The Effect of Positive Mood on Cooperation in the Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma

Daniel Sgroi

University of Warwick, CAGE & IZA daniel.sgroi@warwick.ac.uk

Joint work with Eugenio Proto (Bristol) and Mahnaz Nazneen (Warwick)

Emotion and Mood in Social Interactions

- The repeated PD captures a fundamental tension in social interactions, emphasizing the conflict between short-term and long term profit for the individual
- Despite standard dominance arguments we see cooperation in many settings in the lab
- In most settings the role of mood has not been considered
- However, psychologists and neuroscientists (Damasio, 1994; Ralph and Damasio, 2000; Forgas, 2002; Fischer, Manstead, et al., 2008) have provided a wealth of evidence that mood and, more generally, emotions are an essential and adaptive component of *social behavior*

Introduction Design Results

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Positive Mood & Cooperation

- We analyze the effect of *positive* mood ("happiness") which allows us to contribute to the growing debate on the effect of wellbeing on economic performance (productivity) in the workplace
- Other work has emphasized that positive mood seems to invigorate individuals, leading them to exert more effort in individual tasks (Oswald, Proto, and Sgroi, 2015)
- However, many workplace tasks are not of the individual, one-shot decision-making variety but instead are likely to involve repetition, social behavior (including strategic interaction) and a degree of cooperation

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨ のなの

Positive Mood: Good or Bad for Cooperation?

According to the existing literature, the effect of positive mood on cooperation seems to be rather complex:

- "Cognitive Hypothesis": people experiencing positive mood are more inward oriented, use less information and avoid systematic thinking (Schwarz, 2013; Forgas, 1998)
 - which in turn might reduce cooperation under repeated interactions (Proto, Rustichini, and Sofianos, 2014)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

Positive Mood: Good or Bad for Cooperation?

- "Social Preference Hypothesis": positive mood produces more open, altruistic and helpful behavior (Isen and Geva, 1987)
 - consistent with recent experimental economics studies investigating the effect of emotion on *one-shot* economic decisions (Kirchsteiger, Rigotti, and Rustichini, 2006; Capra, 2004; Drouvelis and Grosskopf, 2016; Dunn and Schweitzer, 2005; Kessler, McCellan, and Schotter, 2016)

This leaves the question of how mood should affect cooperation under repeated interactions very much open

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨ のなの

Main Questions (and Preview of the Answers)

Considering a Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma:

- Does mood affect cooperation?
- In what direction does positive mood affect cooperation?
- Does pre-play communication change things?

What can we learn from the text in the pre-play communication?

Main Questions (and Preview of the Answers)

Considering a Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma:

Does mood affect cooperation?

Yes

- In what direction does positive mood affect cooperation?
- Does pre-play communication change things?

What can we learn from the text in the pre-play communication?

Main Questions (and Preview of the Answers)

Considering a Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma:

Does mood affect cooperation?

Yes

- In what direction does positive mood affect cooperation?
 - Typically Negatively
- Does pre-play communication change things?

What can we learn from the text in the pre-play communication?

Main Questions (and Preview of the Answers)

Considering a Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma:

Does mood affect cooperation?

Yes

- In what direction does positive mood affect cooperation?
 - Typically Negatively
- Does pre-play communication change things?
 - The existence of pre-play communication decreases the negative effect of positive mood
- What can we learn from the text in the pre-play communication?

Main Questions (and Preview of the Answers)

Considering a Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma:

- Does mood affect cooperation?
 - Yes
- In what direction does positive mood affect cooperation?
 - Typically Negatively
- Does pre-play communication change things?
 - The existence of pre-play communication decreases the negative effect of positive mood
- What can we learn from the text in the pre-play communication?
 - Those with positive mood are more inward-oriented (use "I" more) and use fewer positive words and more negative words

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - のへの

Experimental Design

Mood Induction - Positive & Neutral

Cooperation Task - Repeated Prisoner's Dilemma

- ▶ Finite repetition: exactly 11 rounds (3 SGs, perfect strangers)
- Indefinite repetition: at least 10 rounds (3 SGs, perfect strangers)
- Pre-play communication: chat for 180 seconds (allowing us to examine the text used by subjects)
- No communication: wait 60 seconds before proceeding

Other Controls

- Personality (Big Five Inventory 120 questions)
- Risk (30 Item DOSPERT)
- Raven (30 puzzles)
- Demographics (age, gender, year of school, stated mood, life satisfaction etc.)

Mood Induction Process

Velten Statement describing either positive self evaluations or somatic statements

- Positive Statement: If your attitude is good, then things are good, and my attitude is good
- Neutral Statement: The orient express travels between Paris and Istanbul

Music MIP mood-suggestive piece of classical or modern music

- Positive Mood: Eine Kleine Nacht Musik (Mozart)
- Neutral Mood: Ariel Boundaries (Michael Hedges)

Movie MIP we also used a comedy scene from "City Lights" and a well-used neutral clip as robustness checks

Cooperation & Positive Mood 8 of 13

duction Design Moo Results Stag

Design Mood Inductior Stage Game

Repeated PD

Table: Stage Game					
	Cooperate	Defect			
Cooperate	51, 51	22, 63			
Defect	63, 22	39, 39			

- Game taken from Embrey, Fréchette and Yuksel (2014)
- ► Each unit corresponds to £0.30

_

 Monetary incentives: participants on average earned £17 including a show up fee of £5 ntroduction Design Results Cooperation Rates & Mood Text Analysis Conclusions

Cooperation rates per round in different treatments



Red = positive mood, blue = neutral mood

Cooperation & Positive Mood 10 of 13

 oduction
 Cooperation Rates & Mood

 Design
 Text Analysis

 Results
 Conclusions

Econometric analysis

	1st SG	1st SG	All	All
	Infinite	Finite	Infinite	Finite
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
choice				
+ ve mood	-0.57508*	-1.45644**	-0.54612**	-0.69244**
	(0.3339)	(0.5743)	(0.2694)	(0.3504)
+ve m x chat	-0.75955**	0.17256	-0.61901**	0.04545
	(0.3513)	(0.4522)	(0.2852)	(0.2429)
chat	1.02885***	0.76438**	1.22149***	0.71560***
	(0.3076)	(0.3430)	(0.2274)	(0.1879)
clip	-0.19203		-0.12501	
	(0.2315)		(0.1729)	
SG fixed-eff	No	No	Yes	Yes
Ν	3036	1940	8280	5820
Also included as controls are last period actions and interactions between mood				

and last period actions

Introduction Cooperation Rates & Mood Design Text Analysis Results Conclusions

Text analysis



Red = positive mood, blue = neutral mood

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Cooperation & Positive Mood 12 of 13

Conclusions

- Individuals in the positive mood treatment typically cooperate less and are less efficient than individuals in the neutral mood treatments
- Everything is robust to the inclusion of pre-play communication, to changing the end date from known to unknown and to the alternative mood-induction process
- Text analysis reveals that those in a positive induced mood are more inward-oriented and generally (use less positive language and more negative language) which might explain why they cooperate less

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