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Abstract

Heat capacity measurements were used to investigate the magnetic ordering processes in single-crystal samples of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ in a magnetic field applied along the [010] and [001] directions. In zero field this compound appears to be magnetically disordered down to at least 0.39 K. A magnetic field applied along the [010] direction induces a very sharp transition at 20 kOe, seen as a strong peak in the heat capacity versus field, $C(H)$ curves, while for $H \parallel [001]$, the magnetization process is accompanied by the development of only broad features in the $C(H)$ curves. The process of field-induced ordering in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ appears to be rather remarkable even in the context of the unusual phase transitions observed in other geometrically frustrated magnetic systems consisting of hexagons and triangles.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

The effects of geometrical frustration on the magnetic properties of materials are rich and often unexpected [1]. One of the most spectacular effects is the absence of a long-range order (LRO) down to the lowest temperatures despite the presence of relatively strong magnetic interactions. In some cases magnetic order can be induced by an applied magnetic field, but the number of materials where such an effect has been detected is very limited [2].

SrDy$_2$O$_4$ belongs to the family of rare-earth strontium oxides, SrLn$_2$O$_4$, which crystallize in the form of calcium ferrite, with the space group $Pnma$. The crystal structure of these materials (see figure 1) can be viewed as a network of linked hexagons and triangles [5], and their magnetic properties have been studied within the context of geometrically frustrated magnetism. Several members of the family have been the subject of recent investigations [6–10].

SrYb$_2$O$_4$ is reported to order magnetically at $T_N = 0.9$ K into a noncollinear structure [7], with a significantly reduced ordered spin moment on the magnetic Yb$^{3+}$ sites compared to the full ionic moment of Yb$^{3+}$. The magnetic $H-T$ phase diagram of SrYb$_2$O$_4$ consists of a complicated series of states, which are attributed to the competition of the various magnetic interactions with each other as well as with the relatively strong single-ion anisotropy [7].

With the help of powder neutron diffraction (PND) data, it has been established [8] that SrEr$_2$O$_4$ orders magnetically at $T_N = 0.75$ K. However, single-crystal neutron diffraction [9] has revealed two distinct components to the magnetic ordering in this compound: one component is a LRO $k = 0$ structure which appears below $T_N = 0.75$ K, and the other component is a short-range incommensurate structure which is responsible for the appearance of a strong diffuse scattering signal. The diffuse scattering in this compound is observed to form undulating planes of intensity at the positions $(h, k, \frac{1}{2} + \delta)$ and $(h, k, \frac{3}{2} - \delta)$, with the incommensuration parameter $\delta$ varying from 0.2 to 0.6, depending on the temperature. The partially ordered component does not undergo a pronounced phase transition at any temperature down to 60 mK [9].
2. Experimental procedures

Single-crystal samples of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ were prepared as described previously [14]. The principal axes of the samples were determined using the Laue x-ray diffraction technique; the crystals were aligned to within an accuracy of 3°.

Specific heat measurements were performed in the temperature range 0.39 to 5.0 K, in fields of up to 50 kOe using a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) calorimeter equipped with a $^3$He option. We have measured the specific heat as a function of temperature in a constant magnetic field and as a function of the applied field at a constant temperature. For field scans, the temperature stability was better than 5 mK. The measurements were carried out on small (typically less than 1 mg) plate-like samples for which the demagnetizing factors were small. The measurements were restricted to the $H \parallel [010]$ and $H \parallel [001]$ directions, as applying a magnetic field along $a$, the “hard axis” for magnetization [12], would cause a significant torque on the heat capacity sample platform. For the same reason, the measurements for $H \parallel [001]$ are limited to a maximum field of 30 kOe, as above this field the difference between $M_H[001]$ and $M_H[010]$ becomes substantial [12].

From previous measurements [8] of the heat capacity of SrLu$_2$O$_4$ and SrY$_2$O$_4$, two nonmagnetic compounds isostructural with SDO, it may be concluded that the lattice contribution to the specific heat is negligibly small compared to the magnetic contribution for all temperatures below 5 K, therefore all the heat capacity data shown below should be considered to be magnetic in origin.

3. Experimental results

3.1. Zero-field data

The temperature dependence of the heat capacity divided by temperature of SDO is shown in figure 2. The $C(T)/T$ curve shows a very broad maximum at 0.77 K and a nearly linear temperature dependence below this peak, implying a quadratic, $T^2$, dependence for $C(T)$ at low temperatures. There are no sharp features in the heat capacity curve which could be attributed to a phase transition to a magnetically ordered state. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy obtained from the $C(T)/T$ curve extrapolated to $T = 0$, as well as the entropy value expected for an effective spin 1/2 system.

