The culture industry

Selected essays on mass culture

Theodor W. Adorno

Edited with an Introduction by J.M. Bernstein



Free time

without work. The question which today would really do justice to not functionally determined. This is an important consideration for integration, it is hard to ascertain anything in human beings which is teristics, to such an extent that in the age of truly unparalleled social people as they are in themselves and their so-called social roles. course one should not attempt to make a simple distinction between people as they are in themselves nor with all that they could be. Of existence foisted upon people by society is identical neither with itself, borrowed from the domain of the theatre, suggests that the use the term 'role' as a key recognize this fact, in so far as the term conditions, which continues to hold people under its spell. Neither in and far more importantly, free time depends on the totality of social bues free time with certain essential characteristics. What is more, its opposite. Indeed the oppositional relation in which it stands imover one could designate as heteronomous. Free time is shackled to neither free nor spare, which is occupied by work, and which morecious - and it indicates a specific difference, that of time which is style, hence something qualitatively different and far more auspian abstract generalisation. Incidentally the expression 'free time' or opportunities could eventually evolve from it, must not be posed as the phenomenon of free time would be following: what becomes of the very same forces which they are seeking to escape in their hours that they are acting of their own free will, this will itself is shaped by the spell is relaxed, and people are at least subjectively convinced the question of free time. It means to say that even where the hold of freedom over themselves. Even those conciliatory sociologies which their work nor in their consciousness do people dispose of genuine (Muße) denoted the privilege of an unconstrained, comfortable life-'spare time' originated only recently – its precursor, the term 'leisure' These roles affect the innermost articulation of human charac-The question concerning free time, what people do with it and what

free time, where productivity of labour continues to rise, under persisting conditions of unfreedom, that is, under relations of production into which people are born, and which prescribe the rules of human existence today just as they always have done? Free time has already expanded enormously in our day and age. And this expansion should increase still further, due to inventions in the fields of automation and atomic power, which have not yet been anywhere like fully exploited. If one were to try and answer the question without ideological preconceptions, one could not avoid the suspicion that 'free time' is tending toward its own opposite, and is becoming a parody of itself. Thus unfreedom is gradually annexing 'free time', and the majority of unfree people are as unaware of this process as they are of the unfreedom itself.

ever well aware that in this I enjoy a privilege, with both the element other hand I have been fortunate enough that my job, the production spread, barbarous mentality. Making music, listening to music, readthing to do with hobbies - preoccupations with which I had become much so, that I should be horrified by the very idea that they had any are concerned, I take them all, without exception, very seriously. So as far as my activities beyond the bounds of my recognised profession I am the kind of workaholic, who is incapable of doing anything with by the question when I come up against it. I have no hobby. Not that of intimacy, on the hobbies of the person in question. I am shocked try, they rarely forego the opportunity to report, with varying degrees weeklies report on the life of one of those giants of the culture indusviewed, one is asked about one's hobbies. When the illustrated of a few - and compared to feudal society bourgeois society has taken attairs in which everyone could enjoy what was once the prerogative of fortune and of guilt which this involves: I speak as one who has had not be defined in terms of that strict opposition to free time, which is of philosophical and sociological works and university teaching, canlife; to call them hobbies would make a mockery of them. On the ing with all my attention, these activities are part and parcel of my become hardened by experience to such examples of this now widemindlessly infatuated merely in order to kill the time - had I not his time but applying himself industriously to the required task. But, perience of my own. Time and time again, when questioned or interdemanded by the current razor-sharp division of the two. I am howsome steps in this direction - then I would picture it after my own I do apart form it. If free time really was to become just that state of the rare opportunity to follow the path of his own intentions and to here is no hard and fast opposition between my work itself and what ashion his work accordingly. This is certainly one good reason why I should like to elucidate the problem with the help of a trivial ex-

experience of life outside work, although given different conditions this model would in its turn necessarily alter.

pletely subjugated free time. miscellaneous, or heterogeneous, of anything which has not clearly and unambiguously been assigned to its place. The rigorous bifurcaof mind which was incompatible with the efficient division of human too late in the evening. Secretly parents sensed a certain unruliness tion of life enjoins the same reification, which has now almost comlife. Besides, the prevalent ethos is suspicious of anything which is themselves in their free time; not read too much and not stay awake meaning worries of adults that the children should not overstrain reports. This had its corollary in the subjective, perhaps even welltimes children were allotted marks for attentiveness in their school of its power, is being smuggled into the realm of free time. In earlier haviour proper to the domain of work, which will not let people out activities. And yet, in secret as it were, the contraband of modes of beall the more effectively afterwards. Hence the inanity of many leisure work in any way whatsoever, in order, presumably, that one can work been internalized. On the other hand free time must not resemble about; wage labour is predicated on this assumption and its laws have hand one should pay attention at work and not be distracted or lark across a behavioural norm of the bourgeois character. On the one severed from the latter with puritanical zeal. And here we come work-less time, precisely because it is a mere appendage of work, is should be utilized for the recreation of expended labour power, then in accordance with the predominant work ethic, time free of work of people, at both the conscious and the unconscious level. Because, between work and free time has been branded as a norm in the minds are organised for the sake of profit. At the same time the difference cific leisure activities like tourism and camping revolve around and quite forgotten. It is widely known but no less true therefore that speously, the irony in the expression 'leisure industry' has now been social life. Just as the term 'show business' is today taken utterly seritime. The latter is a continuation of the forms of profit-oriented mediated life within a completely mediated total system, has itself dition which sees itself as the opposite of reification, the oasis of unhas become a commodity in which labour is consequently reified been reified just like the rigid distinction between labour and free then the expression 'hobby' amounts to a paradox: that human con-If we suppose with Marx that in bourgeois society labour power

This subjugation can be clearly seen at work in the hobby ideology. The naturalness of the question of what hobby you have, harbours the assumption that you must have one, or better still, that you should have a range of different hobbies, in accordance with what the

with which the free time is integrated; people are unaware of how utalone could not have forced people to purchase its tents and dorto the inner needs of people in the functional system. Camping - an society which foists upon you what your free time should be. Such or an old-timer, an eccentric, and you will fall prey to ridicule in a betide you if you have no hobby, no pastime; then you are a swot 'leisure industry' can supply. Organized freedom is compulsory. Woe rule of such unfreedom has been abstracted from them. terly unfree they are, even where they feel most at liberty, because the ness; what they want is forced upon them once again. Hence the ease ready been some longing in people themselves; but their own need mobiles, plus huge quantities of extra equipment, if there had not alnessed and institutionalized by the camping industry. The industry After the youth movements had died out this need was then harmeant that one had escaped from the house and from the family against the tedium and convention of bourgeois life. People had to activity so popular amongst the old youth movements - was a protest compulsion is by no means merely external in character. It is linked for freedom gets functionalized, extended and reproduced by busiget out, in both senses of the phrase. Sleeping out beneath the stars

skin tone, they can be quite sure their colleagues will ask them the willingness to ignore the obvious is just as great. obvious that the cosmetics industry with its overwhelming and incwhich thrives in free time, is subject to further social controls. It is pointed question, 'Haven't you been on holiday then?' The fetishism return from their holidays without having acquired the mandatory than the boy-friend it was perhaps supposed to entice. If employees tionalization. The sun-tan is an end in itself, of more importance cally attractive because of her brown skin is probably only another rathey themselves become fetishes. The idea that a girl is more erotithe fetish character of the commodity lays claim to actual people; impoverishes the mind. In the sun-tan, which can be quite fetching, enjoyable, might very possibly be physically unpleasant, and certainly sake of a sun-tan, although dozing in the blazing sunshine is not at all viour of those who grill themselves brown in the sun merely for the about the notion of free time. An archetypal instance is the behaused to apply in what would today be considered an out-dated ideoluctable advertisements, is a contributory factor here, but people's logy, there is something vacuous (Hegel would have said abstract) Taken in its strict sense, in contradistinction to work, as it at least

The act of dozing in the sun marks the culmination of a crucial element of free time under present conditions – boredom. The miracles which people expect from their holidays or from other special treats in their free time, are subject to endless spiteful ridicule, since even

science which is no longer spirit. Those who want to adapt must learn agination (Phantasie). Imagination is suspected of being only sexual society grants them, and this holds true for all political systems in the curiosity and longing for the forbidden by the spirit (Geist) of a important of which is surely the defamation and atrophy of the imis objective desperation. It is also, however, symptomatic of the ing of powerlessness is intimately bound up with boredom: boredom from all political activity. The well-founded or indeed neurotic feelto discern the relevance of politics to their own interests, they retreat world today, can alter their actual existence only minimally. Failing most compelling reason for apathy is the by no means unjustified ive dullness. As such it is in a similar position to political apathy. The can be enjoyed as a blessed release from the throes of self-control. If development of the imagination is crippled by the experience of early increasingly to curb their imagination. For the most part the very deformations perpetrated upon man by the social totality, the most feeling of the masses that political participation within the sphere they would not have to be bored. Boredom is the reflection of objecttheir lives, if they were not caught up in the realm of the eversame, people were able to make their own decisions about themselves and meaningful in themselves. Even fooling about need not be crass, and than it does in those free time activities which are reasonable and activities which cater merely for the desire for pleasure, any more people for themselves, boredom rarely figures; it need not figure in behaviour in spare time is truly autonomous, determined by free and under the strict division of labour. It need not be so. Whenever dom is a function of life which is lived under the compulsion to work, as an insight into the primal character of the human species. Bore-Schopenhauer's doctrine as something of universal validity or even man's irreducible essence. However, one should not hypostatize does more honour to human beings than solemn protestations about commodity character actually makes man into. Angry cynicism still product of nature also captures something of what the totality of the cism Schopenhauer's arrogant remark that mankind is the factory sort of conditions of heteronomy, and which in new German tends to theory well describes what becomes of people's free time under the mulated a theory of boredom. True to his metaphysical pessimism he be termed Fremdbestimmtheit (external determination). In its cyniblind will, or become bored as soon as these desires are satisfied. The very mechanisms which victimize. At an early age Schopenhauer forhere they never get beyond the threshold of the eversame: distant teaches that people either suffer from the unfulfilled desires of their ferent places. The victim's ridicule is automatically connected to the places are no longer – as they still were for Baudelaire's ennui – dif.

> long after that system has ceased to require their labour. of them under the arrangement of society which cultural conservatchained to their work, and to a system which trains them for work, ism defends. This is one good reason why people have remained means of which cultural conservatism patronizes and humiliates state of freedom pleasurable in the first place. People have been rethem, in order to summon up the strength for work, which is required people no longer like it. They need the shallow entertainment, by fused freedom, and its value belittled, for such a long time that now tion of their imagination deprives them of the faculty which made the people can actually do so little with their free time is that the truncarights - is based upon this very unimaginativeness. The reason why now at their disposal - as if it was a question of alms and not human question of what people should do with the vast amount of free time by society renders people helpless in their free time. The impertinent childhood. The lack of imagination which is cultivated and inculcated

Under prevailing conditions it would erroneous and foolish to expect or to demand that people should be genuinely productive in their free time; for productivity – the ability to bring forth something that was not already there – is the very thing which has been eradicated from them. At best what they then produce in free time is scarcely better than the ominous hobby – the imitation of poems or pictures which, given the almost irrevocable division of labour, others could do better than these amateurs (*Freizeitler*). What they create has something superfluous about it. This superfluousness makes known the inferior quality of the product, which in turn vitiates any pleasure taken in its production.

sion of labour, belongs to a very old level of bourgeois consciousness; deep down, they must despise. Actually, the idea that one can save simply and more proficiently for them, and which for this very reason, people are encouraged to perform tasks, which others could do more a matter of dispute - their having any use for the newly acquired time. without - and not the fact itself but only its current interpretation is which people feel towards mechanization, which unburdens people, as practical advice. However, it also latches on to the resentment were formerly delegated. The slogan 'do it yourself' latches onto this close behind. This means that many people carry out activities which really wealthy can afford to keep servants, and Europe is following dying out; demand is disproportionate to supply. In America only the at work. Certain forms of service, in particular domestic servants, are the money one spends on services, in a society based upon the divi-Thus, once again in the interests of certain specialized industries, people's free time is integrated in society. Once again a social need is Even the most superfluous and senseless activity undertaken in

it is an economy made from stubborn self-interest, an economy which flies in the face of the fact that it is only the exchange of specialized skills which keeps the whole mechanism going in the first place. William Tell, the obnoxious paradigm of absolute individuality, proclaimed that the household axe spared the need for the carpenter – indeed a whole ontology of bourgeois consciousness could be compiled from Schiller's maxims.

heteronomy, have become heteronomous for themselves. have outgrown their tutelage, not for those who under conditions of desire at all. Productive free time is only possible for people who in check and, as far as the individual is concerned, does not really society on the one hand incessantly calls for, but on the other holds activities are fictions and parodies of the same productivity which alized vicarious satisfactions, than to face up to the awareness of how to be distracted by spurious and illusory activities, by institutionit would be to throw off the yoke that weighs upon them. They prefer accidentally so; because people do have a dim suspicion of how hard society. Pseudo-activity is misguided spontaneity. Misguided, but not activity contain a pent-up need to change the petrified relations of ally speaking there is good reason to assume that all forms of pseudopseudo-activity has spread alarmingly, even (and especially) amongst years ago I described such behaviour as 'pseudo-activity'. Since then 'Do it yourself', this contemporary type of spare time behaviour fits however into a much more far-reaching context. More than thirty little access they have to the possibility of change today. Pseudothose people who regard themselves as anti-establishment. Gener-

Free time then does not merely stand in opposition to labour. In a system where full employment itself has become the ideal, free time is nothing more than a shadowy continuation of labour. As yet we still lack an incisive sociology of sport, and particularly of the spectator. Nevertheless one hypothesis, amongst others, springs to mind; namely that, by dint of the physical exertion exacted by sport, by dint of the functionalization of the body in team-activity, which interestingly enough occurs in the most popular sports, people are unwittingly trained into modes of behaviour which, sublimated to a greater or lesser degree, are required of them by the work process. The accepted reason for playing sport is that it makes believe that fitness itself is the sole, independent end of sport: whereas fitness for work is certainly one of the covert ends of sport. Frequently it is in sport that people first inflict upon themselves (and celebrate as a triumph of their own freedom) precisely what society inflicts upon them and what they must learn to enjoy.

