

Tim Gershon University of Warwick

15th August 2019

1







B physics colliders/experiments

asymmetric

e+e- colliders

- Exploit resonant enhancement for e+e- $\rightarrow Y(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$
- Produce only BB pair in quantumentangled state
 - CESR/CLEO 1979-2001
 - PEP-II/<mark>BaBar</mark> 1999-2008
 - KEKB/Belle 1999-2010
 - SuperKEKB/<mark>Belle2</mark> 2019-
- $[e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ also interesting but will not be discussed here]

Hadron colliders

- Exploit large production cross-sections at high energy
 - Gain for colliders vs. fixed target
- Produce all species of b hadrons, incoherently
- Many additional particles in $pp \rightarrow b\overline{b}X$: challenges to record data
 - Tevatron (pp)/CDF+D0 2001-2011
 - LHC (pp)/ATLAS+CMS+LHCb 2009-
 - HL-LHC (pp)/LHCb upgrades 202X-

Observation of a Dimuon Resonance at 9.5 GeV in 400-GeV Proton-Nucleus Collisions

S. W. Herb, D. C. Hom, I. M. Ledorman, J. C. Sens,⁽ⁿ⁾ H. D. Snyder, and J. K. Yoh Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

and

J. A. Appel, B. C. Brown, C. N. Brown, W. R. Innes, K. Ueno, and T. Yamanouchi Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Rilnois 60510

and

A. S. Ho, H. Jostloin, D. M. Kaplan, and R. D. Kephari State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York (1974 (Received 1 July 1977)

Accepted without review at the request of Edwin L. Goldwasser under policy announced 26 April 1976

Dimuon production is studied in 400-GeV proton-nucleus collisions. A strong enhancement is observed at 9.5 GeV mass in a sample of 9000 dimuon events with a mass $m_{\mu^+\mu^-} > 5$ GeV.



FIG. 1. Plan view of the apparatus. Each spectrometer arm includes eleven PWC's PI-P11, seven scintillation counter hodoscopes HI-H7, a drift chamber D1 and a gas-filled threshold Čerenkov counter \tilde{C} . Each arm is up/ down symmetric and hence accepts both positive and negative muons.

X

u, Pt}

+

0

×

{Cu, Pt}

+

0



FIG, 3, (a) Measured dimuon production cross sections as a function of the invariant mass of the muon pair. The solid line is the continuum fit outlined in the text. The equal-sign-dimuon cross section is also shown. (b) The same cross sections as in (a) with the smooth exponential continuum fit subtracted in order to reveal the 9-10-GeV region in more detail.

How to discover particles

- The existence of the bottom quark was established in 1977 through the discovery of the Y state
- The Y is the vector ($J^{PC} = 1-$) bottomonium ($b\overline{b}$) state
- There is a lighter bottomonia, the $\eta_{\rm b}$ (J^{\rm PC} = 0^{-+})
- Hadron colliders produce states with all quantum numbers
 - true for the 1977 FNAL experiment
- ... so why was the Y discovered before the η_b ?
 - [the η_b eventually discovered in 2008 by BaBar]

How to discover particles

- ... so why was the Y discovered before the η_b ?
- It is not enough to produce particles, you also have to detect them
 - i.e. trigger the event & separate signal from background
- A pp $\rightarrow b\overline{b}X$ event looks very similar to any other QCD pp $\rightarrow q\overline{q}X$ process (q = light quark), except when
 - leptons (especially muons) produced in decay [relevant in 1977 & still now]
 - displaced vertex of b hadrons can be identified [relevant in modern experiments]
- Y decays to $\mu^+\mu^-$ but η_b does not (highly suppressed in SM)
- $[e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ at threshold and therefore very different to $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$]

Strengths of e^+e^- & hadron colliders

- Sometimes said that hadron colliders are good for discovery, e+e- for precision measurements
 - Excessively simplistic
- Or, that e+e- provides a clean (low background) environment, while hadron collisions do not
 - Also not true in general for b physics
- Nonetheless, they do have complementary strengths

