

1/12/86

What is CS?

Discuss nature of the subject. Does it exist?

Analogy with telescope or microscope: subject matter is determined by what the instrument reveals - *other analogies?*

Danger of seeing subject solely through the major applications

Might be viewed as having stimulated fields which have been dormant for years in which profound questions concerning psychological and philosophical basis of knowledge and intelligence are involved.

Possible parallel between general perception of computing today, and view in AI circles in 1960s: dangers of misconceptions about how much revolutionary progress can be expected

Problems of balanced perception at present: transitions

Hardware developments

Software system developments of unparalleled complexity

Involvement of the advertisers

Background

*Calculus - Numerical methods*

Algorithms: Euclid, Gauss, Galois, Hilbert

Theories: Newton, Boole, Frege

Theorems: Turing, Godel

*Babbage*

1950-60: -> Machines + Languages + Algorithms + Applications

1. FORTRAN compiler development:

Objectives - Develop a HHL for programming, and write a compiler

Consequences - innovations / development resulting

(a) non-numeric applications *1.2*

(b) structural design of "large" programs (phases of a compiler) *1.3*

(c) identification/solution of syntactic problems

Initiated proliferation of HHLs,

search for a better general purpose programming language *1.1*

diversification of applications

1.1 Programming Language Development

Objective - Develop a general purpose language for programming

Eliminate the difficulties of reasoning about algorithms at a low-level of abstraction

Consequences - Two aspects of the problem identified: design / implementation

Principles of design lead to syntactic problems solution (ALGOL60)

Data structures recognised as important

Implementation leads to a caucus of important programming techniques

hashing, parsing, tree-building, translating, sorting/searching

Prompts deep questions about the semantics of PLs:

how to identify and interpret meaningful programs *total*

Applications indicate that a general purpose paradigm may be difficult

Trend towards monolithic languages: PL1, ALGOL68

1.2 Applications

Objectives: Develop hardware/software tools for specific uses

Consequences - Many different programming paradigms initiated

AI -> LISP, computer engineering control applications -> APT

graphics -> SKETCHPAD, linear algebra -> APL, business -> COBOL

operating systems -> ??

*Simulation ->*

Became clear that no single paradigm for programming is appropriate for all applications, that there are many choices of

"virtual machine", that the theory of algorithms is very complex  
Several really clever ideas in algorithms discovered; eg fact  
that there are many different algorithms for sorting/searching; new  
ideas in theorem-proving - resolution

Also became clear in AI particularly that issues of feasibility  
were very significant: had been anticipated that computers would  
outperform us much more dramatically in some tasks than is the case

### 1.1.1 Programming Language Semantics

Objective: Investigate how to design languages so that we can understand the  
meaning of any program within the language, and what formal models to  
use for reasoning about specific programs in a language

Consequences: Development of a variety of different mathematical models for  
modelling programs. Algebra and Logic become significant part of the  
mathematical foundation of programming. Recursive function theory,  
lambda calculus, algebraic theories for data types.

Primary mathematical achievement: Scott-Strachey semantics

Paramount importance of having a good semantic model and  
difficulty of giving semantics to complex procedural language recognised

Idea of developing a language from the intended semantic model  
first conceived: the motivating idea behind functional languages

### 1.2 Programming Methodology

Objective: How do we write correct programs? How do we communicate about  
program design? How do we document programs / program designs?

Consequences: Recognition that proving correctness of algorithms formally is  
hard. Clear that a simple programming language helps of Dijkstra's  
guarded commands vs Algol 68. Particular difficulties presented by  
concurrent programs first encountered. Importance of program structure  
first recognised. No "goto"s, appropriate abstractions essential ideas  
behind "structured programming".

Concept of invariants as device for proving correctness of a  
procedural program. Development of programming logics, specifically for  
reasoning about programs.

Management aspects of software design task first considered

### 1.2.1 Theory of Algorithms

Objective: How do we recognise a good algorithm? How do we write efficient  
algorithms? What is the impact of choosing different primitive actions  
as a computational basis? When does a computational problem have a  
feasible solution?

Consequences: Revival of interest in mathematical theory of algorithms  
Investigation of upper / lower bounds on computational complexity  
of problems. Surprises: eg matrix multiplication by Strassen, many  
traditional algorithms unexpectedly subtle (coefficient growth),  
lower bounds very hard to prove even when non-constructive proofs  
indicate that many or most instances are hard.

Cook's Theorem indicates that several important algorithmic  
problems are essentially equivalent in complexity. Identification of  
computational hierarchies.

Ground rules under which computations are performed seen to be  
very significant. Search for satisfactory machine models for evaluating  
the "real" complexity of a practical algorithm initiated.

Practical importance of parallel, approximate, probabilistic  
algorithms recognised.

### Contemporary view

Increasingly has become clear that the role which computers will play  
in the future depends upon broader issues than have been addressed hitherto.

The complexity of computer hardware now such that conventional methods of designing software fail to exploit its apparent potential. This is the case even if we approach the design task in a relatively informal way, not seeking to justify our systems rigorously in every detail. Ironically, it is more than ever evident that very large systems can only be designed with appropriate formal methods. The nature, and degree of formality, of these methods is a particularly topical issue. Might see this as analogous to the position of an engineer who recognises that the theory of materials is hazy and incomplete, but still wishes to investigate structural theories.

At the high-level of abstraction characteristic of contemporary research into applications, have strong connections with other disciplines.

AI: expert systems, theories of knowledge, psychology/philosophy  
human-computer interaction, object-oriented paradigm,  
special purpose applications using semantic elements

SE: enormous software systems, design tools, management problems  
data bases as unifying resources  
distributed systems, communicating sequential processes

New Architectures: Problems with VN machines  
Alice, Flagship  
Transputers, highly parallel systems  
VLSI and special purpose machines

Robotics: Real-time programming  
Links with AI, sensory devices  
Control engineering, simulation, vision

---

CSE Systems - increasingly borderline between hardware/  
software design. VLSI design, enormous  
software component, abstract description of circuits  
Image processing.  
glass annealing solution to travelling salesman

---