likely that the relatively high selenium status of many of the participants in that quartile was the result of use of dietary supplements containing selenium, rather than from diet alone. Furthermore, the mean plasma selenium of NDNS participants was 1.10 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (87.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$), which is higher than that reported (61-79 $\mu\text{g/L}$) in previous studies of selenium status in the UK population (11, 53). Indeed, in the 2000/01 NDNS, 41% of women and 30% of men reported taking dietary supplements, as compared with 17% of women and 9% of men in the 1986/87 Adults Survey (13). However, mean selenium levels in the UK are considerably lower than
those reported in the US population (1.10 μmol/L vs. 1.58 μmol/L in NHANES III subjects aged 19-64 years) (10).

Though this study does not allow us to establish causality, our findings reinforce evidence from several sources that raised concern about potential adverse effects of high selenium status on glucose and lipid metabolism (5-10, 54). The difference of 0.39 mmol/L (i.e. 15.1 mg/dL) of cholesterol between the bottom and top quartile of selenium levels, as observed in our study, is biologically and clinically relevant, and may have important public health implications. In fact, such a difference in cholesterol levels would translate into a large number of premature deaths from coronary heart disease, which could be prevented by reducing cholesterol levels by that amount at a population level (55-57).

In conclusion, we believe that the widespread use of selenium supplements or of any other strategy that artificially increases selenium status above the level required for optimal selenoprotein concentration/activity (11, 58) is unwarranted at the present time. Further evidence from large randomized trials (59-60) and mechanistic studies is needed to provide robust evidence of the full range of health effects, either beneficial or detrimental, of high selenium exposure.
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Table 1 Sample characteristics by quartiles of plasma selenium. The 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (n=1,042)\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile of plasma selenium (interval in μmol/L)</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>1(^{st}) (0.60 to &lt;0.98)</th>
<th>2(^{nd}) (0.98 to &lt;1.08)</th>
<th>3(^{rd}) (1.08 to &lt;1.20)</th>
<th>4(^{th}) (1.20 to 2.79)</th>
<th>(P) trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>40.8±12.8</td>
<td>38.3±12.8</td>
<td>38.1±12.6</td>
<td>41.8±13.0</td>
<td>45.0±11.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender/Menopausal status, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-menopause female</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-menopause female</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index, kg/m(^2)</td>
<td>26.7±5.0</td>
<td>26.1±5.1</td>
<td>26.8±5.6</td>
<td>26.7±4.6</td>
<td>27.0±4.5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity score</td>
<td>44.5±8.2</td>
<td>44.4±9.1</td>
<td>45.6±9.9</td>
<td>44.2±6.9</td>
<td>43.7±6.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income group, % £600 or more monthly</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, % Higher education or degree</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin/mineral supplements, %</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma selenium, μmol/L</td>
<td>1.10±0.19</td>
<td>0.88±0.08</td>
<td>1.03±0.03</td>
<td>1.13±0.04</td>
<td>1.34±0.17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cell selenium, μmol/L</td>
<td>1.65±0.40</td>
<td>1.44±0.27</td>
<td>1.59±0.32</td>
<td>1.69±0.36</td>
<td>1.88±0.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-blood GPx activity, nmol·mg Hb(^{-1})·min(^{-1})</td>
<td>124.6±29.9</td>
<td>117.5±27.2</td>
<td>122.6±28.7</td>
<td>128.6±32.0</td>
<td>129.1±29.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily food energy, kJ</td>
<td>7768.0±2355.2</td>
<td>7469.7±2340.5</td>
<td>7947.1±2587.8</td>
<td>7714.9±2354.8</td>
<td>7928.7±2085.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol intake, mg</td>
<td>260.7±119.3</td>
<td>238.3±118.2</td>
<td>258.6±113.5</td>
<td>264.9±117.0</td>
<td>279.8±125.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat intake, g</td>
<td>74.9±27.6</td>
<td>72.7±26.8</td>
<td>77.2±29.1</td>
<td>73.3±27.4</td>
<td>76.3±26.7</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly / Saturated fatty acids ratio</td>
<td>0.45±0.17</td>
<td>0.42±0.16</td>
<td>0.43±0.15</td>
<td>0.46±0.16</td>
<td>0.49±0.21</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\) Values are survey-weighted means ± SD or percentages for continuous or categorical variables
Table 2 Adjusted differences (95% CI) in lipid fraction concentrations comparing the three highest quartiles to the first quartile of plasma selenium, in the 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile of plasma selenium (interval in μmol/L)</th>
<th>$1^{\text{st}}$ (0.60 to &lt;0.98)</th>
<th>$2^{\text{nd}}$ (0.98 to &lt;1.08)</th>
<th>$3^{\text{rd}}$ (1.08 to &lt;1.20)</th>
<th>$4^{\text{th}}$ (1.20 to 2.79)</th>
<th>$P$ trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol $^1$, (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model $^2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(-0.16, 0.21)</td>
<td>(-0.09, 0.30)</td>
<td>(0.18, 0.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL cholesterol $^1$, (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model $^2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(-0.16, 0.22)</td>
<td>(-0.13, 0.26)</td>
<td>(0.17, 0.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol $^1$, (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model $^2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(-0.07, 0.05)</td>
<td>(-0.02, 0.10)</td>
<td>(-0.05, 0.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Mean lipid levels (survey-weighted) are shown in bold.

