

### Willy Cardoso Interview Summary

*SM outlines project and explains interest.*

[04:22] First got involved with the (Ceibal en Inglés) project in October 2015. Moved to Philippines and became quality manager – involves a lot of teacher training and development. Philippines the second biggest provider of teachers for project, after British Council Remote Teaching Centre in Buenos Aires. Half his time spent on teacher training, including initial teacher training. First contact with remote teacher – they deliver face-to-face demo lesson. If pass, they start intensive training with him or colleague, 4-6 weeks 2-3 hours a day (around 60 hours). Simulate video conferencing, learn how to use equipment, methodology, teaching strategies.

14 WC: they spend this initial phase just getting  
15 receiving training from us. they dont teach real  
16 classes. they just like we simulate the video  
17 conferencing. and they practice and they learn how  
18 to use the equipment. and then they obviously  
19 need to learn the methodology and teaching  
20 strategies as well. so we spend on average around  
21 sixty hours lets say doing that with the new ones.

[07:55] (Structure of this course?) Induction to programme and role of British Council, including role of the quality manager (the only BC people the teachers interact with). Watch a couple of recorded lessons to give an idea of what they look like. Introduction to syllabus and lesson plans and activities. Teachers assigned 5-10 minute lesson to present using the video conferencing equipment. Takes about a month to master the use of the equipment. [Cisco video conferencing equipment](#) - looks like flat screen TV with remote control camera, plus laptop to drag and drop. Lessons mostly based on PowerPoint and video supported materials. Screen would contain a frame of the classroom, a frame with the PPT and a small frame with the teacher. Drag and drop into screen with PPT: videos from YouTube, interactive activities from other websites, etc.

25 WC: right. so initially we have an induction to the  
26 programme to ceibal en ingles to the role of the  
27 british council in this project. and then down to  
28 the role of the quality managers. because were the  
29 only british council people that teaches here  
30 interact with. so that when that is understood we  
31 watch a couple of lessons we have recorded. so we  
32 will watch them with the teachers the new teachers.  
33 so they have an idea of what they look like. and  
34 then we at the same time sort of we give them an  
35 introduction to the syllabus and the lesson plans.  
36 so i would suppose basically we start from the  
37 larger scope structures. and then project syllabus  
38 lesson plan activities. and then we start  
39 practicing the activities. so after theyve seen  
40 a lesson plan in a lesson being taught on video  
41 they we assign them five to ten minutes of a lesson  
42 to present using the video conferencing equipment.

43 so because they also it takes them about a month  
44 to become fluent in the use of the video  
45 conferencing equipment. because i dont know how  
46 familiar you are with the equipment that is used.

[11:14] (*Used screen capture to introduce this process?*) Do it live. WC comes in after initial equipment training to work on using it to facilitate teaching and learning. Students can see themselves on the screen, so know if they are being zoomed in on. Some classroom teachers in Uruguay hold the microphone as they move around, some put it in front of the class, sometimes a student takes over. Work on teacher's 'video presence'.

67 learning and teaching. so mainly my training in  
68 terms of the equipment is like okay. when are you  
69 going to zoom in? and zoom out? and that kind of  
70 thing. if you were correcting a student are you  
71 going to zoom in or zoom out? or are you going to  
72 have a? because the students can also see  
73 themselves on the screen. so they know if they are  
74 being zoomed in. so that sort of thing. the  
75 microphone because some classroom teachers in  
76 uruguay they hold the microphone. they walk around.  
77 some they just put them in front of class.  
78 sometimes a student takes over and just does that  
79 as well. so thats sort of a (xxxx) mainly  
80 of because ive used it in schools in uruguay so i  
81 know more or less what happens on the other side  
82 and how it looks like. so i want to give the  
83 teachers here this kind of awareness of how much  
84 presence they can develop by teaching remotely.  
85 so weve been working a model on this whatever  
86 you call it video presence or something like that.

[13:08] Tend to master the technical aspect in about a month or two – haven't figured presence out completely. General principles the same as in face-to-face: body language and voice. Much of this is about observing other teachers teach – they have microteaching sessions about three times a week.

