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## A Diophantine Equation

$$
(x+1)^{k}+(x+2)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d)^{k}=y^{n} .
$$

## Question

Fix $k \geqslant 2$ and $d \geqslant 2$. Determine all of the integer solutions $(x, y, n)$.

## A Diophantine Equation

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=y^{n} .
$$

## Question

Fix $k \geqslant 2$ and $d \geqslant 2$. Determine all of the integer solutions $(x, y, n)$.

Remark: We can let $n=p$ be a prime.

## A Brief History: Sums of Consecutive Cubes

## Euler:

$$
6^{3}=3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3} .
$$

Dickson's "History of the Theory of Numbers":
Catalan, Cunningham, Lucas and Gennochi.

## Later contributions from:

1 Pagliani (1829): parametric solutions.
2 Uchiyama (1979): $d=3, n=2$ independently to Cassels.
3 Cassels (1985):
4 Zhongfeng Zhang (2014):
5 Bennett, Patel, Siksek (2016): $2 \leqslant d \leqslant 50, n \geqslant 2$.
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4 Zhongfeng Zhang (2014):
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6^{3}=3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3} .
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## A Brief History

## Well-Known:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{d} i^{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} i^{3}=\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

## Pagliani:


where $v \equiv 2,4(\bmod 6)$.

## A Brief History

## Well-Known:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{d} i^{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} i^{3}=\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

## Pagliani:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{v^{3}}\left(\frac{v^{4}-3 v^{3}-2 v^{2}-2}{6}+i\right)^{3}=\left(\frac{v^{5}+v^{3}-2 v}{6}\right)^{3}
$$

where $v \equiv 2,4(\bmod 6)$.

## The Results

$$
(x+1)^{k}+(x+2)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d)^{k}=y^{n} .
$$

## Theorem (M. A. Bennett, V. Patel, S. Siksek)

Let $k=3$ and $2 \leqslant d \leqslant 50$. Then, any "non-trivial" integer solution $(x, y, n)$ must have $n=2$ or $n=3$.

Without loss of any generality, we can let $x \geqslant 1$.
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## Cubes that are Sums of Consecutive Cubes

$$
\begin{gathered}
3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3}=6^{3}, \quad \text { attributed to Lucas } \\
11^{3}+12^{3}+13^{3}+14^{3}=20^{3} \\
3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3}+\cdots+22^{3}=40^{3} \\
15^{3}+16^{3}+17^{3}+\cdots+34^{3}=70^{3} \\
6^{3}+7^{3}+8^{3}+\cdots+30^{3}=60^{3} \\
291^{3}+292^{3}+293^{3}+\cdots+339^{3}=1155^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Cubes that are Sums of Consecutive Cubes

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-2)^{3}+(-1)^{3}+0^{3}+1^{3}+2^{3}+3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3}=6^{3} \\
11^{3}+12^{3}+13^{3}+14^{3}=20^{3} \\
3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3}+\cdots+22^{3}=40^{3} \\
15^{3}+16^{3}+17^{3}+\cdots+34^{3}=70^{3} \\
6^{3}+7^{3}+8^{3}+\cdots+30^{3}=60^{3} \\
291^{3}+292^{3}+293^{3}+\cdots+339^{3}=1155^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The Methodology

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities ( $p, p, p$ ) | 49 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) |
| 2. | $p=2$ : Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in ( $x, y$ ) |
| 3. | $d=2$ : Results of Nagell | 2 equations ( $x, y, p$ ) |
| 4. | First descent: a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms: $p \geqslant 5$ <br> Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6. | Sophie-Germain type criterion (case $r \neq t$ ) $879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y)$ | 224 remain in $(x, y)$ |
| 7. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Modularity }(\text { case } r=t) \\ 27 \times & 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{aligned}$ | 53 remain in $(x, y)$ |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in ( $x, y$ ) |
|  | Equations remaining via 8., 6. and 7. | 1219 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver! | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 226 \\ 6 \text { solutions found! } \end{gathered}$ |

