## TCC Homological Algebra: Assignment #2 (Solutions)

David Loeffler, d.a.loeffler@warwick.ac.uk

## 6/12/19

Note that rings are not necessarily commutative, but are always assumed to be unital (i.e. having a multiplicative identity element 1), and ring homomorphisms are assumed to map 1 to 1. The notation <u>Ab</u> denotes the category of abelian groups, and <u>*R*-Mod</u> the category of left modules over the ring *R*.

1. Let <u>FAb</u> denote the full subcategory of <u>Ab</u> whose objects are finite abelian groups.

(a) [1 point] Show that <u>FAb</u> is an abelian category. (You may assume that <u>Ab</u> is abelian.)

**Solution:** If *f* is a morphism in <u>FAb</u>, then it has a kernel and cokernel in <u>Ab</u>, and these are also objects of <u>FAb</u>, since a subgroup or quotient of a finite group is finite. Since they satisfy a universal property in the larger category <u>Ab</u>, they also satisfy the same universal property in <u>FAb</u>. Thus kernels and cokernels exist for all morphisms in <u>FAb</u> and they coincide with kernels and cokernels in <u>Ab</u>. Moreover, the arrow

 $\overline{f}$  : coker(ker f)  $\rightarrow$  ker(coker f)

is an isomorphism in <u>Ab</u> and hence also in <u>FAb</u>. Thus <u>FAb</u> is abelian.

(b) [2 points] Show that the only injective object in <u>FAb</u> is 0. (Hint: if *G* is a non-zero injective, consider homomorphisms from cyclic groups to *G*.)

**Solution:** Let *G* be a non-zero injective object. Since *G* is finite, there is a maximal n > 1 such that *G* has an element of order *n*. Let  $g_n$  be an element of order *n*. Then there cannot exist  $h \in G$  such that  $n \cdot h = g_n$ , since this *h* would necessarily have order  $n^2 > n$ . Thus the homomorphism  $C_n \to G$  sending the generator to  $g_n$  cannot be extended to a homomorphism  $C_{n^2} \to G$ , contradicting the injectivity of *G*.

- 2. [3 points] Let C be an abelian category,  $\Sigma$  a set, and for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , let  $M_{\sigma}$  be an object of C. We define  $\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{\sigma}$  to be the limit of the diagram consisting of the objects  $M_{\sigma}$  with no morphisms between them, and  $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{\sigma}$  its colimit, assuming these limits exist.
  - (a) Show that if  $M_{\sigma}$  is projective for all  $\sigma$ , then so is  $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{\sigma}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{\sigma}$ , let  $f : M \to Y$  be any morphism, and  $p : X \to Y$  an epimorphism. Since M is a colimit, there are canonical maps  $i_{\sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to M$  for all  $\sigma$ . Let  $f_{\sigma} = f \circ i_{\sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to Y$ . Since  $M_{\sigma}$  is projective this lifts to a map  $\tilde{f}_{\sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to X$ . By the universal property of M as colimit, the maps  $\tilde{f}_{\sigma}$  assemble into a map  $\tilde{f} : M \to X$  which lifts f. So M is projective.

(b) Show that if  $M_{\sigma}$  is injective for all  $\sigma$ , then so is  $\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{\sigma}$ .

**Solution:** Apply (a) to the corresponding diagram in the opposite category.

(c) Show that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} M_{\sigma}, Z) = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M_{\sigma}, Z)$  for any object Z of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{\sigma}$  as before, and  $i_{\sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to M$ . Then mapping  $f : M \to Z$  to  $(i_{\sigma} \circ f)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  defines a map  $\operatorname{Hom}(M, Z) \to \prod_{\sigma} \operatorname{Hom}(M_{\sigma}, Z)$ , and the universal property of the colimit asserts that this map is a bijection.

- 3. [3 points] Let C be an abelian category and  $A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}$  cochain complexes over C. Define a complex  $\mathcal{H} = \underline{Hom}(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}) \in Ch(\underline{Ab})$  by  $\mathcal{H}^{i} = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A^{j}, B^{j+i})$ .
  - (a) Show that the maps  $d^i_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H}^i 
    ightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i+1}$  defined by

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}^{i}\left((f^{j})_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}\right) = (f^{j+1} \circ d_{A}^{j} - (-1)^{i}d_{B}^{j+i} \circ f^{j})_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}$$

are well-defined, and satisfy  $d_{\mathcal{H}}^{i+1} \circ d_{\mathcal{H}}^{i} = 0$ .

**Solution:** Let *f* denote the element  $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Clearly both  $f^{j+1} \circ d_A^j$  and  $d_B^{j+i} \circ f^j$  are homomorphisms  $A^j \to B^{j+i+1}$ , so  $d_{\mathcal{H}}^i(f)$  is a collection of morphisms with the correct sources and targets.