Let me say a little more on the relation of free time and the culture industry. Since Horkheimer and I coined the term more than thirty

event was presented, like the articles written about it, accorded it an unusual degree of importance, we expected the spectators and media, dwelt on incessantly by the illustrated weeklies, and so con-German public to the wedding, which was broadcast by all the mass of Princess Beatrix of Holland with the junior German diplomat spection of it does reveal something which might well be relevant to the so-called problem of free time. The study concerned the wedding experience' (einmalig) to use a cliché beloved of modern German. To virtually text book example of how critical-theoretical thought can actual social determinants. I should now like to say with due caution, vate relationships is immeasurably overestimated in comparison to personalization; through which, as a clear compensation for the observe the operation of the characteristic contemporary ideology of readers to treat it just as seriously. In particular we expected to sumed by the public in their free time. Since the way in which the Claus von Amsberg. The objective was to assess the reactions of the poned in favour of more pressing tasks. Nevertheless a passing insimply equated with one another. A few years ago at the Frankfurt whether the culture industry and consumer-consciousness can be cess of mental life, just as it does in that of material life, especially unlike anything else in their everyday life: it was to be a 'unique hand people enjoyed it as a concrete event in the here and now quite possible to detect symptoms of a split consciousness. On the one both learn from and be corrected by empirical social research. It was functionalization of reality, the value of individual people and priproblem. Unfortunately, the full analysis of this material was post-Institute for Social Research we conducted a study devoted to this from which it promises temporarily to divert people - it is doubtful has meanwhile become total - itself a phenomenon of the eversame, was perfectly adapted to its consumers. But since the culture industry where the former has so closely approximated the latter, as it has in reason to believe that production regulates consumption in the pronates and controls both the conscious and the unconscious of those that these expectations were too simplistic. In fact the study offers a the culture industry. One would have thought the culture industry during the liberal era the culture industry grew. Nevertheless there is standards of what was formerly entertainment and low art, has the which at the time we were not able to gain a proper perspective on. and integration, that I should like to pick out a particular problem, people at whom it is directed - the same people out of whose taste tendency to believe that the culture industry totally and utterly domithe premise that the standards of the culture industry are the ossified The ideology critic, dealing with the culture industry, and working on years ago, so much has been written about this means of domination

especially not in free time, which does indeed lay claim to people, but grated even in consciousness. Society cannot have it all its own way herent contradictions persist undiminished, cannot be totally intestill strong enough to resist, within certain limits, total inclusion. sions are not too hasty, is indeed consumed and accepted, but with a culture industry presents people with in their free time, if my concluevent, the well publicized once-in-a-lifetime nature of which they had evaluate critically the political and social importance of the same quences; but I think that we can here glimpse a chance of maturity by its very nature still cannot totally claim them without pushing That would concur with the social prediction that a society, whose innot yet completely succeeded. The real interests of individuals are It is obvious that the integration of consciousness and free time has haps one can go even further and say that it is not quite believed in or filmgoers do not simply take what they behold there for real. Perdrooled over breathlessly in front of their television sets. What the showed themselves to be thoroughly realistic, and proceeded to ascribed to the grand event. Here it turned out that many of the trol questions about the political significance that the interviewees (Mündigkeit), which might just eventually help to turn free time into them over the edge. I shall refrain from spelling out the consekind of reservation, in the same way that even the most naive theatre people interviewed - we shall ignore the exact proportion - suddenly the immediate reactions of the viewers, were supplemented by conmation was transmitted. The format of our interview, however, was was transformed into a consumer item by the way in which the infordevised in such a way that the questions concerned with determining pattern, according to which even the relevant, possibly political news this extent the reaction of the audience corresponded to the familian

Chapter nine

Resignation

action is guilty of resignation. He does not consider the vision of unshakable instruments. The task assigned such individuals within a had no true desire to see it realized in the first place. In leaving conchange which he once held capable of realization; indeed, he actually that reason neither takes part in nor recommends spectacular, violent be stated approximately in these words; a person who in the present ent in the confinement to theory. The objection raised against us can society characterized by the division of labour might indeed be queswho always remain to a certain degree sensitive and by no means whether this demand can be made at all upon theoretical thinkers a critical theory of society, but we were not prepared to draw the nation levelled against us. We had, it is stated, developed elements of ditions as they are, he offers his tacit approval of them. hour doubts the possibility of radical change in society and who for I should not want to deny the impulse of subjective weakness inherthat which they have become merely through an act of their own will also been formed by it. And there is no way in which they can repeal tionable; they themselves might well be deformed by it. But they have grammes for action nor did we support the actions of those who felt practical consequences from this theory. We neither designed pro-School has established itself have recently had the reproach of resigthemselves inspired by critical theory. I shall sidestep the question We older representatives of that for which the name Frankfurt

freedom proper.

Distance from praxis is disreputable in the eyes of everyone. Anyone who does not take immediate action and who is not willing to get his hands dirty is the subject of suspicion; it is felt that his antipathy toward such action was not legitimate, and further that his view has even been distorted by the privileges he enjoys. Distrust of those who distrust praxis extends from those on the opposite side, who repeat the old slogan, 'We've had enough of talking' all the way to the objective spirit of advertising, which propagates the picture – it's

DIALECTIC OF ENLIGHTENMENT Philosophical Fragments

MAX HORKHEIMER *and* Theodor W. Adorno

Edited by Gunzelin Schmid Noerr
Translated by Edmund Jephcott

STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



The Culture Industry:
Enlightenment as Mass Deception

summoned there for purposes of work and leisure, as producers and contotal power of capital.* Just as the occupants of city centers are uniformly apartments, subjugate them only more completely to their adversary, the posed to perpetuate individuals as autonomous units in hygienic small short use like tin cans. But the town-planning projects, which are supes of technical progress while inviting their users to throw them away after skirts, like the flimsy structures at international trade fairs, sing the praiscrete centers already look like slums, and the new bungalows on the outcities, was already swiftly advancing. The older buildings around the conresidential and commercial blocks in the surrounding areas of desolate the unfettered entrepreneurial system, whose monuments are the dismal the systematic ingenuity of the state-spanning combines, toward which tries. The bright monumental structures shooting up on all sides show off buildings of industry differ little between authoritarian and other counsame inflexible rhythm. The* decorative administrative and exhibition er. Even the aesthetic manifestations of political opposites proclaim the branch of culture is unanimous within itself and all are unanimous togetheverything with sameness. Film, radio, and magazines form a system. Each to cultural chaos is refuted by daily experience. Culture today is infecting with technical and social differentiation and specialization, have given rise and the disintegration of the last precapitalist residues, in conjunction The sociological view that the loss of support from objective religion

sumers, so the living cells crystallize into homogenous, well-organized complexes. The conspicuous unity of macrocosm and microcosm confronts human beings with a model of their culture: the false identity of universal and particular. All mass culture under monopoly is identical, and the contours of its skeleton, the conceptual armature fabricated by monopoly, are beginning to stand out. Those in charge no longer take much trouble to conceal the structure, the power of which increases the more bluntly its existence is admitted. Films and radio no longer need to present themselves as art. The truth that they are nothing but business is used as an ideology to legitimize the trash they intentionally produce. They call themselves industries, and the published figures for their directors' incomes quell any doubts about the social necessity of their finished products.

erally permitted the participant to play the role of subject. The latter central control is repressed by that of individual consciousness. The step is the compulsive character of a society alienated from itself. Automobiles, strongest.* Technical rationality today is the rationality of domination. It over society is the power of those whose economic position in society is is not mentioned is that the basis on which technology is gaining power why they are accepted with so little resistance. In reality, a cycle of manipdemocratically makes everyone equally into listeners, in order to expose from telephone to radio has clearly distinguished the roles. The former libfunction within the economy today.* Any need which might escape the should not be attributed to the internal laws of technology itself but to its the logic of the work from that of society. These adverse effects, however, dardization and mass production and sacrifices what once distinguished the present the technology of the culture industry confines itself to standemonstrates its power against the very system of injustice it served. For bombs, and films hold the totality together until their leveling element ulation and retroactive need is unifying the system ever more tightly. What claimed, were originally derived from the needs of the consumers: that is tion and planning by those in control. The standardized forms, it is production centers and widely dispersed reception necessitates organizasame needs at countless locations. The technical antithesis between few processes which inevitably lead to the use of standard products to meet the cal terms. Its millions of participants, they argue, demand reproduction them in authoritarian fashion to the same programs put out by different Interested parties like to explain the culture industry in technologiconsumer, or, above all, to themselves. nothing which does not conform to their tables, to their concept of the common determination, of the executive powers to produce or let pass mechanism of selection.* Added to this is the agreement, or at least the apparatus were understood in all its details as a part of the economic apparatus and its personnel would be closer to the truth, provided that hot air. An explanation in terms of the specific interests of the technical ed for film, the pretext of meeting the public's spontaneous wishes is mere in "jam sessions" than at the highest levels of jazz—or if a movement from example of how to solve technical difficulties—which are mastered no less Beethoven is loosely "adapted" in the same way as a Tolstoy novel is adaptthe dramatic denouement in radio "soap operas"* is used as an instructive as one far removed from it in terms of its medium and subject matter; if the system, not an excuse for it. If a branch of art follows the same recipe allegedly and actually favors the system of the culture industry, is a part of they would not conform so eagerly. The mentality of the public, which ents belong to the operation long before they are put on show; otherwise performance competitions, and sponsored events of every kind. The talsteered and absorbed into a selection of specializations by talent-spotters, above. Any trace of spontaneity in the audience of the official radio is apocryphal sphere of "amateurs," who, in any case, are organized from missions are condemned to unfreedom. They confine themselves to the stations. No mechanism of reply has been developed, and private trans-

company names and technical sectors. The relentless unity of the culture industry bears witness to the emergent unity of politics. Sharp distinctions of intellect reaches a level where it overflows the demarcations between ically intertwined. Everything is so tightly clustered that the concentration company on the electrical industry, or of film on the banks, characterizes series of purges.* The dependence of the most powerful broadcasting cozy liberalism and Jewish intellectualism about it, is not subjected to a the whole sphere, the individual sectors of which are themselves economsphere of mass society, the specific product of which still has too much of They have to keep in with the true wielders of power, to ensure that their cals. Compared to them the culture monopolies are weak and dependent. the most powerful sectors of industry: steel, petroleum, electricity, chemiscure subjective intentions of board chairmen, this is primarily the case in If the objective social tendency of this age is incarnated in the ob-

> guishable from those of political propaganda, consumers are divided up as qualities purveyed to the public serves only to quantify it more completesumers. Something is provided for everyone so that no one can escape; difstatistical material into red, green, and blue areas according to income determined by indices and to select the category of mass product manuences as assist in the classification, organization, and identification of conmagazines in different price segments, do not so much reflect real differlike those between A and B films, or between short stories published in factured for their type. On the charts of research organizations, indistinly. Everyone is supposed to behave spontaneously according to a "level" terences are hammered home and propagated. The hierarchy of serial

of cylinders, engine capacity, and details of the gadgets, and in films to the ucts from the same firm, are shrinking—in cars to the different number only to perpetuate the appearance of competition and choice. It is no difcostumes, or the use of the latest psychological formulae. The unified standifference. The advantages and disadvantages debated by enthusiasts serve damentally illusory is known by any child, who is fascinated by that very the mechanically differentiated products are ultimately all the same. That pliantly document only the surface of social reality, are produced in prinmore perfectly than in Tristan because the sensuous elements, which comdelayed only for as long as the interested parties cannot agree. Such a synan insatiable uniformity. Television aims at a synthesis of radio and film, ing of the product itself. The technical media, too, are being engulfed by ture industry have nothing to do with actual differences, with the meanof investment put on show. The budgeted differences of value in the culdard of value consists in the level of conspicuous production, the amount different number of stars, the expense lavished on technology, labor and But the differences, even between the more expensive and cheaper prodferent with the offerings of Warner Brothers and Metro Goldwyn Mayer. the difference between the models of Chrysler and General Motors is funwork. The accord between word, image, and music is achieved so much tomorrow in a mocking fulfillment of Wagner's dream of the total art trial cultural products, still scantily disguised today, will triumph openly ishment of the aesthetic material so radically that the identity of all industhesis, with its unlimited possibilities, promises to intensify the impover-The schematic nature of this procedure is evident from the fact that

films, regardless of the plot selected by the production directors. ated job candidates as the power of their true master is the purpose of all capital. To impress the omnipotence of capital on the hearts of exproprilong glance at film,* to the last sound effect. It is the triumph of invested of production, from the original concept of the novel, shaped by its sideexpress as their true content. This work process integrates all the elements ciple within the same technical work process, the unity of which they

d'être. In a film, the outcome can invariably be predicted at the start—who To confirm the schema by acting as its constituents is their sole raison always completely defined by the purpose they serve within the schema. all the details, ready-made clichés, to be used here and there as desired and star, his plain-speaking abruptness toward the pampered heiress, are, like as rigid invariants, but the specific content of productions, the seemingly the wholesome slaps the heroine receives from the strong hand of the male hit song, the hero's temporary disgrace which he accepts as a "good sport," interchangeable. The brief interval sequence which has proved catchy in a variable element, is itself derived from those types. The details become do hit songs, stars, and soap operas conform to types recurring cyclically thing comes from consciousness—from that of God for Malebranche and Berkeley, and from earthly production management for mass art. Not only dreamy idealism which went too far for idealism in its critical form. Everyschematism of production. This dreamless art for the people fulfils the left to classify, since the classification has already been preempted by the shrewd intentionality peculiar to them. For the consumer there is nothing tendency, in passing through the agencies of business,* takes on the tia of a society irrational despite all its rationalization, and this calamitous ture industry, the planning is in fact imposed on the industry by the inermechanism appear to be planned by those who supply the data, the culson. That secret has now been unraveled. Although the operations of the psyche preformed immediate data to fit them into the system of pure reatomer. According to Kantian schematism, a secret mechanism within the the subject by industry. It purveys schematism as its first service to the cusfirst, relate sensuous multiplicity to fundamental concepts—is denied to Kantian schematism still expected of subjects—that they should, from the according to the unity of production. The active contribution which Even during their leisure time, consumers must orient themselves

> ear can always guess the continuation after the first bars of a hit song and organization. In music, the individual harmonic effect had obliterated once carried the idea and was liquidated with it. By emancipating itself, effect, the tangible performance, the technical detail, over the work, which industry has developed in conjunction with the predominance of the slim variety is specifically tailored to the office pigeonhole. The culture story must not be tampered with. The gags and effects are no less calcuis gratified when it actually occurs. The average choice of words in a short will be rewarded, punished, forgotten—and in light music the prepared obscured the overall composition; in the novel psychological penetration awareness of the form as a whole; in painting the particular detail had had rebelled as unbridled expression, as the agent of opposition, against the detail had become refractory; from Romanticism to Expressionism it lated than their framework. They are managed by special experts, and their had blurred the architecture. Through totality, the culture industry is yard stillness of dictatorship. tail look alike. Their harmony, guaranteed in advance, mocks the painfulconnections. Lacking both contrast and relatedness, the whole and the de-The so-called leading idea is a filing compartment which creates order, not success which, in fact, it is no more than the sum of those idiotic events. cessful man, in which everything serves to illustrate and demonstrate a the details in implacable detachment, somewhat like the career of a sucthe work. It crushes equally the whole and the parts. The whole confronts their unruliness and subordinates them to the formula which supplants putting an end to all that. Although operating only with effects, it subdues the most carefree films of democracy were overhung already by the gravely achieved harmony of the great bourgeois works of art. In Germany even

as a continuation of the film he has just left, because the film seeks strict-The familiar experience of the moviegoer, who perceives the street outside cate empirical objects, the more easily it creates the illusion that the world line of production. The more densely and completely its techniques duplily to reproduce the world of everyday perception, has become the guideduplication has become entirely subservient to this objective. According to cinema. Since the abrupt introduction of the sound film, mechanical outside is a seamless extension of the one which has been revealed in the this tendency, life is to be made indistinguishable from the sound film. Far The whole world is passed through the filter of the culture industry.

IOI

concede a certain 'unity of style' if it made any sense to speak of a stylized stitutes the new style, "a system of nonculture to which one might even the ever more pervasive and exorbitant claims of the specific medium, conthe beat only with a superior smile. Such "naturalness," complicated by simplest minuet, involuntarily syncopates, and condescends to start on tant. A jazz musician who has to play a piece of serious music, Beethoven's able in every utterance of the culture industry, and in many is quite blaeveryday existence. The paradox of routine travestied as nature is detectperfected technology reduces the tension between the culture product and try's ideal of naturalness. It asserts itself more imperiously the more the relish as if it were the language it has long since silenced. Such is the indusreproducers, are those who speak the jargon with the same free-and-easy first glance to be approved. But the true masters, as both producers and is by now so thoroughly imprinted by the schema that nothing can occur that does not bear in advance the trace of the jargon, that is not seen at tradition which the individual effect seeks to escape. Every phenomenon old schema, becoming additional rules, merely increases the power of the garde art, the culture industry defines its own language positively, by only defines the area left free but wholly controls it. Even the most minor nent compulsion to produce new effects which yet remain bound to the means of prohibitions applied to its syntax and vocabulary. The permadetails are modeled according to this lexicon. Like its adversary, avantalog of what is forbidden and what is tolerated* is so extensive that it not litany of the big film. The explicit and implicit, exoteric and esoteric cattorture of the hero or the raised hem of the leading lady's dress within the more scrupulously than production managers decide the position of the torments of the damned to their proper places in the order of divine love of feasibility. No cathedral chapter could have assigned the grimaces and examine a plot by Balzac or Victor Hugo before it receives the imprimatur windows and sculptures more suspiciously than the studio hierarchies medieval patron of architecture can have scrutinized the subjects of church only where it is too difficult or serious but also where the melody is merenot exactly fit the jargon. If he jazzes up Mozart, he changes the music not more puristically than the jazz arranger excludes any phrase which does ly harmonized differently, indeed, more simply, than is usual today. No Palestrina could have eliminated the unprepared or unresolved dissonance

the simple reproduction of mind does not lead on to the expansion of the producer to the women's organizations, are on the alert to ensure that single manifestation of the culture industry inescapably reproduces human beings as what the whole has made them. And all its agents, from attributed as a social effect to any one of them, but to all together. Each toes, both at work and in the leisure time which resembles it. In any sound film or any radio broadcast something is discernible which cannot be tic economic machinery,* which, from the first, keeps everyone on their sumed even in a state of distraction. But each one is a model of the giganproducts of the culture industry are such that they can be alertly conpower of industrial society* is imprinted on people once and for all. The products already known to him or her that they appear automatically. The of attention have become so familiar from other films and other culture the machinery at the moment of the performance. The required qualities place, does not need to be entirely transfixed by the special operations of she is unable to supply that which would have made it a world in the first absorbed by the world of the film, by gesture, image, and word, that he or cases, while still repressing the powers of imagination. Anyone who is so is so ingrained that it does not even need to be activated in particular servant, knowledgeable cast of mind but positively debars the spectator from thinking, if he is not to miss the fleeting facts. This kind of alertness are so constructed that their adequate comprehension requires a quick, obsound film, cripple those faculties through their objective makeup. They anisms. The products themselves, especially the most characteristic, the consumer of culture today need not be traced back to psychological mechdirectly with reality. The withering of imagination and spontaneity in the out losing the thread; thus it trains those exposed to it to identify film by the film's framework but unsupervised by its precise actualities—withdimension in which they might roam freely in imagination-contained more strongly than the theatre of illusion, film denies its audience any

which culture lovers idealize the precapitalist past as an organic era. No goes beyond the rigor and scope of any true style—the concept with has not yet been thought, into the schema of mechanical reproducibility eningly unfounded. The routine translation of everything, even of what exhaustion of the energy which created artistic style in the West are fright-The complaints of art historians and cultural attorneys over the

barbarism."1

al can replace the particular and vice versa extremes which touch" have become a murky identity in which the generon substance, is nullified by the absence of tension between the poles: "the and the specific demands of the subject, through which alone style takes the negation of style. The reconciliation of general and particular, of rules industry, which has no resistant material to overcome, is at the same time impulses of form have been reduced. As a result, the style of the culture her writer as an advert aimed at all the relevant consortia. To this the Even before Zanuck* acquired her, Saint Bernadette gleamed in the eye of reified as negotiable even before the various agencies come into conflict. ture commodity. By its own nature, however, the matter has already been business policy of the church or the industrial combine producing the culresidue of actual autonomy still occasionally finds refuge, collides with the divergence of interests. The reputation of the specialist, in which a last unbelievable lie tell us less about internal, aesthetic tensions than about a same apparatus as the jargon into which it is absorbed. The deals struck between the art specialists and the sponsor and censor over some all-toothe subject matter itself, down to its smallest elements, springs from the resistance of the intrinsic tendencies of form. But in the culture industry possibly be called artificial if it had been imposed from outside against the distinction between genuine and artificial style obsolete. A style might absorbs and squanders. Satanically, it has rendered cultural conservatism's said must be verifiable against everyday speech. The producers are experts. The idiom demands the most prodigious productive powers, which it measure of expertise. As in logical positivism, what is said and how it is truth. The rare ability to conform punctiliously to the obligations of the work of the avant-garde, where, unlike those of the hit song, they serve idiom of naturalness in all branches of the culture industry becomes the relates to nuances so fine as to be almost as subtle as the devices used in a must produce as second nature, so that the nation may make it theirs, pulsion of the technically conditioned idiom which the stars and directors they confirm the validity of the system all the more zealously. The comoffences against the usages of the craft because, as calculated rudeness, detail which falls outside the idiom. Orson Welles is forgiven all his the ninth than if it includes even the most elusive melodic or harmonic leniently today if it does not respect the thirty-two bars or the compass of ing than the official rules and prohibitions; a hit song is treated more The general influence of this stylization may already be more bind-

of form and content, inner and outer, individual and society, but in those absolute. To this extent the claims of art are always also ideology. Yet it is essary as it is hypocritical. By claiming to anticipate fulfillment through of the true universal. This promise of the work of art to create truth by al, or verbal idiom, what is expressed seeks to be reconciled with the idea and even in the mastery of the photographic shot of a farm laborer's hovel. today in the vocal jargon of the crooner, in the adept grace of the film star, artists have been mistrustful of style, which at decisive points has guided which resist the style they incarnate. Up to Schönberg and Picasso, great are called classical, like the music of Mozart, contain objective tendencies strength without which existence is dissipated unheard. Even works which as a negative truth. In the style of these works expression took on the adopted style as a rigor to set against the chaotic expression of suffering, embodied style in its least fractured, most perfect form but those who universal was locked away. The great artists were never those whose works in those periods, not the obscure experience of the subjects, in which the notion of style as a merely aesthetic regularity is a retrospective fantasy of parent in the culture industry as the aesthetic equivalent of power. The genuine style of the past. The concept of a genuine style becomes transsionate striving for identity. Instead of exposing itself to this failure, in traits in which the discrepancy emerges, in the necessary failure of the pashowever, does not consist in achieved harmony, in the questionable unity it transcends reality cannot, indeed, be severed from style; that moment, only in its struggle with tradition, a struggle precipitated in style, that ari their aesthetic derivatives, it posits the real forms of the existing order as impressing its unique contours on the socially transmitted forms is as necinto the dominant form of universality, into the current musical, pictori-In every work of art, style is a promise. In being absorbed through style Dadaists attacked in their polemics, the untruth of style as such, triumphs them less than the logic of the subject matter. What the Expressionists and but of the Renaissance expresses the different structures of social coercion rior work has relied on its similarity to others, the surrogate of identity, which the style of the great work of art has always negated itself, the infecan find expression for suffering. The moment in the work of art by which Romanticism. The unity of style not only of the Christian Middle Ages nothing other than style, it divulges style's secret: obedience to the social The culture industry has finally posited this imitation as absolute. Being Nevertheless, this caricature of style reveals something about the

which the philosophers of the individual personality held out against mass day, does this culture mockingly fulfill the notion of a unified culture the imprint of the work routine which they must sustain throughout the subordinating all branches of intellectual production equally to the single orously subsumed, is fully adequate to this concept of culture. Only by leave the factory in the evening to the time they clock on in the morning, purpose of imposing on the senses of human beings, from the time they into the realm of administration. Only what has been industrialized, rigprocess of identifying, cataloging, and classifying which imports culture ture. The general designation "culture" already contains, virtually, the neutralized. To speak about culture always went against the grain of culintellectual formations since they were brought together as culture and hierarchy. Aesthetic barbarism today is accomplishing what has threatened

pid to starve, in art as elsewhere. Not for nothing did the system of the market, in which, even in its heyday, freedom was the freedom of the stuindustry to clever people is the function of the otherwise largely regulated rein to its ablest members survives in the culture industry. To open that well-organized dissidence. In this way liberalism's tendency to give free there a place among the latter for anyone who demonstrates superiority by immeasurable the gulf between chorus and leaders, the more certainly is figure under whose protection the rebel is suing for peace. The more plaints to be heard in which the attentive ear can discern the prominent idea to sell. Public authority in the present society* allows only those comto capitalism. Realistic indignation is the trademark of those with a new ing from the culture industry, they belong to it as the land reformer does closely at their true purpose and are willing to be compliant. Anyone who resists can survive only by being incorporated. Once registered as divergthat area people can still make their way, provided they do not look too with the corresponding entrepreneurial types, still tenuously survives. In the circulation sphere otherwise in the process of disintegration, together the modern culture combines are the economic area in which a piece of domesticated naturalism no less than in the operetta and the revue, but Not only did its categories and contents originate in the liberal sphere, in be the goal of the very liberalism which is criticized for its lack of style. The culture industry, the most inflexible style of all, thus proves to

think as I do or you die.' He says: 'You are free not to think as I do; your vate monopoly of culture tyranny does indeed "leave the body free and of their illiterate principals. The analysis offered by de Tocqueville a hunsets to work directly on the soul. The ruler no longer says: 'Either you dred years ago has been fully borne out in the meantime. Under the pritheir first names and are subject, in every artistic impulse, to the judgment foundations of throne and altar. Today they call heads of government by Kant and Hume, "Your most obedient servant," while undermining the brought the artists to heel. In former times they signed their letters, like threat of incorporation into commercial life as aesthetic experts finally in little more than the respect of connoisseurs. Only the dire and incessant utable literary and musical publishers could support authors who brought ketable artistic quality was converted into purchasing power, so that repdegree of protection. In the market itself the homage paid to not yet marof supply and demand, heightening its resistance far beyond its actual tury. This stiffened the backbone of art in its late phase against the verdict market as the princes and feudal lords had done up to the nineteenth cenlutism, had left them a degree of independence from the power of the state and the local authorities who inherited such institutions from absotras, and the museums were under patronage. The political powers, the ing the universities, the artistically influential theatres, the great orchesunleashed in Western countries. The German educational system, includareas were still exempt from the market mechanism which had been life, your property—all that you shall keep. But from this day on you will permeation of life by democratic control had a paradoxical effect. Many exponents their livelihood, however meager. In Germany the incomplete wardness that intellectual activity owed a remnant of autonomy, its last in relation to the monopoly of culture. But it was precisely to such backto the state of technology, is quite illusory. Prefascist Europe was backward "cultural lag," of the backwardness of American consciousness in relation tion. The belief that the barbarism of the culture industry is a result of triumph there. Its progress, however, stems from the general laws of capicharacteristic media, especially cinema, radio, jazz, and magazines, also dence on the USA after the war and the inflation also made its contributal. Gaumont and Pathé,* Ullstein and Hugenberg* did not follow the culture industry originate in the liberal industrial countries, just as all its international trend to their own disadvantage; Europe's economic depen-

of the producers they sustain, can have a good conscience. Both content themselves with the reproduction of sameness. between them, the conformism of the consumers, like the shamelessness tributes its privileges democratically to all. Under the ideological truce arrogance of those who think themselves superior, whereas culture disgurates total harmony. Connoisseurship and expertise are proscribed as the as a whole. By artfully sanctioning the demand for trash, the system inauit from its contract with a declining star are legitimate costs for the system has itself rigged. The incidental costs to the firm which cannot turn a prof-Donald Duck rather than Betty Boop. The industry bows to the vote it the tribunals. It calls for Mickey Rooney* rather than the tragic Garbo, inspired renewed zeal in greater agencies directed against it, the terror of surpasses the rigor of the Hays Office,* just as, in great epochs, it has the harm done to them outstrips even the cunning of the authorities. It which they are enslaved. The pernicious love of the common people for too, have their aspirations. They insist unwaveringly on the ideology by cling to the myth of success still more ardently than the successful. They, more seriously than the rulers themselves, the defrauded masses today unresistingly succumb to whatever is proffered to them. However, just as the ruled have always taken the morality dispensed to them by the rulers Capitalist production hems them in so tightly, in body and soul, that they workers and salaried employees, the farmers and petty bourgeois. ture it acts as a control on behalf of the rulers. The consumers are the demand is today disintegrating in material production, in the superstruceasily convicted of inadequacy. Whereas the mechanism of supply and lessness of the eccentric loner. Disconnected from the mainstream, he is to an economic impotence which is prolonged in the intellectual powerbe a stranger among us." Anyone who does not conform is condemned

everything must be endlessly in motion. For only the universal victory of and surprises, of what is both totally familiar and has never existed before. viewed with mistrust. That is why there is incessant talk of ideas, novelty Tempo and dynamism are paramount. Nothing is allowed to stay as it was, film, any manuscript which is not reassuringly based on a best-seller is already determines consumption, it rejects anything untried as a risk. In the exclusion of the new. The machine is rotating on the spot. While it is new in the phase of mass culture compared to that of late liberalism is Unending sameness also governs the relationship to the past. What

> bered by Plato-indeed, were only numbers, incapable of increase or an authoritative catalog tersely listing the products available. The ideal college, have long since reorganized and rationalized the objective mind. only managers do, whether they come from the ready-to-wear trade or* the culture agencies, who work harmoniously with others of their kind as to the proven cultural inventory would be too speculative. The frozen gennothing will change, that nothing unsuitable will emerge. To add anything the rhythm of mechanical production and reproduction promises that forms are inscribed in the cultural heavens where they were already num-It is as if some omnipresent agency* had reviewed the material and issued late liberal taste threateningly imposed as a norm. The most powerful of res—sketch, short story, problem film, hit song—represent the average of

and who must be glad to use the time not spent at the production line in whom the hardship and oppression of life make a mockery of seriousness sion harbor illusions about society.* The purity of bourgeois art, hypostamer an appearance of objective justification. The split between them is the latter could not apprehend because of its social premises gives the forits shadow. It is the social bad conscience of serious art. The truth which from the purposes of the false. Serious art has denied itself to those for with the cause of that class, the true universal, precisely by freeing itself from the outset with the exclusion of the lower class; and art keeps faith tized as a realm of freedom contrasting to material praxis, was bought decadence. Those who deplore it as a betrayal of the ideal of pure expresly within it as lies. "Light" art as such, entertainment, is not a form of what is destroyed as truth outside its sphere can be reproduced indefinitely a synthesis of Beethoven and the Casino de Paris.* Its victory is twofold: at the same time it has become more refined and elevated, becoming finalpitilessly it has forced the outsider into either bankruptcy or a syndicate; ties. The more all-embracing the culture industry has become, the more ment of its obtrusive naiveties and improved the quality of its commoditransposition of art to the consumption sphere, of having stripped amuseing energetically accomplished and elevated to a principle the often inept ed long before the industry itself. Now they have been taken over from being simply carried along. Light art has accompanied autonomous art as above and brought fully up to date. The culture industry can boast of hav-Amusement and all the other elements of the culture industry existtypes than in the stale ideologies which the ephemeral contents have to ifests itself more effectively in the technically enforced ubiquity of stereois focused on the technology, not on the rigidly repeated, threadbare and half-abandoned content. The social power revered by the spectators manneous to the system. With good reason the interest of countless consumers tions are in all cases mere improvements to mass production is not extraindustry. Its element is repetition. The fact that its characteristic innovathus brought under a single false denominator: the totality of the culture amusement have been subjected equally to the concept of purpose and is new, however, is that the irreconcilable elements of culture, art, and the blunders without which the elevated style cannot be conceived. What ing and domesticating dilettantism, while itself incessantly committing the rubbish of former times by imposing its own perfection, by prohibitcharine monotony of Guy Lombardo.* What is significant is not crude mically than any amateur clarinetist, while the quartet play with the sacignorance, stupidity or lack of polish. The culture industry has abolished fore has to appear with the Budapest String Quartet, more pedantic rhythberg and Karl Kraus. The leading jazz musician Benny Goodman thereculture industry attempts. The eccentricity of the circus, the peep show, or the brothel in relation to society is as embarrassing to it as that of Schönabsorbing light art into serious or vice versa. That, however, is what the the sum of both spheres. The antithesis can be reconciled least of all by itself the truth: it expresses at least the negativity of the culture which is

expansion, was a deliberate adaptation to needs of the public registered at try shortly before the First World War, the material precondition for its film industry, who accept only more or less phenomenal box-office success pioneering days of the screen. That view is still held by the captains of the the ticket office, which were hardly thought worthy of consideration in the replaced by simple obedience. The major reorganization of the film indusby the survival of the market in the industry. Demand has not yet been the public by the social process as a whole, those tendencies are reinforced principle of entertainment to anything which is more than itself. Since the will not be broken by outright dictate but by the hostility inherent in the ness. Its control of consumers is mediated by entertainment, and its hold tendencies of the culture industry are turned into the flesh and blood of Nevertheless, the culture industry remains the entertainment busi-

ess so that they can cope with it again. At the same time, however, mechantithesis to it—or even in the antithesis between omnipotence and powculture industry lies in its unity with fabricated need and not in simple ogy is business. In this they are right to the extent that the power of the as evidence and prudently ignore the counterevidence, truth. Their ideolwhat the writers take to be their most effective idea. Obtusely ingenious and theme under the old schema. Instead, the next step is determined by Often the plot is willfully denied the development called for by characters meaning, however meager, when only meaninglessness is acceptable schematic formula seems dangerous, since it provides some coherence of to extract the maximum effect from the individual scene. Finally, even the whole. There is no plot which could withstand the screenwriters' eagerness to emerge from the directly preceding situation, not from the idea of the presupposing mental capacity is scrupulously avoided. Developments are once exposed to thought-but through signals. Any logical connection scribes each reaction, not through any actual coherence—which collapses tion. The spectator must need no thoughts of his own: the product preeffort and therefore moves strictly along the well-worn grooves of associament congeals into boredom, since, to be amusement, it must cost no printed is the automated sequence of standardized tasks. The only escape itself. The ostensible content is merely a faded foreground; what is imduty worker can experience nothing but after-images of the work process thoroughly the fabrication of entertainment commodities, that the offanization has such power over leisure and its happiness, determines so ism. It is sought by those who want to escape the mechanized labor procerlessness. Entertainment is the prolongation of work under late capital vert meaning has taken over completely in the text of novelty songs,* susthe unity of the socio-psychological case represented, the tendency to submost strikingly in the less sophisticated genres. Whereas the films of Green mate part of popular art up to Chaplin and the Marx brothers, emerge ly on the pure nonsense which, as buffoonery and clowning, was a legitisurprises disrupt the plot. The product's tendency to fall back perniciousleisure time. This is the incurable sickness of all entertainment. Amuse from the work process in factory and office is through adaptation to it in films, is massacred and mutilated. Novelty songs have always lived on conpense films, and cartoons. The idea itself, like objects in comic and horror Garson and Bette Davis can still derive some claim to a coherent plot from

make do with the mere horror of situations connected in only the most adventure films the spectators are begrudged even the opportunity to witness the resolution. Even in nonironic examples of the genre they must analysis, they reduce to the monotony of sexual symbolism. In crime and tempt for meaning, which, as both ancestors and descendants of psycho-

senses to the new tempo, they hammer into every brain the old lesson that of the pogrom. To the extent that cartoons do more than accustom the accustom themselves to theirs. tunate victim in real life receive their beatings so that the spectators car dition of life in this society. Donald Duck in the cartoons and the unforcontinuous attrition, the breaking of all individual resistance, is the conferred by the sight of an embrace and postpones satisfaction until the day atrocity prolonged into a hunt. The jollity dispels the joy supposedly concensors of the film industry, its accomplices, monitor the duration of the* ment is converted into the quality of organized cruelty.* The self-elected nist is tossed about like a scrap of litter. The quantity of organized amusethroughout the action: with the audience in gleeful pursuit the protagoopening sequences state a plot motif so that destruction can work on it that of slapstick comedy. But now the temporal relations have shifted. The whirl of pursuit of the final minutes. In this their procedure resembled truth. A few years ago they had solid plots which were resolved only in the electrified by their technology, by granting the mutilated beings a second rationalism. They allowed justice to be done to the animals and things life. Today they merely confirm the victory of technological reason over Cartoon and stunt films were once exponents of fantasy against

institutions was no longer made obligatory by their mere existence, the longer enter the world of dream in any case, and once the use of these much deprived. In stepping from the street into the cinema, they no tions and cinemas were shut down, consumers probably would not feel too fils its self-proclaimed function of distraction. If the majority of radio staduction. This makes it doubtful whether the culture industry even still fulkeep up, emulating the smartness displayed and propagated by the prosentation no one may appear stupid even for a moment; everyone has to concocted by the experts may escape the weary eye; in face of the slick previolence against the spectator; distraction becomes exertion. No stimulant The enjoyment of the violence done to the film character turns into

> tion of abolishing hunger. an economic system which refuses to utilize capacities when it is a quesof fully utilizing the capacities for aesthetic mass consumption, is part of human beings. The idea of "exploiting" the given technical possibilities,* the bloated entertainment apparatus does not make life more worthy of perature. Apart from that, and even by the measure of the existing order, ness in summer and warmth in winter in these places of regulated temgazing out of the window. The unemployed of the great centers find freshas once, when there were still dwellings and evening repose, she could sit ema grants a refuge in which she can spend a few unsupervised hours, just films which are supposed to integrate her still further, the dark of the cinany case, the ones who had lagged behind. For the housewife, despite the would not be the film enthusiasts but those who always pay the penalty in this way would not be reactionary machine-wrecking. Those who suffered urge to use them might not be so overwhelming.* Shutting them down in

come, that the diner must be satisfied with reading the menu. The desire es the entire show, disdainfully intimates that there is nothing more to packaging is indefinitely prolonged: the promise, which actually comprisspecialty, purveyed by quota with the trade description "daring." The mass culture industry is pornographic and prudish. It reduces love to romance nied by the clear notification that things will never go so far. The Hays no erotic situation in which innuendo and incitement are not accompathe habit of denial has long since been mutilated as masochism. There is merely goads the unsublimated anticipation of pleasure, which through the breasts beneath the sweater, the naked torso of the sporting hero, it not sublimate: it suppresses. By constantly exhibiting the object of desire, mediation what had been denied. That is the secret of aesthetic sublimaative, they reversed, as it were, the debasement of the drive and rescued by of art were not sexual exhibitions either. But by presenting denial as negbration of the daily round it sought to escape. Of course, genuine works inflamed by the glossy names and images is served up finally with a celeendlessly promises. The promissory note of pleasure issued by plot and And, once reduced, much is permitted, even libertinage as a marketable in any case: that of Tantalus. Works of art are ascetic and shameless; the Office* merely confirms the ritual which the culture industry has staged tion: to present fulfillment in its brokenness. The culture industry* does The culture industry endlessly cheats its consumers out of what it

a caricature of solidarity. What is infernal about wrong laughter is that it denial. Its supreme law is that its consumers shall at no price be given what pain, which is present in ecstasy no less than in asceticism, with jovial pins his whole life to the fleeting moment. The culture industry replaces bliss, is negatively confirmed by the gravity of the lover who presciently asceticism but the sexual act which marks the renunciation of attainable res severa verum gaudium.* The ideology of monasteries, that it is not compellingly parodies what is best, reconciliation. Joy, however, is austered support—of being ready to shrink from nothing. Their harmony presents who laugh parodies humanity. They are monads, each abandoning himself to the pleasure—at the expense of all others and with the majority in tings, dares to celebrate its liberation from scruple. The collective of those truth, the irruption of barbarity, the self-assertion which, in convivial setwhich, according to Bergson, bursts through rigidity in laughter is, in sickness infecting happiness and drawing it into society's worthless totality. Laughter about something is always laughter at it, and the vital force Baudelaire is as humorless as Hölderlin. In wrong society laughter is a operettas, and now films, present sex amid peals of merriment. But cheating happiness. To moments of happiness laughter is foreign; only industry never ceases to prescribe. It makes laughter the instrument for inescapability of power. Fun is a medicinal bath which the entertainment copes with fear by defecting to the agencies which inspire it. It echoes the laughter resounds with the echo of escape from power; wrong laughter always accompanies the moment when a fear is ended.* It indicates a release, whether from physical danger or from the grip of logic. Reconciled pleasure elicited by any successful deprivation. There is laughter because scious idolatry with which the experience of beauty has always been more inescapable by the reactionary culture zealots with their methodical mechanical reproduction of beauty-which, admittedly, is made only established models by which they would be typecast in Hollywood. The there is nothing to laugh about. Laughter, whether reconciled or terrible, linked. The triumph over beauty is completed by humor, the malicious idolization of individuality—no longer leaves any room for the unconrecord, and the natural faces of Texas girls already resemble those of the from the start, a copy of himself. Every tenor now sounds like a Caruso of his ubiquity, the film star with whom one is supposed to fall in love is, production of sexuality automatically brings about its repression. Because

> isfaction. In each performance of the culture industry the permanent they desire: and in that very deprivation they must take their laughing satagainst capitalism, but not to renounce the threat of castration. This threat era, industrial no less than nationalist culture can permit itself to inveigh is even more strictly tabooed than it is for the future son-in-law of a milbecause it can never take place, everything revolves around the coitus. In is one and the same. That is what the erotic commotion achieves. Just demonstrated to its victims. To offer them something and to withhold it denial imposed by civilization is once more inflicted on and unmistakably culture industry presents that same everyday world as paradise. Escape, industry in all its branches, is much like the abduction of the daughter in may be. The flight from the everyday world, promised by the culture to understand that they must make do with what is offered, whatever it only does it persuade them that its fraud is satisfaction; it also gives them their needs only as eternal consumers, as the culture industry's object. Not be so set up in advance that individuals experience themselves through individuals as capable of fulfillment by the culture industry, they should ble. This principle requires that while all needs should be presented to not for a moment allowing him or her to suspect that resistance is possity, inherent in the system,* of never releasing its grip on the consumer, of it still asserts itself in the form of women's organizations, but the necessiand then in reality. What is decisive today is no longer Puritanism, though ward the wearers of uniforms, first in the jaunty films produced for them constitutes its essence.* It outlasts the organized relaxation of morals tolionaire to be active in the workers' movement. Unlike that of the liberal film, to allow an illicit relationship without due punishment of the culprits like elopement, is destined from the first to lead back to its starting point. the American cartoon: the father is holding the ladder in the dark. The Entertainment fosters the resignation which seeks to forget itself in enter-

Amusement, free of all restraint, would be not only the opposite of art but its complementary extreme. Absurdity in the manner of Mark Twain, with which the American culture industry flirts from time to time, could be a corrective to art. The more seriously art takes its opposition to existence, the more it resembles the seriousness of existence, its antithesis: the more it labors to develop strictly according to its own formal laws, the more labor it requires to be understood, whereas its goal had been pre-

meaning is disappearing at the highest. meaninglessness to disappear at the lowest level of art just as radically as everything to justify itself in terms of meaning and effect. It is causing artistry, which represents what is human against the social mechanism, are of physical as against intellectual art."3 But the hiding places of mindless expertise of riders, acrobats, and clowns, in the "defense and justification being relentlessly ferreted out by organizational reason, which forces industry by which it resembles the circus—in the stubbornly purposeless untruth. Traces of something better persist in those features of the culture cation. At all its levels, from Hemingway to Emil Ludwig,* from Mrs. is corrupt, not as a sink of iniquity but as the cathedral of higher gratifitualism—and even restrict its technical possibilities. The culture industry amusement as "naïve"—naivety being rated no more highly than intelleclectual products drawn ready-made from art and science are infected with Miniver* to the Lone Ranger,* from Toscanini to Guy Lombardo,* intelculture which is liquidating itself. Ethics and taste suppress unbridled tion is not that the culture industry serves up amusement but that it spoils the fun by its business-minded attachment to the ideological clichés of the ting ahead. Every kiss in the revue film must contribute to the career of is not the bells on the fool's cap that jingle but the bunch of keys of capimisusing them as pretexts for bringing on the stars. Biographies and other ture industry insists on endowing its products while at the same time slyly is disrupted by the surrogate of a coherent meaning with which the culand merry nonsense, is cut short by amusement in its marketable form: it amusement indulged to the full, relaxed abandon to colorful associations the boxer or hit-song expert whose success is being glorified. The deceptalist reason, which even in its images harnesses joy to the purpose of gettables stitch together the scraps of nonsense into a feeble-minded plot. It momentarily glimpsed. Its realization, of course, cannot be allowed. Pure grotesque stories and "funnies,"* the possibility of this negation is cisely to negate the burden of labor. In some revue films, and especially in

was sustained by an unbroken belief in the future: things would stay the raphy or the radio recording. In the age of liberal expansion amusement ment is now experienced only in facsimile, in the form of cinema photogtualization of amusement. This is already evident in the fact that amuse only by the debasement of culture but equally by the compulsory intellec-The fusion of culture and entertainment is brought about today not