	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S) \to B\bar{B}$	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X$	$pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}X$
	PEP-II, KEKB	$(\sqrt{s} = 2 \text{ TeV})$ Tevatron	$(\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV})$ LHC
Production cross-section	1 nb	$\sim 100\mu b$	$\sim 500\mu b$
Typical <i>b</i> b̄ rate	10Hz	$\sim 100\mathrm{kHz}$	$\sim 500kHz$
Pile-up	0	1.7	0.5-20
b hadron mixture	$B^{+}B^{-}$ (50%), $B^{0}\overline{B}^{0}$ (50%)	B^+ (40%), B^0 (40%), B_s^0 (10%),	
		Λ_{b}^{0} (10%),	others ($< 1\%$)
<i>b</i> hadron boost	small ($\beta \gamma \sim 0.5$)	large ($\beta \gamma \sim 100$)	
Underlying event	$B\bar{B}$ pair alone	Many additional particles	
Production vertex	Not reconstructed	Reconstructed from many tracks	
$B^0 - \overline{B}^0$ pair production	Coherent (from $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay)	Incoherent	
Flavour tagging power	$arepsilon D^2 \sim 30\%$	$arepsilon D^2 \ \sim 5\%$	

How to design a B physics experiment?

- Depends on exactly what you want to measure
- Easier argument 20+ years ago for BaBar/Belle
 - Single golden mode ($B_0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$ to measure sin2 β)
- Today there are many observables we want to study
 - e.g. anomalies showing up in places they were not expected
- Fortunately, general features (tracking, calorimetry + vertexing, particle ID) give good sensitivity to most
 - ... and also enable a great deal of additional (non-B) physics
 - e.g. B physics experiments are also charm physics experiments

The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa Quark Mixing Matrix



$$\boldsymbol{V}_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_{ud} & \boldsymbol{V}_{us} & \boldsymbol{V}_{ub} \\ \boldsymbol{V}_{cd} & \boldsymbol{V}_{cs} & \boldsymbol{V}_{cb} \\ \boldsymbol{V}_{td} & \boldsymbol{V}_{ts} & \boldsymbol{V}_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$



- A 3x3 unitary matrix
- Described by 4 real parameters allows CP violation
 - PDG (Chau-Keung) parametrisation: θ_{12} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} , δ
 - Wolfenstein parametrisation: λ , A, ρ , η
- Highly predictive



$$\rho + i\eta = \frac{\sqrt{1 - A^2} \lambda^2 (\rho + i\eta)}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} \left[1 - A^2 \lambda^4 (\overline{\rho} + i\overline{\eta})\right]}$$

Predictive nature of KM mechanism

In the Standard Model the KM phase is the sole origin of CP violation

Hence:

all measurements must agree on the position of the apex of the Unitarity Triangle

(Illustration shown assumes no experimental or theoretical uncertainties)



Decay-time-dependent CP violation in the $B^0-\overline{B}^0$ system

 For a B meson known to be 1) B^o or 2) B^o at time t=0, then at later time t:

 \boldsymbol{B}^{O}

 $=\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}}$

<u>q</u> р

 Δm

A

 $\Gamma\left(B_{phys}^{0} \rightarrow f_{CP}(t)\right) \propto e^{-\Gamma t} \left(1 - \left(S\sin\left(\Delta mt\right) - C\cos\left(\Delta mt\right)\right)\right)$ $\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_{phys}^{0} \rightarrow f_{CP}(t)\right) \approx e^{-\Gamma t} \left(1 - \left(S\sin\left(\Delta mt\right) - C\cos\left(\Delta mt\right)\right)\right)$

$$(\overline{B}_{phys}^{0} \rightarrow f_{CP}(t)) \propto e^{-it} |1 + (S\sin(\Delta m t) - C\cos(\Delta m t))|$$

here assume $\Delta\Gamma$ negligible – will see full expressions later



For $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$, $S = sin(2\beta)$, C=0

NPB 193 (1981) 85

Categories of CP violation

 $\lambda_{CP} =$

 Consider decay of neutral particle to a CP eigenstate

$$\frac{q}{p}\frac{\overline{A}}{\overline{A}} \qquad \stackrel{\Delta m}{\longrightarrow} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{f_{CP}}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{B^{0}}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{B^{0}}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{B^{0}}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{B^{0}}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{B^{0}}{\xrightarrow{}} \qquad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \quad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{} \xrightarrow{}} \quad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \quad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}} \quad \stackrel{A}{\xrightarrow{}$$

$$|\frac{q}{p}| \neq 1$$
$$|\frac{\overline{A}}{A}| \neq 1$$
$$\Im\left(\frac{q}{p}\frac{\overline{A}}{A}\right) \neq 0$$

