MA932 MathSys Research Study Group Group Report Assessment / feedback form

Study Group:
Name of assessor 1:
Name of assessor 2:
Grade from assessor 1:
Grade from assessor 2:
Final grade awarded (after consultation with other assessor):

Is the final grade an average of the two grades given by the individual assessors? YES / NO

Instructions:

• The assessor should assign a grade to the written report for each of the components of assessment listed below, taking into account any feedback provided by supervisor and/or the external partner. The assignment of marks should be with reference to the following marking system (for reference, a student with an overall MSc grade below 65 is normally considered unsuitable for progression to PhD study.):

80+: outstanding performance, awarded only in exceptional cases 70–79: MSc distinction level 60–69: MSc merit level 50–59: MSc pass level < 50: MSc fail

- Comments should be provided justifying the marks awarded. Comments should be constructive since these sheets will be provided to the students as feedback.
- A final mark for the report as a whole should be awarded, informed by the marks for the different components of assessment on page two. The assignment of the final mark should be done after discussion with the second assessor. The marks awarded by the first and second assessors do not have to be identical but large differences in opinion should be reconciled before a final mark is awarded.
- The final mark represents the assessor's overall view of the report and is not a numerical average of the marks awarded for the individual components.
- A signed copy of this form should be returned to the CDT Administrator.

Signature of assessor:

Date:

Components of assessment

(see below for further details of assessment criteria for each component)

1 - Overall presentation:

Mark:

2 - Relevance and quality of figures:

Mark:

3 - Standard of English:

Mark:

4 – Structure:

Mark:

5 - Scientific content:

Mark:

6 - Real world impact:

Mark: