Programming / modelling in EDEN

The three primary concepts in EDEN are:

- definition
- function
- action

Informally

definition ~ spreadsheet definition
function ~ operator on values
action ~ triggered procedure

Definitions in eden

A formula variable v can be defined via

```
v is f(a,b,c);
```

EDEN maintains the values of definitive variables automatically and records all the dependency information in a definitive script.

Yellow text indicates eden keywords

Functions in eden

Functions can be defined via

```
func F
/* function to compute result = F(a,b,...,c) */
{
        para a, b, ..., c         /* pars for the function */
        auto result, x, y, …, z       /* local variables */
        <sequence of assignments and constructs>
        return result
}
```

Actions in eden

Actions can be defined via

```
proc P : r, s, …, t
/* proc triggered by variables r, s, …, t */
{
        auto x, y, …, z     /* local variables */
        <sequence of assignments and definitions>
}
```

Action P is triggered whenever one of its triggering variables r, s, … , t is updated / touched

Basic concepts of EDEN 1

Definitions are used to develop a definitive script to describe the current state: change of state is by adding a definition or redefining.

Functions are introduced to extend the range of operators used in definitions.

Actions are introduced to automate patterns of redefinition where this is appropriate.

The JUGS program ….

EM in the first instance models *state* …

… many varieties of state in programming

States relevant to programming ...

- state within the executing program
- external state: what is visible?
- state in respect of interaction
- state in program development
- state significant in the external world

Diverse representations are required:

- *state within the executing program*
  - Program variables, machine locations
- *external state: what is visible?*
  - Graphics / display techniques
- *state in respect of interaction*
  - Statechart, message sequence diagram

Diverse representations required …

- *state in program development*

  UML diagrams, prototypes
- *state significant in the external world*

  apprehended by the human interpreter

cf. Brian Cantwell-Smith on semantics …

Semantic Relations (I)



The semantics of a traditional program

Semantics of a traditional program …

- Mathematical semantics is concerned with how the program manipulates variables
- “Real” semantics is concerned with how this activity connects with things in the world
- Traditional semantics associates abstract behaviours (“sequences of state-transitions”) with external concrete behaviours

⇒ Meaning is attached to *processes* not *states*

Reflections on traditional semantics

- Getting the program right vs getting the right program
- Cantwell-Smith: “the semantics of the semantics of the program”
- Problematic nature of matching processes rather than interactive states in experience

Semantic Relations (II)



The semantics of a definitive program

Semantics of a ‘definitive’ program …

- Counterpart of the mathematical semantics is how the script affords interactive experience
- “Real” semantics is concerned with how this interactive experience matches experience in the world (cf. the digit/cabinet construals)
- Empirically devising a suitable mechanism **and** associating the appropriate meaning

⇒ Meaning is attached to *state-as-experienced*

Reflections on “definitive” semantics

- Getting the mechanism right and making the right connection in experience two ways of interpreting one and same experience
- Blending of “the (real) semantics and/of the (mechanical) semantics of the script”
- Experimental method applies to checking: correlating interactive states in experience

States within oxoJoy1994

Definitive scripts express …
- internal state – contents of squares
- visible state – appearance of the board
- interaction state: whose turn is it?
- state of development
- state of mind of the player: which square?



Dependencies in the oxoJoy1994 model



Diverse relations / representations in a traditional program

… compare this with the OXO laboratory



… all relations mediated by definitions

… Behaviour as programmed state change



Static and dynamic elements of state

Definitive scripts as “furry blobs”



◦ ≡ a definitive script

\ ≡ a nonsense redefinition

/ ≡ a plausible redefinition

\ ≡ a ritualised definition

Plausible : *could* open the desk drawer
– note continuous spectrum of redefinitions
Ritualised : door *automatically* closes after being opened
Nonsense : opening the drawer makes the room smaller



◦ ≡ a definitive script

\ ≡ a nonsense redefinition

/ ≡ a plausible redefinition

\ ≡ a ritualised definition

Modelling with definitive scripts:
… a holistic view of state that integrates and conflates all the different perspectives

in contrast to

Programming-in-the-wild:
… an eclectic model of state in which many different strategies for representation and interpretation are jumbled up together