## Step 1. (And 4.) IS the Key!

1 By a $(p, p, p)$ equation, we mean $A x^{p}+B y^{p}=C z^{p}$.
2 Roughly speaking we have
(Linear Factor in $x$ ) (Quadratic Factor in $x)=y^{p}$.
3 Linear Factor $=\alpha y_{1}^{p}$.
4 Quadratic Factor $=(\text { Linear Factor })^{2}+$ Constant $=\beta y_{2}^{p}$.
5 Substitution should give $\alpha^{2}\left(y_{1}^{2}\right)^{p}+$ Constant $\cdot 1^{p}=\beta\left(y_{2}\right)^{p}$
Step 2.
$1 p=2$ solved by Stroeker (1995).
2 Integer points on Elliptic Curves.
3 Cubic in $x=y^{2}$. Ask magma!
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## The Magic of Sophie Germain

After Step 4. We have equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r y_{2}^{p}-s y_{1}^{2 p}=t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, s, t$ are positive integers, and $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s, t)=1$.
The linear forms in two logarithms bounds $p$. For each tuple $(r, s, t)$ we can apply the methods of Sophie Germain to eliminate equations/tuples for a fixed value of $p$.

## The Magic of Sophie Germain

## LEMMA

Let $p \geqslant 3$ be prime. Let $r$, $s$ and $t$ be positive integers satisfying $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s, t)=1$. Let $q=2 k p+1$ be a prime that does not divide $r$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(p, q)=\left\{\eta^{2 p}: \eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}=\{0\} \cup\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}: \zeta^{k}=1\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
B(p, q)=\left\{\zeta \in \mu(p, q):((s \zeta+t) / r)^{2 k} \in\{0,1\}\right\} .
$$

If $B(p, q)=\varnothing$, then equation (1) does not have integral solutions.

## The Magic of Sophie Germain

## Proof.

Suppose $B(p, q)=\varnothing$. Let $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ be a solution to (1). Let $\zeta={\overline{y_{1}}}^{2 p} \in \mu(p, q)$. From equation (1) we have

$$
(s \zeta+t) / r \equiv y_{2}^{p} \quad \bmod q .
$$

Thus

$$
((s \zeta+t) / r)^{2 k} \equiv y_{2}^{q-1} \equiv 0 \text { or } 1 \quad \bmod q .
$$

This shows that $\zeta \in B(p, q)$ giving a contradiction.

## The Magic of Sophie Germain - Why Does it WORK?

1 If there are no solutions to $r y_{2}^{p}-s y_{1}^{2 p}=t$,
2 and we take $p$ to be large, then
3 notice that $\# \mu(p, q)=k+1$.
4 For $\zeta \in \mu(p, q)$, the element $((s \zeta+t) / r)^{2 k} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is either 0 or an $p$-th root of unity.
(5) The "probability" that it belongs to the set $\{0,1\}$ is $2 /(p+1)$.
6 The "expected size" of $B(p, q)$ is $2(k+1) /(p+1) \approx 2 q / p^{2}$.
7 For large $p$ we expect to find a prime $q=2 k p+1$ such that $2 q / p^{2}$ is tiny and so we likewise expect that $\# B(p, q)=0$.
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## The Modular Way! $(r=t)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
r y_{2}^{p}-s y_{1}^{2 p}=t \\
y_{2}^{p}-(s / r) y_{1}^{2 p}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Has solutions $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=(0,1)$. This causes our previous lemma to fail.
However, the Modular Method does not see this solution. When constructing the Frey Curve, the discriminant is non-zero. Hence if $y_{1}=0$ then the discriminant is zero. (Similar to Fermat's Last Theorem).

## The Modular Way! $(r=t)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
r y_{2}^{p}-s y_{1}^{2 p}=t \\
y_{2}^{p}-(s / r) y_{1}^{2 p}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Has solutions $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=(0,1)$. This causes our previous lemma to fail.
However, the Modular Method does not see this solution. When constructing the Frey Curve, the discriminant is non-zero. Hence if $y_{1}=0$ then the discriminant is zero. (Similar to Fermat's Last Theorem).

