Warwick University Department of Economics

## Solutions to Assignment 1 EC9D3 Advanced Microeconomics

**1.** Let

$$\hat{u}(x_1, x_2) = k (x_1 - a)^{\alpha} (x_2 - b)^{\beta}$$

applying the logarithmic (monotonic) transformation (notice that in applying this monotonic transformation the consumption feasible set of the consumer is transformed in particular is reduced to  $x_1 \ge a$  and  $x_2 \ge b$ ) we obtain:

$$\ln k + \alpha \ln(x_1 - a) + \beta \ln(x_2 - b)$$

removing the constant  $\ln k$  (also a monotonic transformation) we obtain

$$\alpha \ln(x_1 - a) + \beta \ln(x_2 - b)$$

dividing by  $(\alpha + \beta)$  ( a monotonic transformation, once again) we finally obtain

$$\delta \ln(x_1 - a) + (1 - \delta) \ln(x_2 - b)$$

where  $\delta = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$ .

- 2. We shall start from the consumer's maximization of utility problem.
  - (i) the consumer's utility maximization problem is:

$$\max_{\substack{\{x_1, x_2\}\\ \text{s.t.}}} \quad \delta \ln(x_1 - a) + (1 - \delta) \ln(x_2 - b)$$
  
s.t. 
$$p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \le m$$

which delivers as the optimal solution the following Marshallian demands:

$$x_1(p,m) = a + \frac{\delta}{p_1}(m - ap_1 - bp_2)$$

and

$$x_2(p,m) = b + \frac{1-\delta}{p_2}(m-ap_1-bp_2).$$

(ii) substituting the Marshallian demands just derived into the utility function we obtain the following *indirect utility function*.

$$v(p,m) = \delta \ln \left[ \frac{\delta}{p_1} (m - ap_1 - bp_2) \right] + (1 - \delta) \ln \left[ \frac{1 - \delta}{p_2} (m - ap_1 - bp_2) \right] = \\ = \ln(m - ap_1 - bp_2) + \delta \ln \delta + (1 - \delta) \ln(1 - \delta) - \delta \ln p_1 - (1 - \delta) \ln p_2$$

(iii) the consumer's expenditure minimization problem is:

$$\min_{\{x_1, x_2\}} \quad p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \delta \ln(x_1 - a) + (1 - \delta) \ln(x_2 - b) \ge U$$

which delivers as the optimal solution the following Hicksian demands:

$$h_1(p,U) = a + e^U \left[\frac{p_2 \ \delta}{p_1 \ (1-\delta)}\right]^{1-\delta}$$

and

$$h_2(p,U) = b + e^U \left[ \frac{p_1 (1-\delta)}{p_2 \delta} \right]^{\delta}$$

(iv) substituting the Hicksian demands just derived in the consumer's expenditure  $p_1x_1 + p_2x_2$  we derive the following expenditure function:

$$e(p,U) = p_1 \left\{ a + e^U \left[ \frac{p_2 \,\delta}{p_1 \,(1-\delta)} \right]^{1-\delta} \right\} + p_2 \left\{ b + e^U \left[ \frac{p_1 \,(1-\delta)}{p_2 \,\delta} \right]^{\delta} \right\} = = a \, p_1 + b \, p_2 + [\delta + (1-\delta)] \, e^U \, \delta^{-\delta} \, (1-\delta)^{-(1-\delta)} p_1^{\delta} p_2^{(1-\delta)} = = a \, p_1 + b \, p_2 + e^U \, \delta^{-\delta} \, (1-\delta)^{-(1-\delta)} p_1^{\delta} p_2^{(1-\delta)}$$

(v) The parameter a can be interpreted as the minimum feasible consumption of commodity  $x_1$  and b the minimum feasible consumption of commodity  $x_2$ .

We need to assume that  $m > p_1 a + p_2 b$  in order for the consumer to be in his/her consumption set.

## **3.** Answers:

(i) The Marshallian demand function for commodity C can be obtained substituting the expressions (1) and (2) in the binding budget constraint and solving for C.

- (ii) Yes they are. Consider, in fact, the value of (1) and (2) at  $(\lambda p_A, \lambda p_B, \lambda p_C, \lambda m)$ .
- (iii) The Slutsky decomposition and the symmetry of the substitution matrix imply:

$$\frac{\partial h_A}{\partial p_B} = \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial p_B} + \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial m} x_B = \frac{\alpha_2}{p_C} + \frac{\alpha_3}{p_C} x_B = = \frac{\partial h_B}{\partial p_A} = \frac{\partial x_B}{\partial p_A} + \frac{\partial x_B}{\partial m} x_A = \frac{\beta_1}{p_C} + \frac{\beta_3}{p_C} x_A$$

or

$$\frac{\alpha_2}{p_C} + \frac{\alpha_3}{p_C} x_B = \frac{\beta_1}{p_C} + \frac{\beta_3}{p_C} x_A$$

which must hold for every A and B. Therefore if  $x_A = 1$  and  $x_B = 1$  we get

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \beta_1 + \beta_3 \tag{1}$$

and if  $x_A = 1$  and  $x_B = 2$  we get

$$\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 = \beta_1 + \beta_3. \tag{2}$$

Equations (1) and (2) imply  $\alpha_3 = 0$  therefore

$$\eta_{A,m} = \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial m} \frac{m}{x_A} = \frac{\alpha_3}{p_C} \frac{m}{x_A} = 0.$$

**4.** Answers:

(i) Substituting the values of prices and demands in the expression of the Marshallian demand for A we get:

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 100 = 2$$

which implies:

$$\beta = -\alpha - \gamma - 98.$$

Moreover, from Slutsky equation for commodity A we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial h_A}{\partial p_A} = \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial p_A} + \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial m} x_A = \frac{\beta}{p_C} + \frac{10}{p_C} x_A = \beta + 20 \le 0$$

that implies

$$\beta < -20.$$

(ii) Given that B and C are complements we obtain from:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} p_l \; \frac{\partial h_l}{\partial p_i} = 0$$

(for every i = 1, ..., L), that A and B are necessarily substitutes as well as A and C. Therefore, Slutsky decomposition yields:

$$\frac{\partial h_A}{\partial p_B} = \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial p_B} + \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial m} x_B = \frac{\gamma}{p_C} + \frac{10}{p_C} x_B = \gamma + 30 > 0$$

which implies  $\gamma > -30$ . Moreover:

$$\frac{\partial h_A}{\partial p_C} = \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial p_C} + \frac{\partial x_A}{\partial m} x_C = -\beta \frac{p_A}{p_C^2} - \gamma \frac{p_B}{p_C^2} - 10 \frac{m}{p_C^2} + \frac{10}{p_C} x_C = -\beta - \gamma - 50 > 0$$

which implies  $\gamma < -50 - \beta$ .