Searching beyond the Standard Model of Particle Physics with the LHCb experiment

> Tim Gershon University of Warwick

UCAS, 23 November 2023

1

A very brief introduction to the Standard Model (1)

• Relativistic quantum mechanics (Dirac, Weyl)



"It seems to be one of the fundamental features of nature that fundamental physical laws are described in terms of a mathematical theory of great beauty and power."

A very brief introduction to the Standard Model (2)

 Quantum field theory (Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga) with non-Abelian gauge fields (Yang, Mills)



A very brief introduction to the Standard Model (2)

 Quantum field theory (Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga) with non-Abelian gauge fields (Yang, Mills)



A very brief introduction to the Standard Model (3)

• The Standard Model gauge group (Gell-Mann, Zweig, Weinberg, Glashow, Salam)

SU(3)_c x SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y

three copies ("colours") of each fermion that interacts with the QCD gauge group

two copies ("weak isospin") of each fermion that interacts with the SU(2) gauge group weak hypercharge governs strength of (Abelian) U(1) interaction

A very brief introduction to the Standard Model (3)

• The Standard Model gauge group (Gell-Mann, Zweig, Weinberg, Glashow, Salam)

SU(3)_c x SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y

three copies ("colours") of each fermion that interacts with the QCD gauge group

two copies ("weak isospin") of each fermion that interacts with the SU(2) gauge group weak hypercharge governs strength of (Abelian) U(1) interaction

SU(2)_L acts only on left-handed chiral component! (Parity violation: Lee, Yang, Wu) Mass terms couple left- and right-handed components \rightarrow only massless particles!

A very brief introduction to the Standard Model (4)

• Electroweak symmetry breaking (Weinberg, Glashow, Salam, Higgs, Brout, Englert, etc.)

$$V(\phi) = \mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2$$



Higgs field (actually a complex scalar doublet)

At low energies the SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y electroweak symmetry is broken

 \rightarrow Explains gauge boson masses and differences in charged current and neutral current weak interaction strengths

→ Fermion masses arise through "Yukawa" interactions with Higgs field ⁷









Muons were discovered in 1936 from studies of cosmic radiation

Radius of curvature of charged particle in magnetic field ∝ charge/mass

Flavour physics

Flavour (particle physics)

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In particle physics, **flavour** or **flavor** is a quantum number of elementary particles. In quantum chromodynamics, flavour is a global symmetry. In the electroweak theory, on the other hand, this symmetry is broken, and flavour-changing processes exist, such as quark decay or neutrino oscillations.

"The term flavor was first used in particle physics in the context of the quark model of hadrons. It was coined in 1971 by Murray Gell-Mann and his student at the time, Harald Fritzsch, at a Baskin-Robbins icecream store in Pasadena. Just as ice cream has both color and flavor so do quarks."

RMP 81 (2009) 1887

Flavour in particle physics

Flavour quantum numbers:

- Baryon number: B
- Lepton number: L
- Strangeness: S
- Charm: C
- Bottomness: B'
- Topness: T
- Isospin: I or I₃
- Weak isospin: T or T₃
- Electric charge: Q
- X-charge: X

Combinations:

- Hypercharge: Y
 - Y = (B + S + C + B' + T)
 - Y = 2 (Q I₃)
- Weak hypercharge: Y_W
- Y_W = 2 (Q T₃)
- $X + 2Y_W = 5 (B L)$

Flavour mixing

- CKM matrix
- PMNS matrix

- 14
- Flavour complementarity

Mysteries of flavour physics

- Why so many fermions?
- What explains
 - > the mixing patterns?
 - > the matter-antimatter asymmetries (CP violation)?
- Are there connections between quarks and leptons?

Quark (and lepton) mixing

- Quarks acquire mass after electroweak symmetry breaking
 - Separate 3x3 mass matrices for "up-type" and "down-type" quarks (weak isospin $+\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$)
- Eigenstates of these matrices different for weak interactions and Yukawa interactions
 - Require diagonalisation matrix to convert between bases
- Diagonalisation different for "up-type" and "down-type" quarks
 - Relative misalignment: CKM matrix (Cabibbo, Kobayashi, Maskawa)

CP violation

• Concluding words of Dirac's 1933 Nobel lecture

"If we accept the view of complete symmetry between positive and negative electric charge so far as concerns the fundamental laws of Nature, we must regard it rather as an accident that the Earth (and presumably the whole solar system), contains a preponderance of negative electrons and positive protons. It is quite possible that for some of the stars it is the other way about, these stars being built up mainly of positrons and negative protons. In fact, there may be half the stars of each kind. The two kinds of stars would both show exactly the same spectra, and there would be no way of distinguishing them by present astronomical methods."