> attributed by Aristotle to tragedy and now by Mortimer Adler* to film. tions. In this sense entertainment is purging the affects in the manner once gredient, so that they can more reliably control their own human emochological films, and women's serials* as an embarrassingly agreeable inindustry is perverting it into a barefaced lie. It appears now only as the even more stereotyped form than the advertising slogans paid for by the higher values it eradicates from the masses by repeating them in an system as a whole. Amusement itself becomes an ideal, taking the place of serve the publicity needs of the manufacturer concerned but advertises the ruthlessness disguised as character, to the sporting interest, and finally to touches of meaning—running exactly parallel to life itself—applied in the in a golden shimmer projected beyond the real. It is composed of the extra becoming so refined as to lose sight of all actual goals and to consist only same yet get better. Today, that belief has itself been intellectualized, high-minded prattle tolerated by consumers of religious bestsellers, psyalways more beholden to the outward rulers than it imagined. The culture private interests. Inwardness, the subjectively restricted form of truth, was the cars and cigarettes, even where the entertainment does not directly screen world to the good guy, the engineer, the decent girl, and also to the The culture industry reveals the truth not only about style but also about

possible only by insulating itself from the totality of the social process, can do as it chooses with the needs of consumers-producing, controlgraph through the delicate morsel it portrayed and, conversely, the pudprinciple of entertainment itself, as a principle of bourgeois enlightenmaking itself stupid and perversely renouncing from the first the in-To be entertained means to be in agreement. Entertainment makes itself reveals itself in the meaning of entertainment itself: as society's apologia. huckster. But the original affinity between business and entertainmen the trace of commercial brashness, of sales talk, the voice of the fairground ding mix through the image of a pudding, entertainment has always borne recommended the work to the masses through its subject matter, the oleoment. If the need for entertainment was largely created by industry, which limits are set to cultural progress. But the tendency is immanent in the ling, disciplining them; even withdrawing amusement altogether: here, no The more strongly the culture industry entrenches itself, the more it realized man's species being. Everyone amounts only to those qualities by ed similarity is the absolute difference. The identity of the species proness posits the insuperable separation of its human elements. The perfectspecimens of the same species as everyone in the audience, but the sameown wedding in that of others. Now the happy couple on the screen are hibits that of the individual cases. The culture industry* has sardonically longer possible to lose oneself in others. Once, film spectators saw their industry still invites naïve identification, it immediately denies it. It is no else, who might just as well be oneself but never is. Where the culture it is best to write it off at once and rejoice in the good fortune of someone mathematically the same chance, it is so minimal for each individual that can draw the winning lot, only one is prominent, and even though all have screen but still more insistently of the distance between them. Only one spectator not only of the possibility that she, too, might appear on the secretary, to wear the flowing evening gown. Thus she apprises the female tary, though in a way which makes her seem predestined, unlike the real dent middle classes. The female starlet is supposed to symbolize the secrescouts and then built up by the studios are ideal types of the new, depenitself as ceaselessly in search of talent. Those discovered by the talent higher power—usually the entertainment industry itself, which presents who draws the winning ticket or, rather, the one designated to do so by a in probability calculations. Fortune will not smile on all—just on the one too astute to identify with the millionaire on the screen and too obtuse to simultaneous advance of intelligence. In the age of statistics the masses are deviate even minutely from the law of large numbers. Ideology hides itself public in submission. The advance of stupidity must not lag behind the industry. Nevertheless, it has become increasingly difficult to keep the annul. Even on those occasions when the public rebels against the pleasure very people as thinking subjects whose subjectivity it specifically seeks to industry it displays the feebleness systematically instilled in it by that cal question "What do people want?" lies in the fact that it appeals to the promises is from thinking as negation. The shamelessness of the rhetorithe last thought of resisting that reality. The liberation which amusement It is indeed escape, but not, as it claims, escape from bad reality but from forgetting suffering, even when it is on display. At its root is powerlessness. reflect the whole. Amusement always means putting things out of mind, escapable claim of any work, even the most trivial: in its restrictedness to

sameness of people, the fortune or misfortune of the individual, right up example, those which, like Garbo's, do not look as if they would welcome extra a heroine, is celebrated by ideology. Films emphasize chance. By imsternly required to uphold. The path per aspera ad astra, which presupchanges the inner composition of the religion of success, which they are As individuals they are absolutely replaceable, pure nothingness, and are cases. In the detailed reports on the modestly luxurious pleasure trip orgapeople believe in its control. For the planners it serves as an alibi, giving to the top, loses all economic importance. Chance itself is planned; not in entirely irrational. Chance and planning become identical since, given the investment and confidence to be trained for such functions becomes an engineer or a manager, the choice of who is to receive from society the have developed so far on the side of rationality that anyone might become times lucky, as the other side of planning.* Just because society's energies Fundamentally, everyone recognizes chance, by which someone is someconnection to the calculable effect of their own work. They take the hint. without having to perform tasks of which they know themselves incabe in any way other than they are and that they can succeed just as well villain, to the point of excluding any faces which do not conform—for posing an essential sameness on their characters, with the exception of the made aware of this as soon as time deprives them of their sameness. This masses mere material that those in control* can raise one of them up to that-be—the powerlessness of everyone is reflected. So much are the shorthand typist who probably won through contacts with local powersnized by the magazine for the lucky competition winner—preterably a ious media of the culture industry by the arbitrary selection of average tionships between human beings. Such freedom is symbolized in the varhas been transformed* still leaves room for spontaneous, immediate relathe impression that the web of transactions and measures into which life the sense that it will affect this or that particular individual but in that help them in any case, because even bourgeois success no longer has any pable. But at the same time they are given the hint that effort would not tially easier for the spectators. They are assured that they do not need to the greeting "Hello, sister"—the ideology does, it is true, make life iniblindness in the routine decision as to which song is to be a hit, which poses need and effort, is increasingly replaced by the prize. The element of which he or she can replace everyone else: all are fungible, mere specimens.

and the charm of not belonging to the system. In both cases they remain aspect happens to be paramount at the time, ideology stresses plan or the press, with human interest stories demonstrating freedom of choice sense requires. As customers they are regaled, whether on the screen or in reminded of the rational organization and must fit into it as common chance, technology or life, civilization or nature. As employees people are like each of its elements, to this exhaustive formula. Depending on which customers and employees and has in fact reduced humanity as a whole, justice and their labor. Industry* is interested in human beings only as its their heaven and cast him or her out again: let them go hang with their

a fool. The culture industry sweeps aside objections to itself along with ing. Anyone who continues to doubt in face of the power of monotony is those to the world it neutrally duplicates. One has only the choice of conity. Such photological proof* may not be stringent, but it is overwhelm ing, a lie which is not articulated directly but drummed in by suggestion trable world of appearances is set up as the ideal. Ideology is split betweer The mere cynical reiteration of the real is enough to demonstrate its divinthe photographing of brute existence and the blatant lie about its mean the density of which blocks insight. Thus the omnipresent and impenemisinformation and obvious truth by faithfully duplicating appearances, With consummate skill it maneuvers between the crags of demonstrable culture industry makes itself the irrefutable prophet of the existing order thing which cannot be verified, functions as an instrument of control very vagueness, the quasiscientific reluctance to be pinned down to anyof the resulting ideology does not make it more transparent, or weaker. Its either as advertisements or as mere chatter. The noncommittal vagueness which appeals to mere truth only arouses impatience to get down to the Through its inherent tendency to adopt the tone of the factual report, the ldeology becomes the emphatic and systematic proclamation of what is real business behind it. Words which are not a means seem meaningless, a meaningful explanation of life, the emptier the ideology it disseminates the others seem to be fiction, untruth. Value judgments are perceived Abstractions in particular are identified as publicity devices. Language necessarily becomes. Even the abstract ideals of the harmony and benevolence of society are too concrete in the age of the universal advertisement The less the culture industry has to promise and the less it can offer

employee who won the world trip is matched by the disappointing appearunconditional feeling, or to invoke them as ideology, as the occasion cornfields at the end of Chaplin's film on Hitler give the lie to the antiendlessly the jazz machine pounds. Despite all the progress in the techall becomes the justification for the blind continuation of the system, constitute it instead of doing away with them straight away, is even credsystem, even in its most recent phase, reproduces the lives of those who drive her all the more implacably into the arms of the smart American boy woman hopes to still her longing as a desolately barren place, in order to film can permit itself to show the Paris in which the young American might have led. What is offered is not Italy but evidence that it exists. The to elevate it into the realm of facts. Through such elevation existence itself demands. The new ideology has the world as such as its subject. It exploits either to poke fun at the old wishful dreams, the paternal ideal no less than of mass culture are still being driven. This culture is hardened enough cals have already reached the political stance toward which the members cinema and radio by falling back on eternal beauty and amateur theatriand sold off. The solemn pictorial affirmation that the trees are green, the consolidates the immutability of the existing circumstances. The swaying children, that the wheels have not yet come completely to a halt. All this founded amazement that, in spite of everything, mothers still give birth to bustle, the bread on which the culture industry feeds humanity, remains niques of representation, all the rules and specialties, all the gesticulating nature as in industry. The same babies grin endlessly from magazines, and indeed, for its immutability. What is repeated is healthy-the cycle in ited to the system as its meaning and value. The ability to keep going at she might equally well have met at home. That life goes on at all, that the ance of the exactly photographed regions through which the journey era reproduces. The disappointed hope that one might oneself be the becomes a surrogate of meaning and justice. Beauty is whatever the camthe cult of fact by describing bad existence with utmost exactitude in order forming or being consigned to the backwoods: the provincials who oppose healing antithesis of society, is itself absorbed into that incurable society by Ufa. Nature, in being presented by society's control mechanism as the German maidens whose outdoor life in the summer wind is photographed fascist speech about freedom. They resemble the blond tresses of the the stone of stereotype. It lives on the cyclical, on the admittedly well-

Enlightenment as Mass Deception

umph of the giant corporation* over entrepreneurial initiative is celebrated by the culture industry as the perpetuity of entrepreneurial initiative. speed from where they are in any case to where it is no different. The trisociety as a world in which people idled lasciviously in plush-lined rooms of that leafy, cloudy soul. In this way both nature and technology are Father* have one and the same meaning. bourgeois philistines, in Germany, and the smug coziness of Life with thinking subject.* The resurrection of Hans Sonnenstößer,* the enemy of breakdowns in antediluvian Benz models instead of traveling at rocket instead of taking wholesome open-air baths as they do today, or suffered mobilized against the alleged stuffiness, the faked recollection of liberal and machine parts are made to gleam expressively, debased as receptacles The fight is waged against an enemy who has already been defeated, the tograms for factory chimneys and gasoline stations. Conversely, wheels sky is blue, and the clouds are sailing overhead already makes them cryp

ee Dagwood,* who lives in reality no less than in the comic strip, is reli adhere to the system. Managers can be relied on; even the minor employvery precisely to the degree by which classes and individuals inwardly its loyal members. The gradations in the standard of living correspond tematic nature of this society that it reproduces, passably, only the lives of knowledge is all that counts. In reality, it is a feature of the irrationally sysscribed set of attitudes easily gives the misleading impression that experi professions, specialist knowledge as a rule goes hand in hand with a prefinally go under. The fact that in every career, and especially in the liberal tle in the scales of this apparatus. Otherwise he will fall behind in life and trol. Anyone who wants to avoid ruin must take care not to weigh too litrelationships which amount to the most sensitive instrument of social conon within a system of churches, clubs, professional associations, and other freedom is guaranteed for everyone. No one has to answer officially* for latest society:* that it knows how to identify its true supporters. Formal try. With naïve shrewdness it anticipates the situation characteristic of the might well shine out as a maxim above all the portals of the culture industo comply goes to a concentration camp." The joke from Hitler's Germany what he or she thinks. However, all find themselves enclosed from early everyone is provided for. "No one must be hungry or cold. Anyone failing On one matter, however, this hollow ideology is utterly serious:

stall even the momentary misapprehension that society turns against those such on his very first appearance, long before the action requires it, to foregravest guilt. In films such a person is, at best, an eccentric, an object of once had good prospects, is a marked man. He is an outsider, and—with centration camp belongs inside it, or at any rate in the hell of the most automatically suspect. Anyone who is not provided for outside the conof good will. In fact, a kind of welfare state on a higher level is being estabmaliciously indulgent humor; but mostly he is a villain and is identified as able. But anyone who goes hungry and suffers from cold, especially if he where are neighbors, social welfare officers, Dr Gillespies, and armchair society's positive and negative provision* for those it administers as direct demeaning labor and the slums. The culture industry, however, reflects precarious. Under liberalism the poor were regarded as lazy; today they are of industry,* whom they feed. Thus the position of the individual becomes ideological illusion, the workers, the true providers, are fed by the leaders principle superfluous as producers in their own country. According to the in which the extreme development of technology has made the masses in lished* today. To assert their positions people keep in motion an economy the occasional exception of the capital crime—to be an outsider is the scientific achievements have to be embellished by scriptwriters as simple such care is transferred in totalitarian style from the factory to society ry shadow over the films and broadcasts of the culture industry long before are returned to the private sphere. Such "winter aid" casts its conciliatoappearance of immediacy, the relationships of people within production care, administered by every factory as a means of increasing production, sonal depravity of those concerned. The managed provision of friendly into remediable individual cases, unless even that is ruled out by the perman-to-man interventions, turn the socially perpetuated wretchedness philosophers with their hearts in the right place who, with their kindly human solidarity in the world of honest folk. No one is forgotten, everynating the last of the sick. tion as stand-ins for the leaders of nations, who ultimately decree the aboacts of compassion to wring from them a fictitious human interest, funcitself. The great helpers and benefactors of humanity, whose scholarly and brings the last private impulse under social control; by being given the lition of compassion and succeed in preventing all infections by extermi-

