Lecture 5 Graph hemology  
Last twe,  
defined 
$$Mg_n = nucluli = pare of ographs G
up G admissible := connected,  $Ni > 3$ ,  $N = 1 - n$   
 $= CVn / Out Fn$   
 $al < 0$   $CV_n^*$ ,  $Mg_n^* = CV_n^* / cnf Fn$   
 $\partial CV_n^* = CV_n^* \wedge CVn$   
 $\partial Mg_n^* = Mg_n^* \wedge Mg_n$   
(De observed  
 $CQ_{*} = \bigoplus_{n>2} CQ_{*}^{(n)}$   $(N = 1 - n)$   
 $cred$  identified  
 $C_{*}(Mg_n^*, \partial Mg_n^*) \leftarrow CQ_{*}^{(n)}$   $(\theta = Comm, even or)$   
 $f$   
 $grading have is by *edges - 1 graday have is by +turtues$   
IF G hos k+1 edges and  $X = 1 - n$ , tan  
G has  $2 + k - n$  vertices  
 $so : C_{*}(Mg_n^*, \partial Mg_n^*) \leftarrow Cg_{2*k - n}^{(n)}$$$

on both sides, a generator G is zero if it has an odd outomorphism. Since every graph in CUn has > nedges, the entire (n-2)-skeleton of CUn is contained in  $\partial CU_n^*$ . So  $C_{k}(Mg_{n}^{*}, \Im Mg_{n}^{*}) = 0$  if  $k \le n-2$  $C G_{2+k-n}^{(n)} = 0 \quad if \quad k \leq n-2$ ie  $C G_0 = C G_1 = C G_{-2} = 0$ . The entire cham cplex C, g looks like





Will wacher found cocycles 
$$\sigma_{N} \in C^{\circ}$$
, nodd  
(he identified H° with grt,  
= Grothendisk-Teichmüller Lie  
alogbra (unipotent version)  
F. Brown proved grt, contains a free Lie  
alogebra generated by odd classes  
 $T_{3}, \sigma_{5}, ...$   
Will wacher shaved  $\sigma_{n}(w_{n}) \neq O$  (nodd)  
If G has K vertices and vale  $n, it$  has kin-1 edges  
so dan  $\sigma(G, g) = kin-2$   
Willwacher's result has  $k=n+1$ , so translates to  
 $H^{2n-1}(Mg_{n}^{*}, \partial Mg_{n}^{*}) \neq O$  ( $n>z$ )  
Will we doer also showed  $H^{k}(Cg_{n}) = O$  for  $k < 0$   
This mains  $H^{i}(My_{n}^{*}, \partial Mg_{n}^{*}) = O$  for  $i < 2n-1$   
wy for  $n=3$ , dom  $My_{n}^{*} = 5$ ,  $H^{i}=0$  for  $i < 2n-1$ 

All non-zero homology lies in HK, 2n-1 = K = 3n-4 Exercise: Compute  $H_{*}(MQ^{*}, \Im MQ^{*})$ for n=2,32 <u>0</u> N=3 0 ١

Back to Kontseuldi's theorem:  

$$\Theta = \text{Lie} \Rightarrow H_d(\text{CG}_x^{(n)}) \cong H^{2n+2\cdot d}(\text{Cht} F_n)$$
  
where the orientration on  $\Theta$ -graphs is the odd orientration  
A generation of  $\Theta$ -graphs is the odd orientration  
A generating of  $\mathbb{CG}_K$  is a complicated object:  
An old-oriented graph  $X \equiv \mathbb{O} \oplus \mathbb{P}^2$ , with  
vertices decivated with Lie trees  $\mathbb{F}$   
These are Planar binary trees  $\mathbb{F}$  to tree of  $\mathbb{P}^2$   
modulo AS, IHX on interact edges  
 $(X_1 \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}, \text{ or }) = \mathbb{I} \oplus \mathbb{P}^2$   
Luside each generator is a natural facest  $\mathbb{P}$  (= uning trees  $\mathbb{T}_r$   
 $\mathbb{I} \oplus \mathbb{P}^2$ 