## The Methodology

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities ( $p, p, p$ ) | 49 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) |
| 2. | $p=2$ : Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in ( $x, y$ ) |
| 3. | $d=2$ : Results of Nagell | 2 equations ( $x, y, p$ ) |
| 4. | First descent: a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms: $p \geqslant 5$ <br> Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6. | Sophie-Germain type criterion (case $r \neq t$ ) $879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y)$ | 224 remain in $(x, y)$ |
| 7. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Modularity }(\text { case } r=t) \\ 27 \times & 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{aligned}$ | 53 remain in $(x, y)$ |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in ( $x, y$ ) |
|  | Equations remaining via 8., 6. and 7. | 1219 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver! | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 226 \\ 6 \text { solutions found! } \end{gathered}$ |

## Pieter's Parity Parrot: Designed by Pieter Moree, Drawn by Kate Kattegat



## THE CASE $k=2$

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve | $k=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities ( $p, p, p$ ) | 49 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) | $(p, p, 2) \checkmark$ |
| 2. | $p=2$ : Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in $(x, y)$ | $\infty \checkmark$ |
| 3. | $d=2$ : Results of Nagell | 2 equations ( $x, y, p$ ) |  |
| 4. | First descent: a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in $(x, y, p)$ | $x$ |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms: $p \geqslant 5$ <br> Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sophie-Germain type criterion (case } r \neq t \text { ) } \\ & 879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y) \end{aligned}$ | 224 remain in $(x, y)$ | 土 |
| 7. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Modularity }(\text { case } r=t) \\ & 27 \times 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{aligned}$ | 53 remain in $(x, y)$ | Levels too big!! x |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in $(x, y)$ |  |
|  | Equations remaining via 8., 6. and 7. | 1219 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver! | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 226 \\ 6 \text { solutions found! } \end{gathered}$ | 三 $\quad$ a |

## Dimensions of $S_{2}(N)$

When $k=2$...

$$
d=22, \quad \operatorname{dim}=5280
$$

Dimension 200 is reasonable to compute with. We can push computations to dimension 2000 with some clever tricks. When $k=4$...

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=21, \quad \operatorname{dim} \approx 1,500,000 \\
d=30, \quad \operatorname{dim} \approx 804,000,000
\end{gathered}
$$
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## THE CASE $k=2$

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve | $k=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities ( $p, p, p$ ) | 49 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) | $(p, p, 2) \checkmark$ |
| 2. | $p=2$ : Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in $(x, y)$ | $\infty \checkmark$ |
| 3. | $d=2$ : Results of Nagell | 2 equations ( $x, y, p)$ |  |
| 4. | First descent: a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in $(x, y, p)$ | $x$ |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms: $p \geqslant 5$ <br> Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| 6. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sophie-Germain type criterion (case } r \neq t \text { ) } \\ 879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 224 remain in ( $x, y$ ) | 土 |
| 7. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Modularity (case } r=t) \\ 27 \times 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 53 remain in $(x, y)$ | Levels too big!! |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in ( $x, y$ ) |  |
|  | Equations remaining via 8., 6. and 7. | 1219 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver! | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 226 \\ 6 \text { solutions found! } \end{gathered}$ | 三 |

## THE CASE $k=2$

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve | $k=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities（ $p, p, p$ ） | 49 equations in（ $x, y, p$ ） | $(p, p, 2) \checkmark$ |
| 2. | $p=2$ ：Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in $(x, y)$ | $\infty \checkmark$ |
| 3. | $d=2$ ：Results of Nagell | 2 equations（ $x, y, p$ ） |  |
| 4. | First descent：a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in $(x, y, p)$ | $x$ |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms：$p \geqslant 5$ Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 愹 |
| 6. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sophie-Germain type criterion (case } r \neq t \text { ) } \\ 879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 224 remain in（ $x, y$ ） | 土 |
| 7. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Modularity (case } r=t) \\ 27 \times 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 53 remain in $(x, y)$ | Levels too big！！ |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in（ $x, y$ ） |  |
|  | Equations remaining via 8．，6．and 7. | 1219 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver！ | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 226 \\ 6 \text { solutions found! } \end{gathered}$ | 三 |

## Linear Forms in Three Logarithms

If I try... naively

$$
\approx 10^{20}
$$

If Mike Bennett tries... naively

$$
\approx 10^{14}
$$

If we manage to locate Mike Bennett and then get him to work...

$$
\approx 10^{10}
$$
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## Linear Forms in Three Logarithms

If I try... naively

$$
\approx 10^{20}
$$

If Mike Bennett tries... naively

$$
\approx 10^{14}
$$

If we manage to locate Mike Bennett and then get him to work...