The emphasis on the heart of gold is society's way of admitting the

the third but the righting of misunderstandings, mass culture gives operetta must have its tragic finale in the second act, leaving nothing for drawn without social psychology. Just as any honest Hungarian-Viennese this. Further down, the resources are scarcer. There tragedy has its teeth death. To be sure, only at the top are things managed as scientifically as rival out of her life's happiness and who herself suffers a quite untheatrical in a study of ostensibly clinical exactitude, seeks to trick her more realistic In the first-class production the villain is dressed up as the hysteric who, ty of mass culture has come down to it from yesterday's children's books. which bourgeois aesthetics has always longed to transform it. The moraliresistance to mythical threat. Tragic fate becomes the just punishment into does not conform, whereas its paradoxical meaning once lay in hopeless aspect of fate. Tragedy is leveled down to the threat to destroy anyone who imposing the more it is imbued with necessary suffering. It takes on the escapable. The unbroken surface of existence, in the duplication of which and authenticity is possible even now* and its unflinching depiction inideology consists solely today, appears all the more splendid, glorious, and poses of prestige. To all it grants the solace that human fate in its strength depth, and to regular moviegoers the veneer of culture they need for purwho has seen culturally better days it offers the surrogate of long-abolished sored happiness and makes that interest manageable. To the consumer cynical regret. It imparts an element of interest to the insipidity of cencharge that truth is glossed over, whereas in fact it is appropriated with and affirmed as a moment of the world, becomes a blessing. It deflects the on its own yet which it needs if it is to adhere to its principle of meticulously duplicating appearance. Tragedy, included in society's calculations Art supplies the tragic substance which pure entertainment cannot provide ture does the same with tragedy. Hence the persistent borrowings from art. abolish the suffering of its members, but registers and plans it, mass culnot shrink back even from tragedy. Just as totalitarian society does not the world-so hard, yet therefore so wonderful, so healthy. The lie does endurance justifies the world which that posture makes necessary. Such is acknowledging it with unflinching composure. This posture of steadfast industry stakes its company pride on looking it manfully in the eye and the suffering under the cloak of improvised comradeship, the culture tem, and ideology must take account of this. Far from merely concealing suffering it creates: everyone knows that they are helpless within the sys-

evident as in the mediocre film and in the procedures of jazz. Funda-Alexanderplatz and Kleiner Mann, was nun?, this tendency was as vividly of the most significant German novels of the prefascistic era, such as Berlin nullity, to subscribe to one's own defeat, and one is already a party to it. transformed by their reproduction, in some unknown way, into a promise nently hopeless situations which grind down filmgoers in daily life are themselves to the collective power of which they are sated. The permaof barbaric instincts. Industrial culture does something more. It inculcates Culture has always contributed to the subduing of revolutionary as well as cle of implacable life and the exemplary conduct of those it crushes. alized by existence under the pressure of the system* and manifesting civcinema is becoming truly a house of moral correction. The masses, demorworst outcome, which once had better intentions, still confirms the estabuntamed. The housewife's description of the recipe for drama as "getting mula is enough in itself to allay the concern that tragedy might still be tragedy permanent employment as routine. The obvious existence of a formasses, of the lover before the woman he woos, are taking on peculiarly before and after admittance to it; the gestures of the leader before the toward the racket, whether commercial, professional, or political, both father, dubious in any case, ceases to be. The behavior of the individual become employees, and in the civilization of employees the dignity of the its head were founded, has fallen into hopeless dependence. All have running and inheriting of which the bourgeois family and the position of liquidated. Right down to the small grocery, the independent firm on the becoming an economic subject, an entrepreneur, a proprietor, is entirely mentally, they all present the self-mockery of man. The possibility of Society is made up of the desperate and thus falls prey to rackets. In a few that they may continue to exist. One needs only to become aware of one's the conditions on which implacable life is allowed to be lived at all. liousness everywhere show through, are to be kept in order by the spectailization only as compulsively rehearsed behavior in which rage and rebelmakes the indestructibility of actual life shine all the more brightly. Tragic for her brief happiness with death or because the sad end in the picture lished order and corrupts tragedy, whether because the irregular lover pays the weak-minded women's serial* to its highest productions. Even the into trouble and out again" encompasses the whole of mass culture from Individuals must use their general satiety as a motive for abandoning

nizations. The liquidation of tragedy confirms the abolition of the indilaborer who finally joins the clandestine groups and the paramilitary orgaability of the soldier returning home, unaffected by the war, of the casual allows them to become attached to none. One is reminded of the sad plieration; its members are capable of any work, since the work process one's own ruin, which has superseded tragedy, is ingrained in the new genthan in films with a social slant. The ability to slip through, to survive with which Döblin allows his protagonist Biberkopf to find refuge, no less unruliness—all this signifies fascism. Fascism lurks in the humaneness sipated in the void of the false identity of society and subject, the horror command,* who admit the unresisting subject while he chokes down his ic. But the miracle of integration, the permanent benevolence of those in of which is still just fleetingly visible in the vacuous semblance of the tragglorified "courage and freedom of feeling in face of a mighty foe, sublime adversity, a problem which awakened dread."4 Today tragedy has been disantithesis between individual and society made up its substance. Tragedy certifies them as reliable customers. Thus is tragedy abolished. Once, the own strength and passes some of it back to them. Their lack of resistance relinquish their claim to happiness. In their weakness society recognizes its can be happy if only they hand themselves over to it body and soul and tem* breaks them. Everyone can be like the omnipotent society, everyone models for those who want to make themselves into that to which the sysor of the heiress, who falls into the swimming pool wearing his tuxedo, are the norm. The eunuch-like voice of the radio crooner, the handsome suitcopation in jazz, which mocks the act of stumbling while elevating it to with the power which beats them. This is inherent in the principle of synrite of initiation. Everyone must show that they identify wholeheartedly they wear stereotypical smiles. Existence in late capitalism is a permanent of boys during admission to a tribe; circling under the blows of the priest, strate ever again their moral fitness for this society is reminiscent of that masochistic traits. The attitude all are forced to adopt in order to demon-

is beyond question. From the standardized improvisation in jazz to the are tolerated only as far as their wholehearted identity with the universal industry which makes the individual illusory in its products. Individuals It is not only the standardized mode of production of the culture

alone, at odds with themselves and with everyone, are virtually already alone. The citizens whose lives are split between business and private life, nothing except individuals' determination to pursue their own purposes expense of the individuality in whose name it took place, leaving behind of those controlling it, technology has changed human beings from chilsociety has promoted the development of the individual. Against the will of those subjected to power. At the same time, the advance of bourgeois always invoked the existing power relationships when seeking the approval supported, itself bore society's taint; in the individual's apparent freedom a fingerprint on the otherwise uniform identity cards to which the lives Nazis, who are at once enthusiastic and fed up, or the city dwellers of the sullen community of marriage and the bitter solace of being entirely their private life between ostentation and intimacy, their intimacy between dren into persons. But all such progress of individuation has been at the he was the product of society's economic and social apparatus. Power has harshness of competitive society. The individual, on whom society was expressed the same thing, even and especially when deviating from it: the everyone at the level of mere species being. Every bourgeois* character achieved. The class-determined form of self-preservation maintained contradictory from the outset. First, no individuation was ever really mony between universal and particular. The principle of individuality was the bourgeois era and is wrong only in priding itself on this murky harreabsorb them smoothly into the universal. Mass culture thereby reveals are none but mere intersections of universal tendencies is it possible to tion for apprehending and detoxifying tragedy: only because individuals reduced by the power of the universal. Pseudoindividuality is a precondiand faces of all individuals, from the film star to the convict, have been commodity misrepresented as natural. It is reduced to the moustache, the limeter. The peculiarity of the self is a socially conditioned monopoly is serially produced like the Yale locks which differ by fractions of a milmold the accidental that it can be recognized as accidental. The sulky taceyes so that she can be recognized as such, pseudoindividuality reigns. The original film personality who must have a lock of hair straying over her the fictitious quality which has characterized the individual throughout French accent, the deep voice of the prostitute, the "Lubitsch touch"—like iturnity or the elegant walk of the individual who happens to be on show individual trait is reduced to the ability of the universal so completely to

127

viduality they destroy. might refuse to tolerate the mendacious substitution of the stereotype for Victor Mature and Mickey Rooney.* They come to fulfill the very indilife ever existed is already forgotten. For centuries society has prepared for manufactured physiognomies of today the fact that the concept of human recognized as illusory since Shakespeare's Hamlet. In the synthetically the individual—that hope is vain. The unity of the personality has been more breathless one of imitation. The hope that the contradictory, disinthat the effort of individuation is at last being replaced by the admittedly and the love for such hero-models is nourished by the secret satisfaction fracture within it must split the system itself, and that human beings tegrating person could not survive for generations, that the psychological blance of individuality—in which no one believes in any case—is fading, vate persons fabricated according to magazine-cover stereotypes, a sembeen reproduced within it. In the ready-made faces of film heroes and priuality so successfully because the fractured nature of society has always inwardly unconnected. The culture industry can only manipulate individtoday, who can imagine friendship only as "social contact" between the

can be done for the money" has long since been adopted by the culture industry and elevated to the substance of production itself. Not only is a comment on the old Berlin Metropoltheater that "it is remarkable what human one. Something is served up for everyone.* A provincial visitor's sembles the land of milk and honey as the national community apes the none, are delivered free of charge to the home. All this mockingly reis generally known and approved, if in absentia, while prostitution behind stand the entire riches of the world, and the sales of which increase those the lines is not permitted. The best orchestras in the world, which are riches still further. Through universal suffrage the vast funding of armies cost millions, for even less you can buy the chewing gum behind which sake the cultural system exists. For a few coins you can see the film which as a totality, while the radio advertises individually the products for whose been ironically fulfilled. The cinema publicizes the cultural conglomerate* commodified beauty. Socrates' dictum that beauty is the useful has at last els. The dominant taste derives its ideal from the advertisement, from highest-paid stars resemble advertisements for unnamed merchandise Not for nothing are they often chosen from the ranks of commercial mod-The heroizing of the average forms part of the cult of cheapness. The

a novel by Walter Scott with the cry: "The fellow writes for money," while ple of idealist aesthetics, purposiveness without purpose,* reverses the itself, from his housekeeper's demand for her monthly wages. The princithetically to annul the world's compulsion by taking that burden onto and derived the metaphysical injunction "It must be," which seeks aesproduction, as Beethoven did: he improvised on "Rage over a Lost Penny" tradiction instead of assimilating it into the consciousness of their own artists who succumb to ideology are precisely those who conceal this conunity of the opposites of market and autonomy in bourgeois art. The repudiation of the market-offers the most grandiose example of the commercializing the last quartets—works representing the most extreme himself proving an extremely experienced and tenacious businessman in the social liquidation of art. The mortally sick Beethoven, who flung away bourgeois history by a moment of untruth, which has culminated now in since his autonomy, being merely tolerated, has been attended throughout exempted from any particular claim, although only to a certain degree, market. The latter's demands are so diversely mediated that the artist is ness of the great modern work of art is sustained by the anonymity of the were subject to the patrons and their purposes instead. The purposelessteenth century, artists were protected from the market by patronage, they the same time always commodities. To the extent that, up to the eighcharacter of society by simply following their own inherent laws, were at commodity economy. Pure works of art, which negated the commodity establishing itself through the market, is essentially conditioned by the charm of novelty. Art was only ever able to exist as a separate sphere in its autonomy and proudly takes its place among consumer goods, that has the the fact that art now dutifully admits to being a commodity, abjures its goer remains tolerantly loyal to the institution. But the cheapness of massstill a fairground, but one incurably infected by culture. Just as people on a show means to show everyone what one has and can do. The show is bourgeois form. Even its freedom, as negation of the social utility which is produced luxury articles, and its complement, universal fraud, are changbooths with a brave smile, since they expected it in any case, the movielured by the fairground crier overcame their disappointment inside the ing the commodity character of art itself. That character is not new: it is been possible at all, but to a large extent it is that triumph itself. To put production always accompanied by triumphant celebration that it has pseudomarket at present denies that industry. The technical structure of progressive latecomer to mass culture, is drawing conclusions which film's of the electrical industry as the producer of the receiver sets. Radio, the whose payments the stations survive, and, of course, via the increased sales unsaleable. One listens to it for nothing, and each note of the symphony sole principle. The Toscanini performance on the radio is, in a sense, soon as the business transaction is no longer merely its intention but its via the profit of all the united automobile and soap manufacturers, on phony is not being interrupted by advertisements—"This concert is is accompanied, as it were, by the sublime advertisement that the symbe sold yet not for sale becomes something hypocritically unsaleable as brought to you as a public service." The deception* takes place indirectly exchangeable; but art as the species of commodity which exists in order to modity, worked up and adapted to industrial production, saleable and art disintegrates just as it is fully realized. Art becomes a species of comvalue, the only quality they enjoy. In this way the commodity character of which they mistake for the merit of works of art—becomes its only use value of art, its essence, is a fetish, and the fetish—the social valuation changed, not in so far as it is something in itself. For consumers the use might be envisaged. Everything has value only in so far as it can be exview that it can serve as something else, however vaguely that other thing subscribe to Life and Time. Everything is perceived only from the point of she cannot escape.* One has to have seen Mrs. Miniver,* just as one must becomes the ideology of the amusement industry, whose institutions he or being in the know, connoisseurship by enhanced prestige. The consumer replaced* by exchange value; enjoyment is giving way to being there and might be called use value in the reception of cultural assets is being from the principle of utility which it is supposed to bring about. What need, the work of art defrauds human beings in advance of the liberation sumption under usefulness has abolished. In adapting itself entirely to that of confirming the very existence of the useless, which art's total subthe use which is made of the work of art in antagonistic society is largely nomic composition of cultural commodities* is becoming apparent. For demand for the marketability of art becomes total, a shift in the inner ecopurpose has finally consumed the realm of the purposeless. But as the dictated by the market. In the demand for entertainment and relaxation, schema socially adopted by bourgeois art: purposelessness for purposes