 In fact there are no "anti-stars" because there is not complete symmetry between matter and antimatter → CP violation

The CKM matrix

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

- A 3x3 unitary matrix
 - Encodes relative misalignment of mass and flavour bases that arises in the Standard Model following electroweak symmetry breaking (Higgs mechanism)
- Described by 4 real parameters allows CP violation (KM: Prog.Theor.Phys. 49 (1973) 652)
- Highly predictive
 - Describes phenomena at energies from nuclear β decay to top quark decays

Particularly interesting to study the b quark ... which means studies of b hadrons (important role of QCD) 18

The flavour zepto scope

- Flavour physics provides a wide range of Standard Model tests
 - Genuine potential for discovery of physics beyond
- SM structure is distinctive, and need not be replicated BSM
 - Absence of tree-level flavour-changing neutral currents
 - V-A structure of the charged current
 - Universality of couplings to different leptons
- Quark mixing (CKM matrix) described by only 4 parameters
 - Highly overconstrained \rightarrow allows powerful consistency tests
- Sensitivity limited by precision
 - For theoretically clean channels, this means data sample size

Seeing and inferring

- Weak decays of b hadrons involve virtual mediators
- We only "see" the final state particles
 - but can "infer" information about the mediators
 - advantage: not limited by energy of collisions
 - loop processes particularly interesting due to SM structure
- Formally, use effective field theory

Seeing and inferring

- Weak decays of b hadrons involve virtual mediators
- We only "see" the final state particles
 - but can "infer" information about the mediators
 - advantage: not limited by energy of collisions
 - loop processes particularly interesting due to SM structure
- Formally, use effective field theory

? could be at O(10 TeV)

Loop diagrams for discovery

- Contributions from virtual particles in loops allow to probe far beyond the energy frontier
- History shows this approach to be a powerful discovery tool
- Interplay with high-p_T experiments:
 - NP discovered: probe the couplings
 - NP not discovered: explore high energy parameter space

LHCb experiment at CERN

LHCb experiment at CERN

LHCb VELO Preliminary

VELO

Material imaged used beam gas collisions

LHCb VELO Preliminary

25

 $\Box \quad \Delta LL(K - \pi) > 0$

■ △ LL(K - π) > 5

Momentum (MeV/c)

Quantum oscillations

To measure rate of this process in which a \overline{B}_{s}^{0} meson "oscillates" into B_{s}^{0} (or vice versa) need to

- Measure flavour ($B_{(s)}^{0}$ or $\overline{B}_{(s)}^{0}$) at production
 - "flavour tagging" from properties of other particles produced at same time
- Measure flavour at decay
 - use flavour-specific decay like $B_s^{\ 0} \rightarrow D_s^{\ -}\pi^+$
- Measure time between production and decay
 - $\Delta z = \beta y c \Delta t$

βy are Lorentz boost factors

B_{s}^{0} mixing rate

Clear difference between cases where flavour is same or different between production and decay

B_s⁰ oscillates much faster than it decays!

• experimental challenge to resolve oscillations overcome

Period of oscillation related to mass difference (Δm_s)

Measurement consistent with Standard Model prediction

 $- B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+ - \overline{B}^0_s \to B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+ - \text{Untagged}$

Nature Phys. 18 (2022) 1

 $\Delta m_s = 17.7683 \pm 0.0051 \pm 0.0032 \text{ ps}^{-1}$

Quantum oscillations, with CP violation

- For a B meson known to be 1) B^0 or 2) $\overline{B^0}$ at time t=0, then at later time t:
 - $\Gamma\left(B_{phys}^{0} \rightarrow f_{CP}(t)\right) \propto e^{-\Gamma t} \left(1 \left(S\sin\left(\Delta mt\right) C\cos\left(\Delta mt\right)\right)\right)$ $\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_{nbvs}^{0} \rightarrow f_{CP}(t)\right) \propto e^{-\Gamma t} \left|1 + (S\sin\left(\Delta mt\right) - C\cos\left(\Delta mt\right))\right|$

q

 $sin(2\beta) = 0.717 \pm 0.013 (stat) \pm 0.008 (syst)$

$\gamma \text{ from } B \to DK$

- y plays a unique role in flavour physics the only CP violating parameter that can be measured through tree decays
- A benchmark Standard Model reference point doubly important after New Physics is observed

y from $B^{+/-} \rightarrow DK^{+/-}$

Neutral D meson different admixture of D^0 and \overline{D}^0 depending on final state

Suppressed mode: enhanced CP violation as two amplitudes of comparable magnitude

Favoured mode: little CP violation (but important to control systematics)

JHEP 04 (2021) 081

The CKM description of CP violation

All constraints from different measurements overlap!