3.2. $H \parallel [010]$}

The field dependence of the heat capacity divided by temperature of SDO measured at different temperatures for $H \parallel [010]$ is shown in figure 3. At the lowest temperature of 0.39 K, a sharp double peak at about 20 kOe dominates the $C(H)$ curve. On warming the sample, the peaks in the $C(H)$ curve become more rounded while the field separation between them increases. Above 1 K, the peaks (especially the one at lower field) are rather broad and separated by approximately 6 kOe, while by 1.5 K the lower-field peak is too broad and too weak to be clearly distinguishable. Apart
Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the specific heat divided by temperature of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ in zero field. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the entropy, $S$ (solid line), calculated as the area under the $C(T)/T$ curve which has been extended linearly down to $T = 0$ K. The dashed line indicates the position of $2R \ln(2)$, which corresponds to the magnetic contribution for a system with an effective spin $s = 1/2$.

Figure 3. Field dependence of the heat capacity divided by temperature, $C(T)/T$, of single crystal SrDy$_2$O$_4$ measured at different temperatures for $H \parallel [010]$. The curves are consecutively offset by 2.5 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ for clarity.

3.3. $H \parallel [001]$

The field dependence of the heat capacity divided by temperature of SDO measured at different temperatures for $H \parallel [001]$ is shown in figure 5. In contrast to the case for a field applied along the $b$ axis, the application of a magnetic field along the $c$ direction does not seem to result in any features in the $C(H)$ curves sharp enough to be indicative of a phase transition. At the lowest temperature, a broad peak in $C(H)$ is present at around 11 kOe. On warming the sample this peak initially increases in intensity, but then decreases rapidly and splits into two very broad peaks. By 0.93 K the same field of 11 kOe corresponds to a local minimum, rather than a maximum in the heat capacity. This minimum remains visible (albeit less pronounced) at higher temperatures and seems to shift to slightly higher fields.

The $C(H)/T$ curves shown in figure 5 are combined with similar field scans performed at higher temperatures to form the magnetic $H–T$ phase diagram shown in figure 6, where the variation in the heat capacity divided by temperature is represented by the colour scale. Since for this direction of applied field there are no sharp features in the $C(H)/T$ curves, we have performed additional measurements of the temperature dependence of the specific heat in constant fields; the corresponding data are shown in figure 7. Again, no sharp peaks are observed in the $C(T)$ curves. A broad peak centred at 0.77 K in zero field shifts to 0.5 K and becomes more intense and well defined in an applied field of 10 kOe. With the further increases in field the peak shifts to higher temperatures and becomes significantly less intense.
for Dy magnetic moments in the presence of a strong magnetic field. The behaviour is also quite expected and commonly observed. The observed temperature dependence of the heat capacity divided by temperature in the units of J mol⁻¹ K⁻² for clarity.

4. Discussion and conclusions

The zero-field heat capacity data shown in figure 2 implies the absence of long-range magnetic order in SDO down to at least 0.39 K in full agreement with our PND data [13] which show only broad diffuse scattering peaks down to 20 mK. The broad peak in C(T) at 0.77 K is very likely to be associated with the formation of an extended short-range order, as it seems to coincide with the broad maxima in the χ(T) curves, below which a small difference between zero-field-cooled data and field-cooled susceptibility data has been reported [12]. The observed temperature dependence of the heat capacity C(T) ~ T² is not unusual in frustrated magnetism and has been seen previously, for example, in some Gd pyrochlores [15].

The magnetic entropy recovered by T = 5 K is nearly 2R ln(2), which suggests that at least at low-temperature SDO may be treated as an effective s = 1/2 system. This behaviour is also quite expected and commonly observed for Dy magnetic moments in the presence of a strong Ising-like magnetic anisotropy, for example in dysprosium pyrogermanate Dy₂Ge₂O₇ [16] and dysprosium pyrochlore Dy₂Ti₂O₇ [17]. In the case of SDO, high-temperature susceptibility data suggest that the h axis is the easy direction for magnetization [12].

According to the inelastic neutron scattering data [18], the first well-defined crystal-field level for SDO is located at 4 meV (although a less pronounced peak at 2 meV, which is partially masked by the quasi-elastic signal, cannot be ruled out). This observation implies that at approximately 20 K SDO will no longer behave as an effective spin 1/2 system.