> sphere radio makes no attempt to purvey its products as commodities. In end, seem anachronistic. In a more modern, less ceremonious style, the people, to invite the people to use a particular soap powder would, in the of the national product is to be allocated to providing for the needs of the choice, can finally become the Führer's overt command. In a society of of production, the specific advertisement, veiled by the semblance of slick voice of the announcer between the overtures of La Traviata and ent brand names, the scientifically endorsed praise of the laxative in the comes command. The promotion of identical commodities under differmandment, is the immanent tendency of radio. Recommendation beis in any case a lie. To posit the human word as absolute, the false comcan apprehend the symphony's true coherence, while the Führer's address the Toscanini broadcast supplants its content, the symphony. No listener speech penetrates everywhere replaces its content, as the benevolent act of demonically parodies that of the divine spirit. The gigantic fact that the finally to be merely the omnipresence of his radio addresses, which metaphysical charisma, invented by the sociology of religion,* turned out cause stature as the printing press did to the Reformation. The Führer's in any case. The National Socialists knew that broadcasting gave their proclaiming panic, from which modern propaganda is hard to distinguish in the loudspeakers on the street his voice merges with the howl of sirens glove. In fascism radio becomes the universal mouthpiece of the Führer: tive form of a disinterested, impartial authority, which fits fascism like a America it levies no duty from the public. It thereby takes on the deceppiece. In the total assimilation of culture products into the commodity Chesterfield is merely the nation's cigarette, but the radio is its mouthrespect it has some advantages over the other individual combines.* private enterprise which already represents the sovereign whole,* in which kind the film industry can still permit itself in its own preserve. Film is a the commercial radio system* makes it immune to liberal deviations of the Führer directly orders both the holocaust and the supply of trash. large-scale fascistic rackets which agree among themselves on how much Rienzi, has become untenable if only for its silliness. One day the Diktat

sion of their genuine commodity character does not mean that they would pressed on a reluctant public at reduced prices by the culture industry; they are opened up for popular enjoyment like parks. However, the ero-Today works of art, suitably packaged like political slogans, are way to a development which easily enough could push the Warner broth radio.* It is moving towards the commercial system. Television points the film would already be delivered to the apartment on the model of the prize for listening to the radio at all, and if the technology had its way the ing to the culture products themselves. The symphony is becoming the tain radio programs are not mere accidents, but continue what is happencinema vaudevilles, the competitions in recognizing musical extracts, the free magazines, rewards, and gift articles handed out to the listeners of cersee and hear. And indeed, everything is to be had. The "screenos" and made them resemble. The public should rejoice that there is so much to rejected by their happy recipients along with the junk the medium has fraud. Reduced to mere adjuncts, the degraded works of art are secretly expensive any more. Nevertheless, they are dimly aware that the less someditional culture as ideology mingles with that of industrialized culture as thing costs, the less it can be a gift to them. The twofold mistrust of trathe former to the forgetful cult of celebrities. For consumers, nothing is ishing along with criticism: the latter gives way to mechanical expertise, each other in triumphant reification. In the culture industry respect is vancompletes the alienation between work and consumer, which resemble bounded proximity to those exposed to it, no longer mediated by money, sive, art kept the citizen within some bounds. That is now over. Art's unof the latter, to the social benefit of works of art. As long as it was expena mere appendage by exchange value but was developed as a precondition business was in the bloom of youth, use value* was not dragged along as practices to which works of art are subjected today. Even when the art transition to the biographical glaze applied to works of art and the other dramas or the commentaries on Faust bear witness to this. They form a establish some connection to the work. The guidebooks to Wagner's music The citizen who wanted a return for his outlay might occasionally try to concert, paid the performance at least as much respect as the money spent nineteenth or early twentieth century spent money to attend a drama or a education and the progress of barbaric incoherence. Someone who in the ed but, under the existing social conditions, contributes to the decay of admit the masses to the preserves from which they were formerly excludeducational privilege by disposing of culture at bargain prices does not debasement as cultural assets has now been removed. The abolition of be abolished in the life of a free society but that the last barrier to their

ers* into the doubtless unwelcome position of little theatre performers and cultural conservatives. However, the pursuit of prizes has already left its imprint on consumer behavior. Because culture presents itself as a bonus, with unquestioned private and social benefits, its reception has become a matter of taking one's chances. The public crowds forward for fear of missing something. What that might be is unclear, but, at any rate, only those who join in have any chance. Fascism, however, hopes* to reorganize the gift-receivers trained by the culture industry into its enforced adherents.

which shackles consumers to the big combines. Only those who can keep system are entrenching themselves in advertising.* It strengthens the bond supplier to find customers. It did not merely cost labor time, but saved it. market, facilitating choice and helping the more efficient but unknown society advertising performed a social service in orienting the buyer in the ment it needs on account of its own inability to please. In the competitive a commodity to that mere promise, it finally coincides with the advertisethe satiation and apathy it generates among consumers are too great. It can more omnipotent culture becomes. Its motives are economic enough. tisement. The more meaningless the latter appears under monopoly, the use that it can no longer be used. For this reason it merges with the adverlaw of exchange that it is no longer exchanged; it is so blindly equated with ence remain among their peers, not unlike the resolutions of economic of subduing unwanted outsiders; they guarantee that the wielders of influthe pseudomarket as sellers. The costs of advertising, which finally flow co-opted into it by the decisions of banks and industrial capital, can enter of all by radio itself, that is, those who are already part of the system or are life. But because its product ceaselessly reduces the pleasure it promises as do little to combat this from its own resources. Advertising is its elixir of That life could continue without the whole culture industry is too certain: cally suspect. All-pervasive advertising is certainly not needed to acquaint ing device: anything which does not bear its seal of approval is economicouncils* which control the establishment and continuation of businesses back into the pockets of the combines,* spare them the troublesome task paying the exorbitant fees charged by the advertising agencies, and most Today, when the free market is coming to an end, those in control of the in the totalitarian state. Advertising today is a negative principle, a block-Culture is a paradoxical commodity. It is so completely subject to the purposes outside the work. The special effect, the trick, the isolated and estranged even technically from any coherence of meaning, lends itself to advertising: the individual moment, in being detachable, replaceable, cheap biographies, journalistic novels, and hit songs-predisposes it to not only in the film studio but also, virtually, in the compilation of the sun: anyone arriving late cannot tell whether he is watching the trailer or promises yet again to unite the same heroic couple under the same exotic and lifelike that they represent the ideal of information to which the edirial, while the advertising pages rely on photographs and data so factual and personal grooming of celebrities, which wins them new fans, is editoic, controlled manner in which its products are assembled—factory-like the real thing. The montage character of the culture industry, the synthettorial section only aspires. Every film is a preview of the next, which section. The enthusiastic and unpaid picture story about the living habits ments are, at a cursory glance, hardly distinguishable from the editorial American magazines Life and Fortune the images and texts of advertisesurviving from the nineteenth century, the architecture of which still ing's sake, the pure representation of social power. In the influential Goebbels presciently equated it, l'art pour l'art, advertising for advertisboards and symbols. Advertising becomes simply the art with which ings and banners: the landscape becomes a mere background for signcommodation, are covered from basement to above roof level with hoardshamefully reveals their utility as consumer goods, their function as accles, with no further need of self-congratulation. By contrast, the buildings tising, merely displaying the illuminated company initials on their pinnaof the big companies,* floodlit advertisements in stone, are free of adverthat in key positions it is no longer even explicit: the imposing buildings under the pressure of the system, advertising techniques have invaded the important than the repetition of names.* Through their ubiquitous use trial power. At such times the subsidizing of the ideological media is more longer be supplied continue to be advertised merely as a display of indusclique imposes on its members. In wartime, commodities which can no idiom, the "style" of the culture industry. So complete is their triumph case. It benefits the selling of goods only directly. The termination of a prestige, and is indeed an offence against the discipline which the leading familiar advertising campaign by an individual firm represents a loss of people with the goods on offer, the varieties of which are limited in any

repeatable individual performance have always conspired with the exhibition of commodities for advertising purposes, and today every close-up of a film actress is an advert for her name, every hit song a plug for its tune. Advertising and the culture industry are merging technically no less than economically. In both, the same thing appears in countless places, and the mechanical repetition of the same culture product is already that of the same propaganda slogan. In both, under the dictate of effectiveness, technique is becoming psychotechnique, a procedure for manipulating human beings. In both, the norms of the striking yet familiar, the easy but catchy, the worldly wise but straightforward hold good; everything is directed at overpowering a customer conceived as distracted or resistant.

and reflected them at the same time. The trenchant distinction which carriers of meaning to signs devoid of qualities; the more purely and transcoincides with communication, the more words change from substantial contribution to culture as advertising. For the more completely language reality also. The outside-left in football, the blackshirt,* the Hitler Youth defunct expression by the demand for pitiless clarity, therefore withers in a case of an abstract moment, and everything else, severed from now to a formula. This affects language and subject matter equally. Instead of thing and not to mean it, becomes so fixated on the object that it hardens a result, the word, which henceforth is allowed only to designate somedoes away with the superstitious commingling of word and thing. Anydeclares the word itself fortuitous and its allocation to its object arbitrary both set them apart and preserved them. Its particular form constituted like melancholy, history, indeed, life, were apprehended in the word which were at once different from each other and indissolubly linked. Concepts process of enlightenment, reverts to magic. In magic word and content they become. The demythologizing of language, as an element of the total parently they communicate what they designate, the more impenetrable ing than for lies. The blindness and muteness of the data to which posi If, before its rationalization, the word had set free not only longing bu member, and others of their kind are no more than what they are called raising a matter to the level of experience, the purified word exhibits it as the event designated is banished as unclear and as verbal metaphysics. As thing in a given sequence of letters which goes beyond the correlation to lies, in its rationalized form it has become a straightfacket more for long-Through the language they speak, the customers make their own measures makes the measures, too, familiar, just as, at the time of the free in their own jargon. The universal repetition of the term denoting such nations against which the German Blitzkrieg was directed have adopted it whole nation is saying "intolerable" the next. On the same pattern, the word like "intolerable" [Untragbar] over the loudspeakers one day, the general lines of culture in their countries. If the German fascists launch a nomenon long before totalitarian advertising bosses had laid down the action of highly concentrated economic powers-referred to this pheterm "fad" for fashions which catch on epidemically-inflamed by the of popular songs, by contrast, is practically instantaneous. The American form in a long, highly mediated process of experience. The dissemination down in the world, their elements have at least taken on their popular with which linguistic models are put into circulation from above. Whether is consummated in the sign. Its character as sign is reinforced by the speed folksongs are rightly or wrongly called upper-class culture which has come nity. Signification, the only function of the word admitted by semantics, brotherhood of the sporting public, which protects them from true fraterbers of teams. Such forms of interaction reduce human beings to the ticular people* they call themselves Bob and Harry, like replaceable memous unease. To conceal the uncomfortable distance existing between parinstead of being a trademark, individualized its bearers by relating them to their own prehistory, sounds old-fashioned. In Americans it arouses a curiizing them collectively. By contrast, the bourgeois, family name which, son as willful as that of archaic names. First names, the archaic residues, brands—film stars' surnames have become first names—or by standardhave been brought up to date either by stylizing them into advertising going a chemical change. It is being transformed into arbitrary, manipulaland. The name, to which magic most readily attaches, is today underis averted by the use of taboo-laden words such as "bureaucracy" and "inble designations, the power of which, although calculable, is for that reatellectuals," or vileness exonerates itself by invoking the name of a homecocted in the studio on the basis of statistical data, or welfare government like the practices of a kind of sorcery, whether the name of a diva is conmakes them resemble their extreme antithesis, spells. They act once more trable, taking on an impact, a power of adhesion and repulsion which to registering those data. Thus relationships themselves become impenetivism reduces the world passes over into language itself, which is limited

dance and amuse themselves, just as, since the historical neutralization of and spectators are more deeply permeated by the patterns of the culture sal, totalitarian. The violence done to words is no longer audible in them. religion, they have been free to join any of the countless sects. But freeintellectual deviations was never too highly developed. All are free to itance of the frontier and entrepreneurial democracy, whose receptivity to tal methods. Today the culture industry has taken over the civilizing inherindustry than ever before, in nuances still beyond the reach of experimenteners. This means, however, that the language and gestures of listeners would be impossible if his tone differed from that of his designated lisword one can distinguish how far it has been disfigured by the fascist tus of Schriftleiter,* German words become petrified and alien. In any hands of the editor whose supple opinions have promoted him to the stasedimented experience and language, which still exerted a reconciling inmother tongue of millions. In such turns of phrase the last bond between speaking," or even "the Führer" with an inflection which passes into the The radio announcer does not need to talk in an affected voice; indeed, he "folk" community. By now, of course, such language* has become univerfluence in dialect in the nineteenth century, is severed. By contrast, in the nounces phrases like "Goodnight, listeners," or "This is the Hitler Youth they are discernible in the affected diction of the announcer, who prosuch as "memory," are still partly comprehended, but become detached and "rhapsody," hitching their popularity to the magic of the incompreken language like enclaves. On the German radio of Flesch and Hitler from the experience which might fulfill them. They obtrude into the spohensible as if to some deep intimation of a higher life. Other stereotypes, rantly of "dynamic forces," and the hit songs sing endlessly of "reverie" meaning is apprehended. The Minister of Public Education speaks ignoadhere all the more compulsively to their objects the less their linguistic all or use only according to their behavioral functions, just as trademarks those who spoke them has been stripped away, and in its prompt approuse words and expressions which they either have ceased to understand at billboards and the advertising sections of newspapers. Countless people priation language takes on the coldness which hitherto was peculiar to totalitarian slogan. The layer of experience which made words human like rapidly spreading repetition of designated words links advertising to the market, the brand name on everyone's lips increased sales. The blind and

dom to choose an ideology, which always reflects economic coercion, everywhere proves to be freedom to be the same. The way in which the young girl accepts and performs the obligatory date, the tone of voice used on the telephone and in the most intimate situations, the choice of words in conversation, indeed, the whole inner life compartmentalized according to the categories of vulgarized depth psychology, bears witness to the attempt to turn oneself into an apparatus meeting the requirements of success, an apparatus which, even in its unconscious impulses, conforms to the model presented by the culture industry. The most intimate reactions of human beings have become so entirely reified, even to themselves, that the idea of anything peculiar to them survives only in extreme abstraction: personality means hardly more than dazzling white teeth and freedom from body odor and emotions. That is the triumph of advertising in the culture industry: the compulsive imitation by consumers of cultural commodities which, at the same time, they recognize as false.*



Elements of Anti-Semitism:

Limits of Enlightenment

Anti-Semitism today is for some a question affecting human destiny and for others a mere pretext. For the fascists the Jews are not a minority but the antirace, the negative principle as such; on their extermination the world's happiness depends. Diametrically opposed to this is the thesis that the Jews, free of national or racial features, form a group through religious belief and tradition and nothing else. Jewish traits relate to Eastern Jews, and only to those not yet assimilated. Both doctrines are true and false at the same time.

