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## Overview

1. Graphical Gaussian Models with Symmetries
2. Need for Model Selection Methods Motivates Five Questions
3. Examples

## Graphical Gaussian Models

- Concerned with the distribution of a multivariate Gaussian random vector
- Encode the independence structure in terms of edges in an undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ : vertices $\mathrm{V}=$ model variables, edges E defined by relation:

$$
Y_{\alpha} \perp Y_{\beta} \mid Y_{V \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha \beta \notin E
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\{1,2,3,4\} \\
& \left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{4}\right) \sim N_{4}(0, \Sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$
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- If $|\mathrm{V}|=\mathrm{p}$, then there are up to $|\mathrm{V}|+|\mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{p}+1) / 2$ parameters in the model (for mean =0)
- Parsimony in number of parameters can be achieved through
- ... sparsity in graph
- ... symmetry constraints on model parameters
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- RCON models: equality constraints on concentrations
- RCOR models: equality constraints on partial correlations
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## Graphical Gaussian Models with Symmetries

- Højsgaard and Lauritzen (2008):
- RCON models: equality constraints on concentrations
> Place linear constraints on natural parameter $K$ of exponential family
$>$ Linear exponential families $\Rightarrow$ MLE unique whenever it exists
- RCOR models: equality constraints on partial correlations
> Constrains not necessarily linear in natural parameter K
$>$ Curved exponential families $\Rightarrow$ MLE not necessarily unique
> Scale-invariance within vertex colour classes
- MLE computation algorithms described in Højsgaard and Lauritzen (2008).


## Graphical Gaussian Models with Symmetries

In order to make RCON and RCOR models widely applicable, model selection methods are required:

Q1: What is the structure of the set of RCON and RCOR models for a given V?
Q2: Can we design efficient model selection algorithms for RCON/RCOR models?

Q3: Are there statistically interesting model sub-classes?
Q4: If so, what is their structure?
Q5: Are they (better) suited for model selection?

## Q1: Structure of RCON and RCOR Models

Q1: What is the structure of the set of RCON and RCOR models for a given V ?

- Graphical representation: coloured graph = uncoloured graph + colouring
- Obtain larger model through ...
> ... larger uncoloured graph (fewer O's in K)
$>$... finer colouring (fewer symmetries)
- Can go from any model to any model:
$>$ Moving up: add edge colour classes + split colour classes
> Moving down: drop edge colour classes + merge colour classes
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## Q1: What is the structure of the set of RCON and RCOR models for a given V?

- Graphical representation: coloured graph = uncoloured graph + colouring
- Obtain larger model through ...
> ... larger uncoloured graph (fewer 0's in K)
$>$... finer colouring (fewer symmetries)
- Can go from any model to any model:
$>$ Moving up: add edge colour classes + split colour classes
> Moving down: drop edge colour classes + merge colour classes
- Examining the graphical representation a bit closer: complete lattices!
$>$ Any two models have a unique supremum and infimum.
$>$ Smallest model = empty graph, all vertices same colour
> Largest model = complete uncoloured graph


## Q2: Model Selection in RCON and RCOR Models

Q2: Can we design efficient model selection algorithms for RCON/RCOR models?

- Stepwise search theoretically possible however very large search space:
$2^{\mid \mathrm{VI}}$ uncoloured models on V , colouring enlarges model space considerably!
- Edwards-Havránek model selection procedure for lattices:
- Whenever a model is accepted, all supermodels accepted.
- Whenever a model is rejected, all submodels rejected.
- Returns minimally accepted models.
- Possible .... but:
- Model space still large.
- Models not always intuitively interpretable: properties of found model(s)?


## Q3: Interesting Model Sub-Classes

Q3: Are there Statistically interesting model sub-classes?
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## Q3: Are there Statistically interesting model sub-classes? YES!
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## Q4: If so, what is their structure?

- Each of $E R, P G, V R$ and $R$ is a complete lattice.
- Any two models which lie in $E R / P G / V R / R$, they have unique infimum \& supremum in the same model class. (non-trivial!)
- Gehrmann (2011)


## Q4 \& Q5: Structure of Model Sub-Classes

## Q4: If so, what is their structure?

- Each of $E R, P G, V R$ and $R$ is a complete lattice.
- Any two models which lie in ER/PG/VR/R, they have unique infimum \& supremum in the same model class. (non-trivial!)
- Gehrmann (2011)

Q5: Are they (better) suited for model selection? YES!

- Each model class qualifies for an Edwards-Havránek model search.
- Faster than search in RCON/RCOR models.
- Found model(s) guaranteed to have desirable properties.
- Optionally: subsequent local search within RCON/RCOR models.


## Example: Edge Regular Search

- Data: Examination marks of 88 students in 5 mathematical subjects (Mardia et al. 1979)
- Whittaker (1990) and Edwards (2000) :

- Højsgaard and Lauritzen (2008), RCON model:



## Example: Edge Regular Search

- Højsgaard and Lauritzen (2008) :

- Edwards-Havránek search, starting at saturated model, after 232 models:



## Example: Permutation Generated Search

- Data: Head dimensions of 25 pairs of $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ sons, Frets (1921)
- Whittaker (1990):

- Højsgaard and Lauritzen (2008), RCOP model (permutation of sons):



## Example: Permutation Generated Search

- Højsgaard and Lauritzen (2008) :

- Edward-Havránek search, starting at saturated model, after 57 models:


BIC 471.117


* BIC 466.070



## Summary

- Model selection in RCON and RCOR models can be performed by reducing search to lattices of models represented by regular colourings.
- These are first model selection procedures for GGMs with symmetries.
- Examples suggest that search may be feasible in general.
- Next step: full implementation and performance analysis.


## Thank you!
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