

Ufuk Balaman interview summary

SM: Tell me about your background

[1:23] English language instructor in Turkey for 2 years. Did a PHD and become a teacher trainer. Have been training pre-service teachers for 5 years.

SM: Do you use videos to teach the language

[4:08] I never made new videos of my own but used already made ones for medical English class. I use them when I need to teach specific field related vocabularies. I used the TV series (House MD). Also used funny YouTube videos to teach alphabets and vocabulary. But have never tried to let students generate videos because of having a large class (60-70 students).

SM: what about in teachers' education? How do you make use of videos?

[5:18] used videos in two projects. One was for teaching English to young learners. There is a model we follow. Based on the model, we record micro-teachings of student teachers and make reflections. We give feedbacks based on the recordings. Then students are again recorded in an actual teaching session. Then we provide feedback again. This was one of the project.

2 UB: i actually use video in two different projects.
3 the first one was a project that we coordinated
4 with dr (xxxx) in teaching english to young
5 learners classes. so we applied a teacher education
6 model developed by (xxxx). so according to his
7 model which aims to include the classroom
8 interactional competence so language teachers in
9 our case preservice language teachers. and in the
10 model following introduction of classroom
11 interactional competence the students are supposed
12 to do microteachings. and we do recordings for
13 microteachings and following the model again at
14 (xxxx) reflections based on the video recordings
15 there's microteachings. and as a lecturer dr (xxxx)
16 gave some onsite feedback and then some
17 supplemented feedback based on the recordings. and
18 then as the other step we actually organised an
19 actual teaching procedure. we made an agreement
20 with a pre school state pre school in Ankara.
21 and so they applied microteaching because after
22 hearing the feedback from their peers and from the
23 lecturer they applied the actual teaching in an
24 actual school and we recorded that as well. and as
25 a final step there was a final round of feedback
26 based on the recordings. so this was the first
27 (xxxx) .

SM: is the feedback from the lecturers or do they get that from peers as well?

[7:32] Both. But there are 121 students involved so Dr.XXX tried to do that onsite. They also do self-feedback. They reflect on their own teaching. They notice good things and things that they will need to improve. They also do some transcriptions along with written reflections.

ViLTE Resource

34 UB: Both but mainly we try to i mean again there were
35 a lot of number of students 100 to 200 students
36 was enrolled. so lecturer feedback dr (xxxx) tried
37 to do that on site and they just receive their own
38 recordings. so they also did self feedback. they
39 just picked up a part that they think that was a
40 good practice during the microteaching and they
41 also kind of tried to diagnose a part that needs
42 to be improved in terms of their microteaching.
43 and they did some small transcripts of these
44 segments along with some written reflections
45 paragraph long reflections.

SM: if the lecturer wants to check from the video, do the students provide the digital counter on their transcripts, or what?

[8:38] not necessarily. The lecture gives feedback to the live teaching. The videos are more of for the students' self-evaluation.

46 SM: okay. so if the lecturer wanted to check those
47 incidents and those transcripts the students
48 are told to put the digital counter into the
49 transcripts so that the lecturer can go back
50 and look at them again or do you.
51 UB: not necessarily. these two kind of yes they could
52 work in alignment with each other. so the lecturer
53 could also give feedback to the part that the
54 student treats as good or needs to improve. but
55 they did not necessarily align. so the lecturer
56 could give the feedback on site based on anything,
57 but after.
58 SM: From the live teachings.
59 UB: Yes.

SM: was there peer evaluation?

[9:15] No

SM: is the dialogic reflection facilitated by one of the lecturers? Is it in small groups or one-to-one?

[9:39] right after the teaching they give that but it is not vide-based.

SM: what about the other project?

[9:58] it is where I teach. I teach oral-communication. Re-constructed the syllabus based on (xxx book conversational analysis pedagogy). I used the book to design some lectures. 8 different topics for lectures in two semesters for first year students. Spend some weeks for the lecture and used video recordings. So students were recorded when doing tasks for example on turn-taking. Then feedback sheet was filled out. Then they were asked to transcribe and notice 10 seconds of good practice and another 10 seconds of notice for development.