Testing the SM with highly suppressed $B_{(s)}{}^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

PRL 128 (2022) 041801

LHCb Upgrade I

Pixel VELO

Identification of displaced vertices crucial to identify B decays at hadron colliders

-200

200

z/mm

400

600

Commissioning ongoing!

Data processing at 30 MHz

Traditional HEP trigger model: – select interesting events with loose criteria for later offline analysis

At high luminosity, every pp bunch-crossing contains a potentially interesting event

Need a new paradigm

- full software trigger
- first level trigger (HLT1) implemented in GPUs
- offline quality reconstruction: calibration and alignment performed before HLT2

select relevant information in each event to store for offline analysis

data rate from LHCb detector (32 Tb/s) global internet traffic 2022 (997 Tb/s)

Up to 100 HLT2 sub-farms (4000 servers)

n.b:

Why stop there?

The need for timing

- High LHC luminosity achieved by increasing number of pp interactions per bunch crossing
- Large detector occupancies \rightarrow many possible fake combinations
- But LHC bunches are long (~50 mm); collisions in each bunch crossing occur over ~0.2 ns
- Detection with ~20 ps resolution per track gives new handle to associate hits correctly

The need for timing

- High LHC luminosity achieved by increasing number of pp interactions per bunch crossing
- Large detector occupancies \rightarrow many possible fake combinations
- But LHC bunches are long (~50 mm); collisions in each bunch crossing occur over ~0.2 ns
- Detection with ~20 ps resolution per track gives new handle to associate hits correctly

LHCb Upgrade II physics impact

LHCB-TDR-023

Observable	Current LHC	b Upgi	Upgrade I	
	$(up to 9 fb^{-1})$	$(23 {\rm fb}^{-1})$	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	$(300{\rm fb}^{-1})$
CKM tests		_		
$\gamma \ (B \to DK, \ etc.)$	4° 9,10	1.5°	1°	0.35°
$\phi_s \ \left(B^0_s \to J/\psi \phi \right)$	$32\mathrm{mrad}$	$14\mathrm{mrad}$	$10\mathrm{mrad}$	$4\mathrm{mrad}$
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} \ (\Lambda_b^0 \to p\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu, \ etc.)$	6% 29,30	0 3%	2%	1%
$a_{\rm sl}^d \ (B^0 o D^- \mu^+ u_\mu)$	36×10^{-4} 34	8×10^{-4}	5×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}
$a_{\rm sl}^s \left(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu \right)$	33×10^{-4} 35	10×10^{-4}	7×10^{-4}	3×10^{-4}
Charm				
$\Delta A_{CP} \left(D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \right)$	29×10^{-5} 5	13×10^{-5}	8×10^{-5}	$3.3 imes 10^{-5}$
$A_{\Gamma} \left(D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \right)$	11×10^{-5} 38	5×10^{-5}	3.2×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-5}
$\Delta x \ (D^0 \to K^0_{\rm s} \pi^+ \pi^-)$	18×10^{-5} 37	$6.3 imes 10^{-5}$	4.1×10^{-5}	$1.6 imes 10^{-5}$
Rare Decays				
$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	$^{-}$) 69% [40,4]	1 41%	27%	11%
$S_{\mu\mu} \left(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- \right)$				0.2
$A_{\rm T}^{(2)}~(B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+ e^-)$	0.10 52	0.060	0.043	0.016
$A_{\rm T}^{\rm Im} \ (B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+ e^-)$	0.10 52	0.060	0.043	0.016
$\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\Gamma}_{\phi\gamma}(B^0_s o \phi\gamma)$	$^{+0.41}_{-0.44}$ 51	0.124	0.083	0.033
$S_{\phi\gamma}(B^0_s \to \phi\gamma)$	0.32 51	0.093	0.062	0.025
$\alpha_{\gamma}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \gamma)$	$^{+0.17}_{-0.29}$ 53	0.148	0.097	0.038
Lepton Universality Tests				
$R_K \left(B^+ \to K^+ \ell^+ \ell^- \right)$	0.044 12	0.025	0.017	0.007
$R_{K^*} (B^0 \to K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^-)$	0.12 61	0.034	0.022	0.009
$R(D^*) \ (B^0 o D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$	0.026 62,64	4 0.007	0.005	0.002

Summary

- Flavour physics provides a powerful zeptoscope to probe the smallest scales
 - complementary to Higgs physics and high energy probes
- LHCb experiment has achieved incredible successes, exploiting huge $b\overline{b}$ production rate in LHC collisions
 - some tensions with SM predictions to be understood
- Exciting prospects for 2020s with LHCb Upgrade I
- Developing technology for LHCb Upgrade II to operate throughout 2030s
 - unique potential to test the Standard Model with many discovery opportunities
 - I hope some of you will come and join us in this adventure