CP violation in mixing

CP violation in decay

CP violation in interference between mixing and decay

Asymmetric B factory principle

To measure t require B meson to be moving

 \rightarrow e⁺e⁻ at threshold with asymmetric collisions (Oddone)



Asymmetric B FactoriesPEPII at SLACKEKB at KEK9.0 GeV e⁻ on 3.1 GeV e⁺8.0 GeV e⁻ on 3.5 GeV e⁺





B factories – world record luminosities





~ 711/fb on Y(4S)

Total over 10⁹ BB pairs recorded



BaBar Detector





Particle ID with Cherenkov radiation



Particle travelling above speed of light in medium (with refractive index n) emits light in cone with opening angle given by $\cos \theta_c = 1/(\beta n)$ BaBar DIRC: quartz radiator (n = 1.473)



Thresholds also provide separation

Particle ID with Cherenkov radiation



Particle travelling above speed of light in medium (with refractive index n) emits light in cone with opening angle given by $\cos \theta_c = 1/(\beta n)$ BaBar DIRC: quartz radiator (n = 1.473)



Thresholds also provide separation

Results for the golden mode $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$

BABAR



BELLE

Asymmetry \approx (1–2w) sin(2 β) sin(Δ m Δ t)

Compilation of results



World average $sin(2\beta) = 0.699 \pm 0.017$

A brief history of CP violation and Nobel Prizes

- 1964 Discovery of CP violation in K⁰ system
- 1973 Kobayashi and Maskawa propose 3 generations
- 1980 Nobel Prize to Cronin and Fitch



- 2001 Discovery of CP violation in B_d system
- 2008 Nobel Prize to Kobayashi and Maskawa





Belle PRL 87 (2001) 091802

PRL 13 (1964) 138

Prog.Theor.Phys. 49 (1973) 652

 $484 < m^* < 494 + 10$ $484 < m^* < 494 + 10$ $484 < m^* < 494 + 10$ $494 < m^* < 504 + 10$ $494 < m^* < 504 + 10$ $504 < m^* < 514 + 10$ $504 < m^* < 514 + 10$ $504 < m^* < 514 + 10$ 300 = 10 300 = 10

FIG. 3. Angular distribution in three mass ranges for events with $\cos \delta > 0.9995$.



BABAR PRL 87 (2001) 091801

Unitarity Triangle today





R_{II} side from semileptonic decays



- Approaches:
 - exclusive semileptonic B decays, e.g. $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu$
 - require knowledge of form factors (lattice QCD)
 - inclusive semileptonic B decays, e.g. $B \rightarrow X_u e^+ v$
 - clean theory in principle
 - but experimentally need to reject $b \rightarrow c$ background
 - re-introduce theoretical uncertainties

$|V_{ub}|$ from exclusive semileptonic decays

Current best measurements use $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- I^+ \nu$ (recent competitive measurement from LHCb with $\Lambda_{h} \rightarrow p \mu \nu$)



29





How to measure B_s oscillations?

- [First done by CDF, but excelled at by LHCb]
- Produce B_s mesons ... with a large boost
 - high-energy hadron collisions
- Measure their flight distance and momentum
 - need excellent vertexing performance
- Measure their flavour at production and decay
 - initial state flavour tagging (particle identification)
 - final state such as $D_s^-\pi^+$ ideal (no leptons!)



LHCb experiment

- In high energy collisions, bb pairs produced predominantly in forward or backward directions
- LHCb is a forward spectrometer



VELO





Material imaged used beam gas collisions



Vertexing kills background

Comparison of (left) Belle and (right) LHCb signals for $B^0 \rightarrow D^-\pi^+$ Which is the "low background" environment?





Particle ID kills other backgrounds

Comparison of (left) Belle and (right) LHCb signals for $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$



Tomorrow

- More key observables
 - CP violation in decay: the CKM angle γ
 - CP violation in the B_s system
 - Rare decays: $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}I^+I^-$, $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\nu$
- Future B physics experiments
 - Belle II
 - LHCb upgrades