From the data presented here (as well as from the previous magnetization measurements [12]) it is obvious that SDO behaves rather anisotropically in an applied field. For H || [010], the field dependence of heat capacity measured at the base temperature (see figure 3) returns a small, but still well-defined peak at 2 kOe, as well as a much sharper and more pronounced double peak around 20 kOe. Both the 2 and 20 kOe peaks correspond to sharp increases in the magnetization (and therefore to peaks in dM/dH) shown in figure 5 of [12]. As the value of the magnetization does not change significantly between these peaks and amounts to approximately a third of the total magnetization for this direction of the applied field, it has been suggested [12] that the observed magnetization plateau corresponds to the appearance of a collinear up–up–down (uud) structure, in which two thirds of magnetic moments are aligned along the field while the remaining third are aligned in the opposite direction. The observed field dependence of the specific heat adds weight to this conjecture with the local maximum in C(T, H) defining a region of stability of the collinear phase (see figure 4), which seems to extend at the lowest temperature from 2 to 19 kOe and to propagate to almost 1.5 K in 10 kOe.

At the lowest temperature the higher-field part of the phase diagram is separated from the lower-field part by a double phase transition, clearly seen in the C(H) curves. Remarkably, there is no indication in the magnetization data [12] that the higher-field transition is actually split into two transitions and that the separation between them increases with increasing temperature. After observing such a split from the heat capacity data we have made sure that this splitting is not caused by a slight misalignment of the sample or by the presence of several crystallographical domains, by verifying that two independently prepared and aligned samples of SDO return practically identical sets of specific heat data.

The sharp peaks in the C(H) curves indicate multiple magnetic field-induced transitions in SDO for H || [010]. It is, however, impossible from the bulk-property measurements alone to state whether to not any of the field-induced phases are long range in nature. Careful neutron diffraction experiments are required to answer this question².

² Stabilization of any LRO phases will always be accompanied by the appearance of sharp magnetic Bragg peaks in the neutron diffraction pattern. In order to prove the stabilization of a LRO phase however, it would not be sufficient to observe the k = 0 ferromagnetic Bragg peaks, as these peaks potentially be associated with a field-induced polarization of a short-range phase. Convincing proof of a LRO phase would be the observation of antiferromagnetic k ≠ 0 peaks or the presence of long-lived magnetic excitations.
For \( H \parallel [010] \), the field dependence of heat capacity differs significantly from the \( H \parallel [001] \) case in that the latter does not show any signs of a field-induced phase transition. A broad maximum in the lower-temperature \( C(H) \) curves shown in figure 5 corresponds to a similarly broad maximum in the \( dM/dH \) curves for this direction of an applied field [12]. In order to establish the reasons for such a dramatic difference in the magnetic behaviour of SDO for two different directions of an applied field, the crystal-field parameters must be established. This exercise is far from trivial, as there are 8 \( \text{Dy}^{3+} \) ions on the two distinct crystallographic sites in the unit cell (see figure 1). The symmetry is rather low, therefore the number of crystal-field levels is expected to be large, but perhaps even more importantly, the positions of the levels at lower temperature can be influenced by the development of a short-range magnetic order.

The base temperature of the \( ^3\text{He} \) cryostat used in our experiment has limited the lowest sample temperature to approximately 0.38 K. In the context of quantum-critical transitions, it would be very interesting to extend the \( C(H) \) measurements reported here to lower temperatures, particularly for \( H \parallel [010] \) where the difference between the two field-induced transitions around 20 kOe seems to be decreasing with decreasing temperature. However, this could prove to be experimentally challenging, as below 0.3 K (and extending down to at least 50 mK) there is a significant increase in the heat capacity of SDO [19]. The origin of this upturn of the \( C(T) \) curve below 0.3 K is presently unknown (it could potentially be caused by hyperfine interactions); it results in a very rapid increase in \( \tau \), the time constant of the measurements using the relaxation technique, even for very small samples, making the measurement times prohibitively long.

Another possible extension of the work presented here would be to measure the heat capacity of SDO for \( H \parallel [100] \). Given the difficulties associated with unfavourable sample geometry and also the featureless magnetization curve for this direction of the applied field [12], this research avenue does not seem to be particularly promising.

To summarize, we have investigated the low-temperature behaviour of \( \text{SrDy}_2\text{O}_4 \) in an applied magnetic field by measuring its specific heat for \( H \parallel [010] \) and \( H \parallel [001] \). The collected data indicate that (i) in zero field, the material remains disordered down to 0.39 K (ii) for \( H \parallel [010] \), a sequence of magnetically ordered phases is induced (iii) for \( H \parallel [010] \), no transition to an ordered state occurs. The results call for further neutron scattering experiments to clarify the nature of the field-induced phases of this geometrically frustrated compound.
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