$$
\approx 10^{10}
$$



## THE CASE $k=2$

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve | $k=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities ( $p, p, p$ ) | 49 equations in $(x, y, p)$ | $(p, p, 2) \checkmark$ |
| 2. | $p=2$ : Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in $(x, y)$ | $\infty \checkmark$ |
| 3. | $d=2:$ Results of Nagell | 2 equations ( $x, y, p$ ) |  |
| 4. | First descent: a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in $(x, y, p)$ | $x$ |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms: $p \geqslant 5$ <br> Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| 6. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sophie-Germain type criterion }(\text { case } r \neq t) \\ 879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 224 remain in ( $x, y$ ) | む |
| 7. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Modularity }(\text { case } r=t) \\ 27 \times 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 53 remain in ( $x, y$ ) | Levels too big!! x |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in ( $x, y$ ) |  |
|  | Equations remaining via 8., 6. and 7. | 1219 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver! | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 226 \\ 6 \text { solutions found! } \end{gathered}$ | 三 $\quad$ ¢ |

## THE CASE $k=2$

| Step | Method | Number of Equations to Solve | $k=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Useful equations and identities ( $p, p, p$ ) | 49 equations in ( $x, y, p$ ) | $(p, p, 2) \checkmark$ |
| 2. | $p=2$ : Integer points on elliptic curves | 49 equations in $(x, y)$ | $\infty \checkmark$ |
| 3. | $d=2$ : Results of Nagell | 2 equations ( $x, y, p$ ) |  |
| 4. | First descent: a factorisation for $p \geqslant 5$ | 906 equations in $(x, y, p)$ | $x$ |
| 5. | Linear Forms in two logarithms: $p \geqslant 5$ <br> Bounding $p<3 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \times 216814=196,433,484 \\ \text { equations in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| 6. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sophie-Germain type criterion (case } r \neq t \text { ) } \\ 879 \times 216814=190,579,506 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 224 remain in ( $x, y$ ) | 土 |
| 7. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Modularity (case } r=t) \\ 27 \times 216814=5,853,978 \text { in }(x, y) \end{gathered}$ | 53 remain in $(x, y)$ | Levels too big!! X |
| 8. | First descent when $p=3$ | 942 in ( $x, y$ ) |  |
|  | Equations remaining via 8., 6. and 7. | 1219 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | Local solubility tests <br> A further descent Thue solver! | 507 226 6 solutions found! | 三 |

## Pythagoras

$$
\begin{gathered}
3^{2}+4^{2}=5^{2} \\
20^{2}+21^{2}=29^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

An infinite family of solutions - can be given parametrically!

## Even $k$ and Towards Densities

## Theorem (Zhang and Bai, 2013)

Let $q$ be a prime such that $q \equiv 5,7(\bmod 12)$. Suppose $q \| d$. Then the equation $x^{2}+(x+1)^{2}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{2}=y^{n}$ has no integer solutions.

## Corollary (Use Dirichlet's Theorem)

Let $A_{2}$ be the set of integers $d \geqslant 2$ such that the equation

$$
x^{2}+(x+1)^{2}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{2}=y^{n}
$$

has a solution $(x, y, n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ has natural density zero.

## Even $k$ and Towards Densities

## Theorem (Zhang and Bai, 2013)

Let $q$ be a prime such that $q \equiv 5,7(\bmod 12)$. Suppose $q \| d$. Then the equation $x^{2}+(x+1)^{2}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{2}=y^{n}$ has no integer solutions.

## Corollary (Use Dirichlet's Theorem)

Let $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ be the set of integers $d \geqslant 2$ such that the equation

$$
x^{2}+(x+1)^{2}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{2}=y^{n}
$$

has a solution $(x, y, n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ has natural density zero.