Let us write  $g = d_{\mathcal{H}}^i(f)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} d_{\mathcal{H}}^{i+1}(g) &= g^{j+1} \circ d_{A}^{j} + (-1)^{i} d_{B}^{i+j+1} \circ g^{j} \\ &= \left( f^{j+2} \circ d_{A}^{j+1} - (-1)^{i} d_{B}^{i+j+1} \circ f^{j+1} \right) \circ d_{A}^{j} + (-1)^{i} d_{B}^{i+j+1} \circ \left( f^{j+1} \circ d_{A}^{j} - (-1)^{i} d_{B}^{j+i} \circ f^{j} \right) \\ &= \left( f^{j+2} \circ \underbrace{d_{A}^{j+1} \circ d_{A}^{j}}_{=0} \right) - (-1)^{i} \left( d_{B}^{i+j+1} \circ f^{j+1} \circ d_{A}^{j} \right) \\ &+ (-1)^{i} \left( d_{B}^{i+j+1} \circ f^{j+1} \circ d_{A}^{j} \right) - \left( \underbrace{d_{B}^{i+j+1} \circ d_{B}^{j+i}}_{=0} \circ f^{j} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

(b) Show that  $\ker(d^0_{\mathcal{H}}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ch}(\mathcal{C})}(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}).$ 

**Solution:** An element f of  $\mathcal{H}^0$  is a collection of maps  $f^j : A^j \to B^j$ . It satisfies  $d^0_{\mathcal{H}}(f) = 0$  iff  $f^{j+1} \circ d^j_A = d^{j+1}_B \circ f^j$  for all j, which is precisely the definition of a cochain map.

(c) Show that  $\operatorname{im}(d_{\mathcal{H}}^{(-1)})$  is the null-homotopic maps.

**Solution:** An element f of  $\mathcal{H}^0$  is null-homotopic if and only if there exist maps  $s^j : A^j \to B^{j-1}$  such that  $f^j = s_j \circ d_A^j + d_B^{j-1} \circ s^j$ . This is precisely the assertion that  $f = d_{\mathcal{H}}^{(-1)}((s^j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}})$ .

4. [2 points] Let *X*, *Y* be two objects in an abelian category *C*, and  $I^{\bullet}$ ,  $J^{\bullet}$  injective resolutions of *X*, *Y* respectively. Let  $f^{\bullet} : I^{\bullet} \to J^{\bullet}$  a morphism of complexes which induces the zero map  $X \to Y$  on  $H^{0}$ . Show that  $f^{\bullet}$  is null-homotopic.

[Hint: We are looking for maps  $s^i : I^i \to J^{i-1}$  for all i such that f = ds + sd. For  $i \le 0$  the target of  $s^i$  is the zero object, so the first nontrivial step is to construct  $s^1 : I^1 \to J^0$  compatible with  $f^0$ . Then look for an opportunity to induct on i.]

**Solution:** Since the map  $f^0 : I^0 \to J^0$  induces the zero map on *X*, it factors through  $I^0/X$ . The map  $d_I^0$  induces a monomorphism  $I^0/X \to I^1$ , so by injectivity of  $J^0$ , we can find  $s^1$  such that  $f^0 = s^1 \circ d_I^0$ .

Now let  $n \ge 1$ , and let us suppose we have constructed morphisms  $s^i : I^i \to J^{i-1}$ , for  $1 \le i \le n$ , such that the identity  $f^i = d_J^{i-1} \circ s^i + s^{i+1} \circ d_I^i$  holds for  $1 \le i \le n-1$  (with  $s^0 = 0$ ). Then  $h^n := f^n - d^{n-1} \circ s^n$  is a homomorphism  $I^n \to J^n$  which satisfies

 $h^n \circ d_I^{n-1} = (f - ds) \circ d = fd - dsd = fd - d(f - ds) = (fd - df) + dds = 0.$ 

(dropping indices for clarity). So  $h^n$  factors through  $I^n / \text{Im}(d_I^{n-1})$ , which is a subobject of  $I^{n+1}$ . Via the injectivity of  $J^n$ , we can define  $s^{n+1} : I^{n+1} \to J^n$  such that  $h^n = s^{n+1} \circ d$ . So by induction we can find  $s^n$  for all  $n \ge 1$  satisfying the required identity.

[Note that we do not need to use the assumption that I<sup>•</sup> has injective terms or that J<sup>•</sup> is exact.]

5. [2 points] Give an example of a morphism in Ch(<u>Ab</u>) which is a quasi-isomorphism, but not a homotopy equivalence.

**Solution:** Let  $A = [\mathbf{Z}]$  and  $B = [\mathbf{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}]$ , and let *f* be the natural map  $A \rightarrow B$  given by the inclusion  $\mathbf{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ . We saw in lectures that *f* is a quasi-isomorphism.

However, we have  $\text{Hom}_{\underline{Ab}}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ , so  $\text{Hom}_{Ch(\underline{Ab})}(B, A) = 0$ . So if *f* had a homotopy inverse, it would have to be the zero map; thus the zero map  $A \to A$  would have to be homotopic to the identity and hence would have to induce the identity on  $H^0(A) = \mathbf{Z}$ . This is impossible since  $\mathbf{Z}$  is not the zero ring. So *f* is not a homotopy equivalence.