Theorem (Conant-V): All of this orientation data is equivalent to ordering the edges of the forest  $\left( \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 9 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$ Furthermore, the 2 operator Sums our adding on edge to the farest (labeled of nexit number)  $\partial(G,\bar{\Phi},\sigma) =$  $\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{pmatrix} + \left( \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ \end{array} \right) + \left( 1 + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1 + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1 + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1 + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1\right) + \left( 1 + \left($ Exercise  $\partial^2 = 0$ Proof of Theorem Is livear algebra (I don't know a direct way of ceeing this)

Recall an <u>orientation</u> determined by an ordering of  $S = 2x_{1}, -, x_{n}S$  can be described as a choice of unit vector in N°RS, where RS is the V. space of basis S. the V. space of basis  $\mathcal{I}$ (ordering for  $X_i$  gives  $X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n \in \bigwedge \mathbb{RS} \cong \mathbb{R}$ )  $:= dot \mathbb{RS}$ ) so an orientation on an O-graph is a unit vector in  $\det(\mathbb{R}V(X)) \otimes \bigotimes \det \mathbb{R}H(e) \otimes \bigotimes (\otimes_{u \in V(T_v)} \det \mathbb{R}H(u))$  $e \in E(X)$  $v \in V(X)$ order V(X) order the half-edges pair H(e) of at each vertex of half-odycs for eeX each tree Tu We are claiming tore is a commicul isomorphism of the above expression with  $det RE(\overline{\Phi}) = edges \overline{\Phi} \overline{\Phi}$ (ie picky a unit vector in eiter side determines a unit vector in the other side)



Lomma 2 O-ruty VP, W->1 a short exact sequence of finite-dim'l vector spaces. Then det V is conmically isomorphic to det U & det W. <u>b</u>f Choose a splitting N -s W The iso marphism is given by det U & det W ---> det V  $(\omega) \land v \otimes \omega \longrightarrow f(u) \land J(\omega)$ Thos is independent of , since PS = id Exercise O-JU-JW-JZ-JO a short exact sey of finite-divid Vispaces =) I convial Tiskmer phism det U & det W = det V & det Z HMT: split the sequence into two shart oxact sequences)



and Lemma 2 ~= det RE & det RH Tensor both sides of det TRV, det RE det RE = det RV @ det RH Nao use Lemma 2 again det IRE= det IRV & (& det H(r) & det (@ IR)) v all vertres are odd!  $\simeq$  det  $\mathbb{R} \vee (\otimes_{\mathcal{S}} \det \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \det \mathbb{R} \vee$  $\cong \bigotimes_{v} \det H(v) \vee$ The rest of the proof that  $(\chi, \xi T_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma v_{\chi}) \sim (G, \overline{\Phi}, \sigma r_{\overline{\Phi}})$ is similar. (Note that the half-edges of X are exactly the leaves of the To ...)





Back to Outer space CVn. To get the isomorphism  $H_{d}(CG_{*}^{(n)}) \cong H^{2n-2-d}(CutF_{u}) \cong H^{2n-2-d}(Mg_{n})$  K $= H^{2u-2-d}(CV_n/cutF_n)$ It's easiest to look at CVn first: CUn = disjoint union of open simplices T(G,g), G=admossible, voulen T (convected, 1v1>3 + v) some faces are missing u Dv  $CV_n C C V_n^* = s (u plicel)$ (my lahm JU, US = basis for Fn

Def Kn C (CV,\*) (baugcentric subdivision). Vertex = simplex of CVn. = span of vertices in CVN (E) open simplices in CVN)  $X_2$ = geometric realization of poset of 5(6,g) All maximul simplices of (CVn) have a missing face (at least a vortex) and a face in Kn (at least a trivalit apopl) CVn VKn (deformate vetvact) by thearly retracting each maximal singlex of (Un) to its face in Kn

Te actum of Cut (Fn) permutes to J(G,g), so Kn is invariant under te actim Kn is a def-retract of CVn, so is contractible => H\* (CutFn) = H\* (Kn/CutFn) Kn is a simplicitul complex martial simplex = chain of edge-collapses. (n=2 protue is not enloghtening here! Thy cun n=3 picture)