## The Result

## Theorem (V. Patel, S. Siksek)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Let $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ be the set of integers $d \geqslant 2$ such that the equation

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots(x+d-1)^{k}=y^{n}, \quad x, y, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \geqslant 2
$$

has a solution $(x, y, n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ has natural density zero. In other words we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{d \in \mathcal{A}_{k}: d \leqslant X\right\}}{X}=0 .
$$

## The Result

## Theorem (V. Patel, S. Siksek)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer and let $r$ be a non-zero integer. Let $\mathcal{A}_{k, r}$ be the set of integers $d \geqslant 2$ such that the equation

$$
x^{k}+(x+r)^{k}+\cdots(x+r(d-1))^{k}=y^{n}, \quad x, y, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \geqslant 2
$$

has a solution $(x, y, n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{k, r}$ has natural density zero. In other words we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{d \in \mathcal{A}_{k, r}: d \leqslant X\right\}}{X}=0
$$

## Bernoulli polynomials and relation to sums of CONSECUTIVE POWERS

## Definition (Bernoulli Numbers, $b_{k}$ )

$$
\frac{x}{e^{x}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}
$$

$b_{0}=1, b_{1}=-1 / 2, b_{2}=1 / 6, b_{3}=0, b_{4}=-1 / 30, b_{5}=0, b_{6}=1 / 42$.

## LEMMA

$b_{2 k+1}=0$ for $k \geqslant 1$.

## BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS AND RELATION TO SUMS of CONSECUTIVE POWERS

## Definition (Bernoulli Polynomial, $B_{k}$ )

$$
B_{k}(x):=\sum_{m=0}^{k}\binom{k}{m} b_{m} x^{k-m}
$$

## LEMMA

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=\frac{1}{k+1}\left(B_{k+1}(x+d)-B_{k}(x)\right) .
$$

## Bernoulli polynomials and Relation to sums of CONSECUTIVE POWERS

## Definition (Bernoulli Polynomial, $B_{k}$ )

$$
B_{k}(x):=\sum_{m=0}^{k}\binom{k}{m} b_{m} x^{k-m}
$$

## LEMMA

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=\frac{1}{k+1}\left(B_{k+1}(x+d)-B_{k}(x)\right) .
$$

## Bernoulli polynomials and Relation to sums OF CONSECUTIVE POWERS

## LEMMA

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=\frac{1}{k+1}\left(B_{k+1}(x+d)-B_{k}(x)\right) .
$$

Apply Taylor's Theorem and use $B_{k+1}^{\prime}(x)=(k+1) \cdot B_{k}(x)$.

## Lemma

Let $q \geqslant k+3$ be a prime. Let $d \geqslant 2$. Suppose that $q \mid d$. Then

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k} \equiv d \cdot B_{k}(x) \quad\left(\bmod q^{2}\right) .
$$

## Bernoulli polynomials and relation to sums of CONSECUTIVE POWERS

## LEMMA
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x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=\frac{1}{k+1}\left(B_{k+1}(x+d)-B_{k}(x)\right) .
$$

Apply Taylor's Theorem and use $B_{k+1}^{\prime}(x)=(k+1) \cdot B_{k}(x)$.
LEMMA
Let $q \geqslant k+3$ be a prime. Let $d \geqslant 2$. Suppose that $q \mid d$. Then

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k} \equiv d \cdot B_{k}(x) \quad\left(\bmod q^{2}\right)
$$

## Bernoulli polynomials and relation to sums of CONSECUTIVE POWERS

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=y^{n} .
$$

## Proposition (Criterion)

Let $k \geqslant 2$. Let $q \geqslant k+3$ be a prime such that the congruence $B_{k}(x) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$ has no solutions. Let $d$ be a positive integer such that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(d)=1$. Then the equation has no solutions. (i.e. $d \notin \mathcal{A}_{k}$ ).

Remark: Computationally we checked $k \leqslant 75,000$ and we could always find such a $q$.

## Bernoulli polynomials and relation to sums of CONSECUTIVE POWERS

$$
x^{k}+(x+1)^{k}+\cdots+(x+d-1)^{k}=y^{n} .
$$

## Proposition (Criterion)

Let $k \geqslant 2$. Let $q \geqslant k+3$ be a prime such that the congruence $B_{k}(x) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$ has no solutions. Let $d$ be a positive integer such that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(d)=1$. Then the equation has no solutions. (i.e. $d \notin \mathcal{A}_{k}$ ).

Remark: Computationally we checked $k \leqslant 75,000$ and we could always find such a $q$.

## Relation to Densities?

We need to use Chebotarev's density theorem, which can be seen as "a generalisation of Dirichlet's theorem" on primes in arithmetic progression.