6. [2 points] Show that if  $F : C \to D$  a left-exact functor between abelian categories, and  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$  is an exact sequence with A injective, then  $0 \to F(A) \to F(B) \to F(C) \to 0$  is exact. [*Hint: We are not assuming that C has enough injectives, so it is not enough to say that*  $R^1(F)(A) = 0$ .]

**Solution:** Since *A* is injective, the identity map  $A \to A$  has to extend to a map  $s : B \to A$ . This gives a splitting of the exact sequence, so  $B \cong A \oplus C$  with the maps  $A \to B$  and  $B \to C$  being the inclusion and projection maps. Since *F* is additive, it preserves direct sums, so  $0 \to F(A) \to F(A \oplus C) \to F(C) \to 0$  is exact.

7. [1 point] Let  $C, D, \mathcal{E}$  be abelian categories and  $C \xrightarrow{F} D \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{E}$  left-exact functors. Assume C has enough injectives, G is exact, and F is left-exact. Show that  $R^i(G \circ F) = G \circ R^i(F)$  for all i, as functors  $C \to \mathcal{E}$ .

**Solution:** By definition  $R^i(G \circ F)(X) = H^i((G \circ F)(I^{\bullet}))$  where  $I^{\bullet}$  is an injective resolution of *X*. Since *G* is exact, it commutes with cohomology, so this is the same as  $G(H^i(F(I^{\bullet}))) = G(R^i(F)(X))$ .

[If D has enough injectives then this is a trivial consequence of the Grothendieck spectral sequence, but this is not assumed.]

- 8. [3 points] Let  $G = C_2 = \{1, \sigma\}$ .
  - (a) Show that

 $\dots \mathbf{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} \mathbf{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\sigma+1} \mathbf{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} \mathbf{Z}[G]$ 

is a projective resolution of the trivial module Z as a Z[G]-module.

**Solution:** This is obviously a complex since  $(\sigma + 1)(\sigma - 1) = \sigma^2 - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$ . So we must check it has the correct cohomology.

A generic element of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  looks like  $a + b\sigma$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and we have  $(\sigma \pm 1)(a + b\sigma) = (a \pm b)(\sigma \pm 1)$ . Thus the image of multiplication by  $\sigma \pm 1$  is  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot (\sigma \pm 1)$ , and its kernel is  $\{a + b\sigma : a \pm b = 0\} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\sigma \mp 1)$ , which proves that the cohomology in all degrees  $\neq 0$  is trivial. Meanwhile, the image of the last map is exactly the kernel of the surjection  $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, a + b\sigma \mapsto a + b$ .

- (b) Hence compute the cohomology groups of
  - i.  $\mathbf{Z}$  with the trivial *G*-action;

**Solution:** We must compute the cohomology of the complex of homomorphisms from the above resolution to  $\mathbf{Z}$ , which is

$$\mathbf{Z} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \stackrel{2}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \dots$$

So the cohomology is Z in degree 0, trivial in odd degrees, and Z/2Z in positive even degrees.

ii. **Z** with the generator  $\sigma$  acting as -1.

Solution: Now we obtain the complex

$$\mathbf{Z} \stackrel{-2}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \stackrel{-2}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \dots$$

so the cohomology is 0 in even degrees (including degree 0) and Z/2Z in odd degrees.

- 9. Let *R* be a ring, *A*, *B* objects of <u>*R*-Mod</u>, and  $\sigma \in \text{Ext}^1(A, B)$ , represented by a homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}(A, Z^1(I^{\bullet}))$  where  $I^{\bullet}$  is an injective resolution of *B*.
  - (a) [1 point] Show that the module

$$E = \{ (x, a) \in I^0 \oplus A : d(x) = f(a) \},\$$

with the obvious maps from *B* and to *A*, defines an extension of *A* by *B*; and show that the equivalence class of this extension depends only on  $\sigma$  and not on the representative *f*.

**Solution:** It is clear that *E* is an *R*-module, and  $(x, a) \mapsto a$  is an *R*-module homomorphism  $E \to A$ . The kernel of this homomorphism is  $\{(x, 0) : x \in \text{ker}(I^0 \to I^1)\}$ , and since  $\text{ker}(I^0 \to I^1) = B$ , this gives an exact sequence  $0 \to B \to E \to A$ . However, since the target of *f* is  $Z^1(I^\bullet)$ , and  $I^\bullet$  is exact, for every  $a \in A$  there is *x* such that f(a) = d(x); thus  $E \to A$  is also surjective, so *E* is an extension of *A* by *B*.

If we let  $f' = f + d \circ h$ , where *h* is a homomorphism  $A \to I^0$ , and E' denotes the extension corresponding to f', then  $(x, a) \mapsto (x + h(a), a)$  is clearly an isomorphism  $E \to E'$  compatible with the maps to *A* and from *B*, so  $0 \to B \to E \to A \to 0$  and  $0 \to B \to E' \to A \to 0$  are equivalent as extensions of *A* by *B*. Thus the equivalence class of the extension depends only on the element  $\sigma$ .