$^2$ Differences calculated from fully-adjusted models include: age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, daily cigarette consumption, daily alcoholic drinking units, daily physical activity score, household income group, educational level group, employment, daily food energy, total fat intake, total cholesterol intake, polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acid ratio, vitamin/mineral supplement use, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy.
Figure legend

**Figure 1.** Adjusted differences (95% confidence intervals) for lipid fraction concentrations by plasma selenium, in the 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey.

The curves (read in the scale to the left) represent the adjusted differences (and the gray shading, the 95% CI) in lipids of subjects with any given value of plasma selenium with respect to a subject with 0.95 μmol/L of plasma selenium (plasma selenium at the 20th percentile, which was used as reference). The differences are statistically significant for all the range where the gray shading does not include the dashed reference line that denotes a null difference. Plasma selenium was modeled as restricted quadratic splines with nodes at the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles. Multivariable linear regression models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, daily cigarette consumption, daily alcoholic drinking units, daily physical activity score, household income group, educational level group, employment status, daily food energy, total fat intake, total cholesterol intake, polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acid ratio, vitamin/mineral supplement use, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy. The histogram (read in the scale to the right) shows the distribution of the selenium-status parameters in the study population.
### Supplemental Table 1

Adjusted differences (95% CI) in lipid fraction concentrations comparing the three highest quartiles to the first quartile of red blood cell selenium, in the 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile of red blood cell selenium (interval in μmol/L)</th>
<th>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; (0.82 to &lt;1.37)</th>
<th>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; (1.37 to &lt;1.60)</th>
<th>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; (1.60 to &lt;1.87)</th>
<th>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; (1.87 to 4.10)</th>
<th>P trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean, μmol/L</td>
<td>1.23±0.12</td>
<td>1.48±0.07</td>
<td>1.73±0.08</td>
<td>2.17±0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol 1&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model 2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL cholesterol 1&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;, mmol/L</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model 2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol 1&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model 2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Mean lipid levels (survey-weighted).

2 Differences calculated from fully-adjusted models include: age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, daily cigarette consumption, daily alcoholic drinking units, daily physical activity score, household income group, educational level group, employment, daily food energy, total fat intake, total cholesterol intake, polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acid ratio, vitamin/mineral supplement use, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy.
**ONLINE SUPPORTING MATERIAL**

**Supplemental Table 2** Adjusted differences (95% CI) in lipid fraction concentrations comparing the three highest quartiles to the first quartile of whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity, in the 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile of whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity (interval in nmol·mg Hgb⁻¹·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>1⁰ᵗˢ</th>
<th>2⁰ᵗᵈ</th>
<th>3⁰ᵗʳd</th>
<th>4⁰ᵗʰ</th>
<th>P trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean, nmol·mg Hb⁻¹·min⁻¹</th>
<th>91.0±8.8</th>
<th>111.3±4.7</th>
<th>129.7±6.7</th>
<th>163.2±22.6</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ¹, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-adjusted model ²</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(-0.07, 0.31)</td>
<td>(-0.22, 0.17)</td>
<td>(-0.08, 0.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Non-HDL cholesterol ¹, mmol/L | 4.09 | 4.15 | 4.01 | 4.06 | 0.61 |
| Fully-adjusted model ² | 0.00 | 0.07 | -0.08 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| (Reference) | (-0.12, 0.26) | (-0.28, 0.12) | (-0.12, 0.24) | |

| HDL cholesterol ¹, mmol/L | 1.10 | 1.18 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.31 |
| Fully-adjusted model ² | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| (Reference) | (-0.01, 0.11) | (0.00, 0.11) | (-0.02, 0.09) | |

¹ Mean lipid levels (survey-weighted).

² Differences calculated from fully-adjusted models include: age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, daily cigarette consumption, daily alcoholic drinking units, daily physical activity score, household income group, educational level group, employment, daily food energy, total fat intake, total cholesterol intake, polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acid ratio, vitamin/mineral supplement use, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy.
Supplemental Figure 1. Adjusted differences (95% confidence intervals) for lipid fraction concentrations by levels of RBC selenium (left panels) and whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity (right panels), in the 2000-2001 UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey.

The curves (read in the scale to the left) represent the adjusted differences (and the gray shading, the 95% CI) in lipids of subjects with any given value of the selenium-status parameter with respect to a subject with 1.33 $\mu$mol/L of RBC selenium (top row), or 99 nmol·mg Hb$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ of whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activity (bottom row). Lipid concentrations at the 20th percentile of each selenium-status parameter distribution were used as reference. The differences are statistically significant for all the range where the gray shading does not include the dashed reference line that denotes a null difference. Selenium-status parameters were modeled as restricted quadratic splines with nodes at the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles. Multivariable linear regression models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, daily cigarette consumption, daily alcoholic drinking units, daily physical activity score, household income group, educational level group, employment status, daily food energy, total fat intake, total cholesterol intake, polyunsaturated-to-saturated fatty acid ratio, vitamin/mineral supplement use, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy. The histogram (read in the scale to the right) shows the distribution of the selenium-status parameters in the study population.
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