## PROPOSITION

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be even and let $G$ be the Galois group of $B_{k}(x)$. Then there is an element $\mu \in G$ that acts freely on the roots of $B_{k}(x)$.

Assuming the proposition, we may then use Chebotarev's density theorem to find a set of primes $q_{i}$ with positive Dirichlet density such that $\operatorname{Frob}_{q_{i}} \in G$ is conjugate to $\mu$. Then we can apply Niven's results to deduce our Theorem.

## Relation to Densities?

We need to use Chebotarev's density theorem, which can be seen as "a generalisation of Dirichlet's theorem" on primes in arithmetic progression.

## Proposition

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be even and let $G$ be the Galois group of $B_{k}(x)$. Then there is an element $\mu \in G$ that acts freely on the roots of $B_{k}(x)$.

Assuming the proposition, we may then use Chebotarev's density theorem to find a set of primes $q_{i}$ with positive Dirichlet density such that $\operatorname{Frob}_{q_{i}} \in G$ is conjugate to $\mu$. Then we can apply Niven's results to deduce our Theorem.

## Niven's Results (Flash!)

## The setup:

1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of positive integers.
】 Define: $\mathcal{A}(X)=\#\{d \in \mathcal{A}: d \leqslant X\}$ for positive $X$.
3 Natural Density: $\delta(\mathcal{A})=\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(X) / X$.
4 Given a prime $q$, define: $\mathcal{A}^{(q)}=\left\{d \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{ord}_{q}(d)=1\right\}$.

## Theorem (Niven)

Let $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ be a set of primes such that $\delta\left(\mathcal{A}^{\left(q_{i}\right)}\right)=0$ and $\sum q_{i}^{-1}=\infty$. Then $\delta(\mathcal{A})=0$.

## A LEgEndre Symbol analogue

## Proposition

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be even and let $G$ be the Galois group $B_{k}(x)$. Then there is an element $\mu \in G$ that acts freely on the roots of $B_{k}(x)$.

## Conjecture

For any even integer $k, B_{k}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Remark: The conjecture implies the Proposition. This then proves our Theorem.

## A Legendre Symbol analogue

## Proposition

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be even and let $G$ be the Galois group $B_{k}(x)$. Then there is an element $\mu \in G$ that acts freely on the roots of $B_{k}(x)$.

## Conjecture

For any even integer $k, B_{k}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Remark: The conjecture implies the Proposition. This then proves our Theorem.

## Tough Stuff

A sketch of an unconditional proof!

## PROPOSITION

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be even and let $G$ be the Galois group $B_{k}(x)$. Then there is an element $\mu \in G$ that acts freely on the roots of $B_{k}(x)$.

## Theorem (von Staudt-Clausen)

$$
\text { Let } n \geqslant 2 \text { be even. Then }
$$

$$
b_{n}+\sum_{(p-1) \mid n} \frac{1}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

## 2 Is the Oddest Prime

The Newton Polygon of $B_{k}(x)$ for $k=2^{s} \cdot t, s \geqslant 1$.

$$
B_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{k-i} b_{k-i} x^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} x^{i}
$$



## Another nice Result

1 Sloping part corresponds to irreducible factor over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$.
2 Root in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ must have valuation zero.
3 Root belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and is odd.
4 Symmetry $(-1)^{k} B_{k}(x)=B_{k}(1-x)$ gives a contradiction.

## Theorem (V. Patel, S. Siksek)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Then $B_{k}(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$.

## Theorem (K. Inkeri, 1959)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Then $B_{k}(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}$.

## Another nice Result

1 Sloping part corresponds to irreducible factor over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$.
2 Root in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ must have valuation zero.
3 Root belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and is odd.
4 Symmetry $(-1)^{k} B_{k}(x)=B_{k}(1-x)$ gives a contradiction.

## Theorem (V. Patel, S. Siksek)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Then $B_{k}(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$.

## Theorem (K. Inkeri, 1959)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Then $B_{k}(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}$.

## Another nice Result

1 Sloping part corresponds to irreducible factor over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$.
■ Root in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ must have valuation zero.
3 Root belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and is odd.
4 Symmetry $(-1)^{k} B_{k}(x)=B_{k}(1-x)$ gives a contradiction.
Theorem (V. Patel, S. Siksek)
Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Then $B_{k}(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$.