The first is true in the sense that fascism has made it true. The Jews are today the group which, in practice and in theory, draws to itself the destructive urge which the wrong social order spontaneously produces. They are branded as absolute evil by absolute evil. In this sense they are indeed the chosen people. Now that power is no longer needed for economic reasons,* the Jews are designated as its absolute object, existing merely for the exercise of power. The workers,* who are the real target, are understandably not told as much to their faces; the blacks must be kept in their place, but the Jews are to be wiped from the face of the earth, and the call to exterminate them like vermin finds an echo among the prospective fascists of all countries. In the image of the Jew which the racial nationalists hold up before the world they express their own essence. Their craving is for exclusive ownership, appropriation,* unlimited power, and

- 73. Ibid., Vol. IV, p. 379.
- 74. Aline et Valcour, Brussels 1883, Vol. I, p. 58.
- 75. Ibid., p. 57.
- 76. Victor Hugo, The Laughing Man, Book VIII, Ch. 7.
- 77. Juliette, op. cit., Vol. IV, p. 199.
- 78. Cf. Les 120 Journées de Sodome, Paris 1935, Vol. II, p. 308
- 79. Der Fall Wagner, op. cit., Vol. VIII, p. 10.
- 80. R. Briffault, The Mothers, New York 1927, Vol. I, p. 119.
- [89] "German fascism" / 1944: "the class society.
- 81. Nachlass, op. cit., Vol. XI, p. 216.
- 82. Ibid., vol. XIV, p. 273.
- 83. Kant, Practical Philosophy, trans. Mary J. Gregor, Cambridge 1996, p. 82.
- 84. Nietzsche, Joyful Wisdom, trans. Thomas Common, New York 1973, p.
- 86. Cf. Nietzsche, Nachlass, op. cit., Vol. XI, p. 216.
- 87. Cf. Le Play, Les ouvriers européens, Paris 1879, Vol. I, esp. pp. 133ff
- 88. Juliette, op. cit., Vol. IV, pp. 303ff.
- Les 120 Journées de Sodome, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 72
- 90. Cf. Juliette, op. cit., Vol. II, p. 235, n.
- 91. La Philosophie dans le Boudoir, op. cit., p. 185.
- 92. Cf. Democritus, Diels Fragment 278, Berlin 1912, Vol. II, pp. 117f
- 93. La Philosophie dans le Boudoir, op. cit., p. 242.
- Cultes, Mythes et Religions, Paris 1905, Vol. I, p. 157. 94. S. Reinach, "La prohibition de l'inceste et le sentiment de la pudeur," in
- 95. La Philosophie dans le Boudoir, op. cit., p. 238.
- 96. Ibid., pp. 238-49.
- 98. Juliette, op. cit., Vol. IV, pp. 240-44.
- 99. La Philosophie dans le Boudoir, op. cit., p. 263.
- 100. Aline et Valcour, op. cit., Vol. II, pp. 181ff.
- 101. Juliette, op. cit., Vol. II, pp. 181ff.
- [92] "prehistory": Cf. note [31], p. 258 ("that thinking").
- 102. Nietzsche, Joyful Wisdom, trans. Thomas Common, New York 1973, p.
- THE CULTURE INDUSTRY
- Exposition (of 1937, Ed.) seemed of the same essence, and the." [94] "The" / 1944: "The German and Russian pavilions at the Paris World
- [94] "the total power of capital" / 1944: "monopoly."

- [95] "those whose . . . strongest" / 1944: "capital."
- [95] "economy today" / 1944: "profit economy."
- broadcast at times when housewives were at home doing their washing (It. tr.). [96] "Soap operas": alludes to the fact that such programs were originally
- of the monopoly system into which it is integrated." ing the quality of the highly paid human material populating them, is a product [96] "selection" / 1944: "selection. The operations of the large studios, includ-
- [96] "subjected . . . purges" / 1944: "expropriated even before fascism."
- [98] "at film" / 1944: "at the film monopoly."
- [98] "agencies . . . business" / 1944: "monopolistic agencies."
- [100] "industrial society" / 1944: "the machinery."
- [100] "gigantic economic machinery" / 1944: "gigantic machinery of monop-
- [101] "tolerated" / 1944: "tolerated, used by monopoly."
- 1. Nietzsche, Unzeitgemässe Betrachtungen. Werke, Leipzig 1917, Vol. I, p. 187,
- [102] "Zanuck": Film producer, cofounder of 20th Century Pictures
- | "present society" / 1944: "monopoly society."
- [105] "Pathé": French film magnates.
- [105] "Hugenberg": Founders of German publishing combines.
- 2. A. de Tocqueville, De la Démocratie en Amérique, Paris 1864, Vol. II, p. 151. [106] "Hays Office": Voluntary censorship agency (lt. tr.), set up in 1934 in
- Hollywood.
- [106] "Mickey Rooney": See note [126], p. 271.
- copal. [107] "ready-to-wear trade or" / 1944: "Jewish clothing trade or the Epis-
- several theatres, radio studios, and the Radio City Music Hall. since the early 1930s to a part of the Rockefeller Center in New York containing ly more omnipresent than the one in Radio City,"—"Radio City": the name given [107] "some omnipresent agency" / 1944: "a Rockefeller Institute, only slight-
- [107] "Casino de Paris": Music hall in Paris, famous for its luxurious furnish-
- [107] "society" / 1944: "class society."
- broadcasts on New Year's Eve. [108] "Lombardo": Orchestra leader especially known for his annual musical
- [109] "novelty songs": Hit songs with comic elements
- [IIO] "cruelty" / 1944: "lust for murder."
- [110] "of the" / 1944: "of the kiss, but not of the."
- television was not in widespread use (It. tr.). [111] ". . . overwhelming": The idea expressed here dates from a time when
- [III] "possibilities" / 1944: "productive forces."

- [III] "culture industry" / 1944: "mass culture."
- "Hays Office": See note [106], p. 269.
- "Laughter . . . ended": On this twofold function of laughter cf. pp. 60f
- Stoic, trans. Robin Campbell, Harmondsworth 1969). [112] "res . . . gaudium": Seneca, Letter 23; letters to Lucilius (Letters from a
- Schriften, Vol. 17, Frankfurt am Main 1982, p. 98. [113] "constitutes its essence": Cf. Adorno, "Über Jazz" (1937), in Gesammelte
- [113] "the system" / 1944: "prevailing in monopolistic society."
- "funnies": Amusement pages in newspapers with jokes and comic strips.
- "Ludwig": Primarily a writer of popular biographies.
- "Mrs. Miniver": Leading role in a radio family serial; also filmed
- cowboy fighting for the good; also filmed. "Lone Ranger": Title figure in a radio western scrial, the type of the lone
- [114] "Lombardo": See note [108], p. 269.
- 3. Frank Wedekind, Gesammelte Werke, Munich 1921, Vol. IX, p. 426
- [115] "women's serials": Light novels in women's magazines.
- Massenkultur," in Gesammelte Schriften, Vol. 4. guments from scholastic philosophy (It. tr.)—Cf. Horkheimet, "Neue Kunst und [115] "Adler": Neo-Thomist popular philosopher who defended film with ar-
- [116] "the culture industry" / 1944: "the monopoly system."
- "planning" / 1944: "monopoly planning."
- "life has been transformed" / 1944: "monopoly has transformed life."
- "those in control" / 1944: "monopoly."
- [118] "Industry" / 1944: "Monopoly."
- cosmological, etc.) proofs of the existence of God [118] "proof": A play on the various philosophical-theological (ontological,
- [120] "giant corporation" / 1944: "monopoly."
- "the thinking subject" / 1944: "liberalism."
- Paul Apel (1931), revised version by Gustaf Gründgens (1937). [120] Hans Sonnenstößers Höllenfahrt. Ein heiteres Traumspiel. Radio play by
- by Clarence Day. [120] "Life with Father": Popular American radio family serial after a stage play
- [120] "the latest society" / 1944: "monopoly society."
- guarantees formal freedom for everyone. No one is officially responsible to the [120] "Formal freedom . . . answer officially" / 1944: "Bourgeois democracy
- [120] "Dagwood": Character in the comic strip Blondie
- [121] "established" / 1944: "established by monopoly."
- [121] "leaders of industry" / 1944: "monopolists

- [121] "provision" / 1944: "provision by the monopoly."
- the unemployed and other needy persons under the direction of the Ministry of [121] "winter aid": Winterhilfswerk: National Socialist organization to support
- "even now" / 1944: "even under monopoly."
- [123] "women's serial": See note [115], p. 270.
- [123] "the pressure of the system" / 1944: "monopoly."
- 4. Nietzsche, Götzendämmerung, Werke, op. cit., Vol. VIII, p. 136 [124] "the system" / 1944: "monopoly."
- [124] "those in command" / 1944: "monopoly."
- [125] "bourgeois" / 1944: "German bourgeois."
- hero and the antihero. [126] "Mature . . . Rooney": Well-known film actors, embodiments of the
- [126] "cultural conglomerate" / 1944: "cultural conglomerate and monopoly."
- everyone [126] "Something . . . everyone" / 1944: "Monopoly serves up something for
- [127] "The . . . purpose": Cf. Kant, Critique of Judgment, op. cit., p. 68.
- in terms of use value and exchange value." [128] "inner . . . commodities" / 1944: "composition of cultural commodities
- tion of cultural assets." [128] "What . . . replaced" / 1944: "Use value is being replaced in the recep-
- [128] "The consumer . . . escape." / 1944: (missing)
- [128] "Mrs. Miniver": See note [114], p. 270.
- [128] "deception" / 1944: "swindle.
- "the commercial radio system" / 1944: "broadcasting
- "the sovereign whole" / 1944: "monopoly as the sovereign whole."
- "combines" / 1944: "monopolies."
- of charismatic authority; cf. Economy and Society, Vol. I, ed. Guenther Roth and Claus Wittich, Berkeley 1978, pp. 241ff. [129] "invented by the sociology of religion": Allusion to Max Weber's concept
- [130] "Even . . . use value" / 1944: "Use value."
- [130] "screenos": Bingo games played by the audience between pictures.
- [130] "radio": Television was still in its infancy when the authors were writing
- [131] "the Warner brothers": Owners of large film studios
- [131] "hopes" / 1944: "waits."
- Today, when the free market is at an end, monopoly is entrenching itself in adver-[131] "labor time . . . in advertising" / 1944: "social labor time, but saved it.
- [131] "the combines" / 1944: "monopoly."

- among its peers, as a preliminary form of the resolutions of economic councils of [131] "wielders of influence . . . economic councils" / 1944: "class remains
- tution, and naturally also the war economy, in operation." no longer be supplied continue to be advertised merely in order to keep the instiwhich monopoly imposes on its members. In wartime, commodities which can represents only a loss of prestige, in fact an offence against the class discipline [132] "It benefits . . . names." / 1944: "Its termination by an individual firm
- Rockefeller." [132] "the big companies" / 1944: "monopoly, the skyscrapers of Wrigley and
- especially in Italy but also in other countries. [133] "blackshirt": A term for fascists, after the black shirts of their uniforms,
- ferred by the National Socialists to the "foreign" word Redakteur (It. tr.). [134] "people" / 1944: "people, which still determines life in monopoly society." [135] "Schriftleiter": The term Schriftleiter [lit. director of writing] was pre-
- "such language" / 1944: "the language of monopoly."
- "false." / 1944/47: "false." After paragraph break: "(to be continued)."

ELEMENTS OF ANTI-SEMITISM

- is obsolete." [137] "Now that . . . reasons," / 1944: "In the age when political domination
- [137] "workers" / 1944: "proletarians."
- [137] "appropriation" / 1944: "exploitation."
- [138] "discharged." / 1944: "discharged. That would be the classless society."
- [138] "existing society" / 1944: "the class society."
- [138] "the existing order" / 1944: "capitalism."
- "that order" / 1944: "class society."
- respectable rackets, condone it, and the fascists, the disreputable ones. "The respectable . . . disreputable ones" / 1944: "Monopoly, the
- [140] "vagrants" / 1944: "Negroes, Mexican wrestling clubs."
- [142] "take possession of" / 1944: "appropriate."
- capital, i.e., between industrial and bank capital; cf. Franz Neumann, Behemoth (1942), Frankfurt/Main 1977, p. 376. tinction between "productive" [schaffend] and "parasitic" [raffend, lit. "grasping"] [142] "parasites of old": Allusion to the Nazis' anticapitalist propaganda dis-
- [142] "of the economic system in general" / 1944: "of all capital."
- [142] "what is withheld" / 1944: "what capital withholds.
- [143] "society" / 1944: "class."
- to justify himself as a creator [144] "knights of industry . . . creators" / 1944: "capitalist bloodsucker who has

- reduced entirely to the function of social control." [144] "salvation." / 1944/47: "salvation, now that the churches have been
- sought a union between Church and National Socialism. [145] "German Christians": The Protestant movement "Deutsche Christen'
- [148] "recognition in a concept": Kant, Critique of Pure Reason, op. cit., pp
- XVII, pp. 219ff. 1. Cf. Freud, "The Uncanny," The Complete Psychological Works, op. cit., Vol
- Semitic radio preacher. [152] "Coughlin": Charles Edward Coughlin, Catholic priest, demagogic anti-
- 2. Kant's Critique of Pure Reason, op. cit., p. 183 [B 180f].
- [160] "any . . . country" / 1944: "even lynching.
- 3. Freud, Totem and Taboo, op. cit., Vol. XIII, p. 73.
- [163] "culture industry" / 1944: "economic and cultural monopoly."
- [164] "big industry" / 1944: "monopoly."
- [164] "workers" / 1944: "proletarians."
- [165] "until now" / 1944: "in liberalism."
- Aus den Deutsch-Französischen Jahrbüchern 1843/44. [165] "... turning-point of history": Allusion to Marx's "Zur Judenfrage," in
- contained in the 1944 edition. [165] "VII": The whole of section VII ("But . . . of enlightenment.") was not
- for years his appearances were accompanied by uproar and scandal. anti-Semitic pamphlets, Reichstag deputy at the end of the nineteenth century; [165] "the Ahlwardts and the Knüppelkunzes": Hermann Ahlwardt: author of
- Soziale Partei, anti-Semitic demagogue; his nickname [Knüppel, stick, cudgel] resulted from the frequent brawls at his meetings. Hermann Kunze: teacher at the Cadet School, Chairman of the Deutsch-
- [166] "ticker": single list of a party's candidates in the American electoral sys-
- [166] "Jew-free": From the National Socialist term judenrein.
- Pure Reason, op. cit., p. 93 [A 51]. without content are empty, intuitions without concepts are blind," Critique of [167] "... without mediation": Allusion to Kant's proposition: "Thoughts

NOTES AND SKETCHES

- in September 1938; the second meeting took place in Bad Godesberg. [173] "Chamberlain...Bad Godesberg": Chamberlain met Hitler three times
- [175] "In this country": In America [It. tr.].
- ungen von Hans Bethge, Insel-Bücherei, p. 17 [It. tr.]. [175] "Spoke . . . loneliness": Translated from Die chinesische Flöte, Nachdicht-