104 WC: well the general principles are the same as in face  
105 to face teaching. so basically we start looking  
106 at body language and voice. so within body language  
107 we would then look at mainly facial expressions  
108 and hand gestures. because they its like this  
109 is skype. they only see this bit of the teacher.  
110 and not the teachers dont walk around or  
111 approach the students obviously. so we look  
112 mainly at hand gestures to facilitate instructions  
113 to convey meaning for managing behaviour and things  
114 like that. so thats facial expression and eye  
115 contact. its a lot of most of it i suppose is  
116 observing classrooms and other teachers teach.

[15:05] (*Microteaching recorded – then reviewed and discussed?*) Minimally; usually teacher teaching the other teachers or going through a lesson (very standardised – teaching the same lesson plans with the same materials), then feedback from peers and trainers. Not recorded. Peer observation is recorded and that’s when they stop and go back and discuss. More in the developmental sessions they have – on-going CPD. In training, the ‘remoteness’ is simulated.

117           and here we have also micro teaching sessions.  
118           the ones who have been teaching for two or  
119           three years. the main difference is in well  
120           being able to see better what theyve got  
121           through the screen and what is happening there  
122           and respond to that accordingly.

[17:20] (*What do trainee teachers find difficult in this process compared to face-to-face?*) Answer very contextualised – in the Philippines they are quick at reproducing what they are taught, not so quick at adjusting to what they have when they are teaching. Will follow the lesson plan but struggle in making adjustments, responding on the fly to students/classroom situations. Doesn’t know if this is different from face-to-face, as hasn’t seen them teach face-to-face. New teachers spend a lot of time looking at the materials, not the students. (SM: true of all new teachers, perhaps?)

[19:47] Main difference between new teachers and those who have taught for 2-3 years is basically seeing the students – they often neglect the students sitting in the back, for example. Deal with that through trainer observation and feedback. Issue of concern. Also encourage teachers to look at themselves – do they appear that they are there, or are they looking at their notes all the time. Soft skills – difficult to develop.

122           and respond to that accordingly. but initially its  
123           basically seeing the students. very often they  
124           neglect the student who are sitting in the back  
125           of the room for example. and they pitch their  
126           lessons to the ones who are most participative.  
127           and who usually are sitting in front of the  
128           classroom. so that sort of thing. so seeing all  
129           that is quite challenging. the way we develop that  
130           is basically we observe the lessons and we  
131           basically make notes saying you havent addressed  
132           half of the class for about 20 minutes. in more  
133           developmental terms but the message basically is  
134           that. or how many students did you have in the in  
135           this group? and how many did you actually see or  
136           talk to? because sometimes they dont even pan the  
137           camera to include everyone because the class is  
138           too large and then some students are not in the

139 frame. and we are very picky about that. i mean  
140 very concerned about that at the same time. so  
141 these are the things like being able to see them  
142 well. and first of all its like is everyone in  
143 there? you know? and then look at yourself. are  
144 you there? do you appear to be you know there? or  
145 are you looking down at your notes all the time?  
146 that sort of.

[22:53] (*Any other examples of what you consider good teaching behaviour in this teaching context?*) Teaching presence something that trainers see more – teachers tend to bring up classroom management issues. Even if classroom teacher there, they don't always collaborate very well with the remote teacher, so remote teacher has to manage the class – a massive challenge. Have to really reinforce the importance of learning students' names quickly – teaching each group only once a week, 25 students and 25 groups, so hundreds of students. Also: how to make activities more engaging, age appropriate – YouTube videos often very simple, students 10, 11, 12 years old. Presence of the teacher. Work on communication between classroom teacher and remote teacher – supposed to be communicating every week, but don't. Very challenging. Need cultural awareness training – communicating with teachers from Uruguay.

166 set up the recording. but now we its becoming a  
167 little bit harder to record the lessons because of  
168 you need consent of the parents to use the  
169 recording in any way that is. even now we want to  
170 train the teachers and we want new recordings. but  
171 we are struggling to get the recordings. you need  
172 the classroom teacher to get the parents to sign  
173 a consent form for the children to be recorded.  
174 and thats very complicated.