60 UB: teaching for two semesters now. now were at end of
61 the semester. so i was given the responsibility to

62 teach oral communication skills class. and i kind
63 of reconstructed the syllabus for the class based
64 on wong and waring 2010 book conversation analysis
65 and second language pedagogy. so i kind of
66 reconstructed the syllabus based on the way that
67 they instruct in the book and i kind of did
68 teaching interactional competence which (xxxx)
69 completely aligns with the course objectives. so
70 by following the things in the book starting from
71 turn taking and then moving on with sequence
72 organisation (xxxx) specific sequences and moving
73 on with for example openings closings practices.
74 but main findings of conversation analysis is
75 turned into teaching materials to different age
76 groups. in my case it was first year students. and
77 i used the book for designing some lectures mainly
78 around one and two (xxxx). i think it was eight
79 different topics to give lecture in two semesters.
80 so first week for the first one i gave the lecture
81 and we did a conversation workshop. the following
82 week we had spared the entire week for a
83 conversation workshop and that week we also did
84 video recordings. so for the video recordings we
85 kind of used one. so the students approached the
86 camera (xxxx) and they kind of practised the (xxxx)
87 that was designed (xxxx) still taking there was a
88 task (xxxx) and we recorded that. and i just shared
89 all the recordings with the students and delivered
90 them feedback sheets that i prepared. so the first
91 thing they did was to fill out the feedback sheet
92 based on the recordings. and the second thing they
93 were supposed to do was to transcribe a ten second
94 segment that they treat as a new practice and
95 another ten seconds that they see (xxxx).

SM: when they approach the camera, are they acting as language learners or teachers?

[12:50] kind of both. The point is to make them advanced speakers of the language. These classes aim at familiarizing them with the interactional aspect of the language. We are raising their awareness of international competence. The longer-term impact is to make them more sensitive.

101 UB: actually i kind of clarified that in the first
102 week. so we were trying to do both. the main
103 purpose was kind of (xxxx) i mean interaction with
104 competent and advanced speakers of english
105 language. so that was the first thing to do. but
106 the second thing to do as a department of english
107 language teaching we also try to train students
108 who are (xxxx) english language in all aspects. so
109 they take class for grammar reading and writing
110 and theyre kind of (xxxx) themselves with the
111 structural (xxxx) skills. and this class was also
112 aiming to make them more familiar with
113 interactional structures in english language so
114 that they can raise awareness which can in long

ViLTE Resource

115 term turn into the way they teach interaction so
116 that were trying to do both.
117 SM: so in a sense you are principally raising their
118 awareness of interactional competence because then
119 if they become more aware of that and the videos
120 are helping to sort of isolate particular terms or
121 sequences youre training them really to be more
122 aware of interactional competence. and the hope is
123 that the long term benefit when they actually get
124 into classrooms is that theyre more aware and more
125 sensitive in maybe more able to self evaluate.
126 would that be a summary.
127 UB: exactly. yes, i would definitely expect that.

SM: do you use the already recorded videos to show some examples later?

[14:50] yes, the third week. There is another week for feedback session. Students show their parts and hold discussion with the entire class. Similar steps are taken for each unit. We have done that for 2 semesters. 1 lecture, 2 conversational w.shops/the 2nd w.shop with the video recording and the last feedback session. And during the last discussion, I was more asking questions than making comments to facilitate the process.

128 SM: so youve got the videos. theyre recorded. students
129 look at them and you said that they choose a couple
130 of extracts to transcribe. do you also use some of
131 that resource to show students some examples
132 (xxxx).
133 UB: yes. this is the third week. were sparing another
134 week for a three hour straight feedback session.
135 so one by one each student show the parts along
136 with the videos that they kind of think this is a
137 good practice.

[16:28] we kind of want them to watch their videos repeatedly to really see how they interact.

SM: do they use any of those transcriptions in their assignments?

[16:42] yes, this is part of the course work. I evaluated them not for their interaction in the videos but for what they report- the feedback sheet and the transcript and whether they attended the feedback session or not.

SM: (asked about a project) [17:18]

[17:44] yes, I coordinated the project. The videos are teacher training tools. Our students visit a real school when they are about to graduate in their 4th year. Our students complained about that. They demanded more visits. So, we thought about coming up with a project to solve this problem. We have collected some data. It's been 2 years now and we are still trying to deal with the data. We are doing some action research. It is secondary to us. The teachers are the one doing it.

SM: so, the teachers are pre-service teachers who are doing this research?

[20:16] yes pre-service teachers and 9 pre-school teachers who are not teaching English in the schools.

ViLTE Resource

[21:58] parents were willing to sign consent forms. They think of it as an opportunity for their children to learn English.

[22:51] (xxxDr. *Hatij*) used many videos we recorded for micro-teaching and some actual teachings

[23:06] a course called 'Teaching English to young learners 1 and 2' the first semester was theoretical and in the second semester we did this project.

SM: are you involved in (VEO??)

[24:32] No, I just received the training. Not part of it.

SM: Have you got any ideas for how videos can be used in the ideal world? A thing you would like to do but haven't yet?

[25:03] I will use the videos that we recorded if I teach the oral communication class again as part of my lecture. Not following the steps but I can just go to the feedback stage and use it for my lectures.

[26:22] used videos from YouTube for PHD project. Information gap activity online using google hangout