## Theorem (K. Inkeri, 1959)

Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an even integer. Then $B_{k}(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}$.

## What is Going On?



## What is Going On?


$\mu$ lives here!

## A sketch proof of the Proposition

## The Setup:

- $k \geqslant 2$ is even.
- $L$ is the splitting field of $B_{k}(x)$.
- $G$ is the Galois group of $B_{k}(x)$.
- $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime above 2 .
- $\nu_{2}$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ which we extend uniquely to $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (also call it $\nu_{2}$ ).
- $H=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{\mathfrak{F}} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \subset G$ be the decomposition subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}$.


## A sketch proof of the Proposition

$$
B_{k}(x)=g(x) h(x)
$$

where $g(x)$ has degree $k-2^{s}$. Label the roots $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-2^{s}}\right\}$, and $h(x)$ has degree $2^{s}$. Label the roots $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{2^{s}}\right\}$.

- All roots $\subset L_{\beta}$.
- $h(x)$ is irreducible.
- Therefore $H$ acts transitively on $\beta_{j}$.

■ Pick $\mu \in H$ such that $\mu$ acts freely on the roots of $h(x)$.
■ Check it doesn't end up fixing a root of $g(x)$.

## "Bad Prime = Extremely Useful Prime!"

The Newton Polygon of $B_{k}(x)$ for $k=2^{s} \cdot t, s \geqslant 1$.


## Finding $\mu$

## LEMMA

Let $H$ be a finite group acting transitively on a finite set $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right\}$. Let $H_{i} \subset H$ be the stabiliser of $\beta_{i}$ and suppose $H_{1}=H_{2}$. Let $\pi: H \rightarrow C$ be a surjective homomorphism from $H$ onto a cyclic group $C$. Then there exists some $\mu \in H$ acting freely on $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right\}$ such that $\pi(\mu)$ is a generator of $C$.

1 Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the residue field of $\mathfrak{P}$.
凹 Let $C=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} / \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$.
B $C$ is cyclic generated by the Frobenius map: $\bar{\gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}^{2}$.
4 Let $\pi: H \rightarrow C$ be the induced surjection.
■ Finally use the Lemma.

## FINDING $\mu$

## LEMMA

Let $H$ be a finite group acting transitively on a finite set $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right\}$. Let $H_{i} \subset H$ be the stabiliser of $\beta_{i}$ and suppose $H_{1}=H_{2}$. Let $\pi: H \rightarrow C$ be a surjective homomorphism from $H$ onto a cyclic group $C$. Then there exists some $\mu \in H$ acting freely on $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right\}$ such that $\pi(\mu)$ is a generator of $C$.

1 Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the residue field of $\mathfrak{P}$.
$\mathbf{2}$ Let $C=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} / \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$.
$3 C$ is cyclic generated by the Frobenius map: $\bar{\gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}^{2}$.
4 Let $\pi: H \rightarrow C$ be the induced surjection.
5 Finally use the Lemma.

## CHECK $g(x)$

$$
B_{k}(x)=g(x) h(x)
$$

where $g(x)$ has degree $k-2^{s}$. Label the roots $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-2^{s}}\right\}$, and $h(x)$ has degree $2^{s}$. Label the roots $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{2^{s}}\right\}$.

## LEMMA

$\mu$ acts freely on the $\alpha_{i}$.

1 Suppose not. Let $\alpha$ be a root that is fixed by $\mu$.
2 $\nu_{2}(\alpha)=0$ so let $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha(\bmod \mathfrak{P}), \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$.
$3 \alpha$ fixed by $\mu$ hence $\bar{\alpha}$ fixed by $\langle\pi(\mu)\rangle=C$.
4 Hence $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{2} . f(x)=2 B_{k}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$.
5 f( $\overline{1})=f(\overline{0})=\overline{1}$. A contradiction!