[27:26] (*Give advice on establishing a good working relationship?*) Provide good examples of teamwork, make suggestions on projects, ways of involving classroom teachers.

147 yes. we take a look at some good examples of  
148 teamwork. we make suggestions on projects they can  
149 develop with the students and the classroom  
150 teachers. theyre supposed to involve the classroom  
151 teachers to an extent. for example by modelling a  
152 dialog that they want the students to perform. or  
153 by asking the classroom teacher to nominate a  
154 student to respond or to group them. things like  
155 that. so they need to involve the classroom  
156 teachers. some classroom teachers ask them not to.  
157 but then its you know its not what we are aiming  
158 at. so yes there is.

[28:36] Becoming harder to record lessons – need parental consent. Struggling to get new recordings for training purposes. Discuss organisational/political difficulties. Still works well, even if relationship not great – remote teacher compensates.

166 set up the recording. but now we its becoming a  
167 little bit harder to record the lessons because of  
168 you need consent of the parents to use the  
169 recording in any way that is. even now we want to  
170 train the teachers and we want new recordings. but  
171 we are struggling to get the recordings. you need  
172 the classroom teacher to get the parents to sign  
173 a consent form for the children to be recorded.  
174 and thats very complicated.

[32:31] (*More about role of recorded teaching in CPD process?*) Haven't done it much in last three months – haven't got necessary Cisco video conferencing equipment. Teachers have review every 4 months – high and low performance matched and peer observation set up. 4-5 teachers plus trainer observe via video, try to see standards in practice. Written standards, based on BC global standards, framed as 'can do' statements, with extra statements particularly relevant to the project. (SM: these extra standards particularly interesting.) Mainly added using the online platform, evidence of collaboration with classroom teacher, kind of material used.

[35:57] (*Video recording helps with being more evidence based in this reflection?*) Do both simple recall and video-based analysis; being able to see it makes it very clear. But not enough recordings, so end up talking more than seeing in practice. Discusses example of discussion around peer observation (related to establishing prescribed routines with students). Evaluates teachers twice a year – trying to base most of the training on these standards and help the teachers see what these standards look like in practice.

[41:42] (*Teachers positive about this way of working?*) Think so; has worked with around 45 teachers, very enthusiastic, very good at establishing rapport and motivating students through materials they develop. Struggle in first 2-3 months because of very heavy workload in new format, but very positive and put a lot energy in. But low retention rate – 50% per year.

[45:20] (*Very different methodology to face-to-face?*) A different way, different also to Skype, MOOC, etc.: large-scale, countrywide, primary. Teaching methodology – lesson plans not as innovative as the means. Huge area for development – resources not available. Has considered writing about it, but hasn't had time, or idea about angle, or access. Not aware of other projects in this area. Just seen a presentation from BC re teacher training but unsure of details (will send details later).

*Closing remarks*

184           its yes. its a very interesting question. i mean  
185           i would say it is a different way obviously.  
186           because youre not there. and its also different to  
187           other forms of online teaching like skype teaching  
188           or moocs or things like that. because within the  
189           programme the teachers are teaching these students  
190           for nine months. and its the same class. and they  
191           have its and its team teaching basically. so it is  
192           very different. and unique in how its kind of  
193           difficult to like there isnt much established  
194           knowledge on that. because there isnt it hasnt  
195           been done. so. i mean (xxxx) large scale  
196           its country wide it is public education it is  
197           primary education. so all things consider its  
198           the first. so that is common knowledge. but i  
199           think the methodology the teaching methodology  
200           issue if you look at the lesson plans. they are  
201           not as innovative as the means. you know what i  
202           mean? and its something that its quite like.  
203           because still the teachers involved both classroom  
204           and in (xxxx) they have in their majority a more  
205           traditional mind when it comes to teaching. so it  
206           is just following a standard ppp lesson plan so  
207           to speak. the grammar is you know itemized.  
208           and its all like very similar to many face to face  
209           programmes and course books. and personally  
210           speaking i think that theres a huge area for  
211           development there. there     are not resources in  
212           place for that.