## Thank you for Listening!



## SOLVING THE EQUATIONS FOR $k=2$

$$
\begin{gathered}
d\left(\left(x+\frac{d+1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(d+1)}{12}\right)=y^{p} \\
X^{2}+C \cdot 1^{p}=(1 / d) y^{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

## SOLVING THE EQUATIONS FOR $k=2$

| $d$ | Equation | Level | Dimension |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $2 y^{p}-5 \times 7=3(2 x+7)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 3^{2} \times 5 \times 7$ | 480 |
| 11 | $11^{p-1} y^{p}-2 \times 5=(x+6)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 5 \times 11$ | 160 |
| 13 | $13^{p-1} y^{p}-2 \times 7=(x+7)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 7 \times 13$ | 288 |
| 22 | $2 \times 11^{p-1} y^{p}-7 \times 23=(2 x+23)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 7 \times 11 \times 23$ | 5,280 |
| 23 | $23^{p-1} y^{p}-2^{2} \times 11=(x+12)^{2}$ | $2^{3} \times 11 \times 23$ | 54 |
| 26 | $2 \times 13^{p-1} y^{p}-3^{2} \times 5^{2}=(2 x+27)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 3 \times 5 \times 13$ | 384 |
| 33 | $11^{p-1} y^{p}-2^{4} \times 17=3(x+17)^{2}$ | $2^{3} \times 3^{2} \times 11 \times 17$ | 200 |
| 37 | $37^{p-1} y^{p}-2 \times 3 \times 19=(x+19)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 3 \times 19 \times 37$ | 5,184 |
| 39 | $13^{p-1} y^{p}-2^{2} \times 5 \times 19=3(x+20)^{2}$ | $2^{3} \times 3^{2} \times 5 \times 13 \times 19$ | 1,080 |
| 46 | $2 \times 23^{p-1} y^{p}-3^{2} \times 5 \times 47=(2 x+47)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 3 \times 5 \times 23 \times 47$ | 32,384 |
| 47 | $47^{p-1} y^{p}-2^{3} \times 23=(x+24)^{2}$ | $2^{5} \times 23 \times 47$ | 1,012 |
| 59 | $59^{p-1} y^{p}-2 \times 5 \times 29=(x+30)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 5 \times 29 \times 59$ | 25,984 |

## Solving The equations for $k=4$

| $d$ | Equation | Level | Dimension |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $y^{p}+2 \times 73=5(X)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 5^{2} \times 73$ | 5,472 |
| 6 | $y^{p}+7 \times 53=6(X)^{2}$ | $2^{8} \times 3^{2} \times 7 \times 53$ | 12,480 |
| 7 | $7^{p-1} y^{p}+2^{2} \times 29=(X)^{2}$ | $2^{3} \times 7 \times 29$ | 42 |
| 10 | $y^{p}+3 \times 11 \times 149=10(X)^{2}$ | $2^{8} \times 5^{2} \times 3 \times 11 \times 149$ | 449,920 |
| 13 | $13^{p-1} y^{p}+2 \times 7 \times 101=(X)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 7 \times 13 \times 101$ | 28,800 |
| 14 | $7^{p-1} y^{p}+13 \times 293=2(X)^{2}$ | $2^{8} \times 7 \times 13 \times 293$ | 168,192 |
| 15 | $y^{p}+2^{3} \times 7 \times 673=15(X)^{2}$ | $2^{5} \times 3^{2} \times 5^{2} \times 7 \times 673$ | 383,040 |
| 17 | $17^{p-1} y^{p}+2^{3} \times 3 \times 173=(X)^{2}$ | $2^{5} \times 3 \times 17 \times 173$ | 5,504 |
| 19 | $19^{p-1} y^{p}+2 \times 3 \times 23 \times 47=(X)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 3 \times 19 \times 23 \times 47$ | 145,728 |
| 21 | $7^{p-1} y^{p}+2 \times 11 \times 1321=3(X)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 3^{2} \times 7 \times 11 \times 1321$ | $1,584,000$ |
| 26 | $13^{p-1} y^{p}+3^{2} \times 5 \times 1013=2(X)^{2}$ | $2^{8} \times 3 \times 5 \times 13 \times 1013$ | 777,216 |
| 29 | $29^{p-1} y^{p}+2 \times 7 \times 2521=(X)^{2}$ | $2^{7} \times 7 \times 29 \times 2521$ | $1,693,440$ |
| 30 | $y^{p}+19 \times 29 \times 31 \times 71=30(X)^{2}$ | $2^{8} \times 3^{2} \times 5^{2} \times 19 \times 29 \times 31 \times 71$ | $804,384,000$ |

Where $X$ is a quadratic in the original variable $x$.

